STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS

INDUSTRIAL WELFARE COMMISSION

Public Meeting

January 28, 2000

State Capitol, Room 4203

Sacramento, California

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

PARTICIPANTS

--000--

Industrial Welfare Commission

CHUCK CENTER, Chair

DOUG BOSCO

BARRY BROAD

LESLEE COLEMAN

BILL DOMBROWSKI

Staff

ANDREW R. BARON, Executive Officer

MARGUERITE C. STRICKLIN, Legal Counsel

MICHAEL MORENO, Principal Analyst

CHRISTINE MORSE, Analyst

DONNA SCOTTI, Administrative Analyst

LISA CHIN, Analyst

I N D E X

--000--

<u>Pa</u>	age
Proceedings	7
Public Testimony - Advanced Practice Nursing:	
B. J. SNELL, California Nurse Midwives Associatio	n10
SUSANNE PHILLIPS, California Coalition of Nurse Practitioners	20
DEBORAH HAIGHT, California Association of Nurse Anesthetists	22
DEBORAH GRIBBONS (HARRIS), advanced practice nurs	e24
NOREEN CLARKE-SHEEHAN, advanced practice nurse	27
KAREN SNOW-RODRIGUEZ, advanced practice nurse	30
DONNA NOWICKI, advanced practice nurse	32
JEANETTE MORROW, California Coalition of Nurse Practitioners	34
ARLENE SHEEHAN, neonatal nurse practitioner	36
PATRICE PRATOOMRATANA, respiratory therapist	39
TRICIA HUNTER, American Nurses Association	41
PAMELA BRODERSON, nurse practitioner	43
BARBARA BLAKE, United Nurses Associations of California	44
VIVIAN MILLER, nurse practitioner	45
DIANE FLETCHER nurse practitioner	4.8

49

ELLEN BAIR, nurse practitioner	50
INDEX (Continued)	Page
Public Testimony - Interim Wage Order:	
JULIANNE BROYLES, California Chamber of Commerce California Employers Association	, 52
TOM RANKIN, California Labor Federation	74
DONALD CLARK, Clark Pacific	85
SCOTT WETCH, State Building and Construction Trades Council	87
PATRICIA GATES, Van Bourg, Weinberg, Roger & Rosenfeld	91
JAMIE KHAN, Associated General Contractors	94
WARREN MENDEL, Southern California Contractors Association	94
PHIL VERMEULEN, Engineering Contractors Association, Fence Contractors, Sacramento and Marin Building Exchanges, Flasher Barricade Association	95
ALAN SMITH, National Plasterers Council	96
GEORGE OLIVEIRA, National Plasterers Council	101
ERIC CARLESON, California Spa and Pool Industry Education Council	102
ROBERT TOLLEN, California Independent Petroleum Association, Association of Energy Service Companies, Independent Oil Producers Agency	105
ED EHLERS, Associated California Loggers	108

MARY E. LYNCH, Professor, University of

California, San Francisco

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

MARK VEGH, TOC Management Systems	109
RICHARD HOLOBER, California Nurses Association	112
Afternoon Session	123
PATRICE PRATOOMRATANA (Continued), respiratory therapist	123
INDEX (Continued)	<u>Page</u>
SANDY ROCK, Mad River Community Hospital	126
LINDA HAYES, critical care registered nurse	126
CAROL MANTELL, registered nurse	132
AMY MEIER, Scripps Memorial Hospital	139
DAWN DINGWELL, California Association of Health Facilities	142
DEBBIE PORTELA, owner/operator, long-term care facility	147
JAY ALLEN, RCCA Services	150
KATE GATTUSO, respiratory therapist	153
JACK McGEE, respiratory care practitioner	153
KERRY RODRIGUEZ MESSER, California Association for Health Services at Home	156
MARIANNE WARD, licensed vocational nurse, Interim Healthcare	160
HOLLY SWIGER, registered nurse, Vitas Hospice	162
MARY WEST PIOWATY, respiratory therapist	165
MELANIE LOYA, respiratory therapist	167
RANDY CLARK, California Association for Respiratory Care	170
STEVE HARVEY, respiratory care practitioner	171

CONNIE DELGADO ALVAREZ, California Healthcare Association	171
MICHAEL ARNOLD, California Dialysis Council	172
KATHRYN C. REES, California Assisted Living Facilities Association	175
JAMES NEFF, Motion Picture Association of America	178
<pre>INDEX (Continued)</pre>	<u>Page</u>
ROBERT JONES, National Association of Computer Consulting Businesses	179
RON McKUNE, The Employers Group	194
KELLY WATTS, American Electronics Association	195
JAMES ABRAMS, California Hotel and Motel Association	196
JOHN ZAIMES, energy generators	208
JOYCE ISERI, California Alliance of Child and Family Services	210
ROLF CLAUSSEN, Greater California Livery Association	213
ALAN SHANEDLING, Fleetwood Limousine	217
Commission Discussion/Action	220
Adjournment	227
Certification of Reporter/Transcriber	228

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	000
3	(Time noted: 10:10 a.m.)
4	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Good morning, everyone.
5	Welcome to our January 28 Industrial Welfare Commission
6	meeting.
7	I'd like to call the roll of the Commission
8	excuse me call the Commission to order.
9	Our first order of business will be to swear in
10	our new commissioner, Mr. Bosco.
11	MR. BARON: Raise your right hand.
12	(Thereupon, Executive Officer Baron
13	administered the oath of office to
14	Commissioner Bosco.)
15	MR. BARON: Welcome aboard.
16	COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Welcome and condolences,
18	Doug.
19	(Laughter)
20	COMMISSIONER CENTER: With that, Andy, would you
21	call the roll, please?
22	MR. BARON: Bosco.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Here.
24	MR. BARON: Broad.

1	COMMISSIONER	BROAD:	Here.
---	--------------	--------	-------

- 2 MR. BARON: Center.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Here.
- 4 MR. BARON: Coleman.
- 5 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Here.
- 6 MR. BARON: Dombrowski.
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Here.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you. It's
- 9 officially called to order.
- 10 First, a little housekeeping. We're going to
- 11 have as our first order of business the nurse
- 12 practitioners, advanced nurse practitioners and midwives,
- 13 and I'd like to limit that to one hour of testimony. And
- 14 I believe some individuals submitted cards in order.
- 15 If you have a lead person for your organization,
- 16 we'd like you to choose that person to come up first and
- 17 testify. We'll take the nurses that are supporting
- 18 exemptions first, then the nurses that are opposing
- 19 exemptions second, so we can -- and we'll limit to 30
- 20 minutes on each side. And if we have additional time,
- 21 other people can come forward. I'd like to have the
- 22 spokesman be limited to five minutes for their testimony,
- 23 and other individuals to three minutes.
- 24 And I want to avoid what we had in Los Angeles,

- 1 any commenting from the audience, outbreaks. Any
- 2 comments from the audience, we'll consider that your
- 3 testimony in front of the Industrial Welfare Commission.
- 4 So, hopefully, we'll limit that.
- 5 And Mr. Baron will be our timer up here, and
- 6 he'll nudge me on the hip when it's time to end your
- 7 testimony or wind it up.
- 8 First, I want to compliment Andy and the staff,
- 9 and Marquerite, for the amazing work trying to put these
- 10 regulations together and all the paperwork that has
- 11 floating into the office. I think Barry and I have even
- 12 commented, being labor individuals up here, a six-month
- 13 implementation time for this would have a little bit
- 14 easier for everybody, but that didn't happen. So, we're
- 15 under the gun to get it done.
- 16 We'll take as long as we can today to get our
- 17 due process carried out.
- 18 After we do the nursing, then we'll go into the
- 19 interim order and have testimony on that. And we'll have
- 20 one industry rep and one labor rep come in and open it
- 21 up, and the time they take, then also limit the testimony
- 22 on the other individuals to three minutes on that. And
- 23 then we'll see where we go.
- 24 With that -- with the advanced nurse

- 1 practitioners, do you have a spokesman who'd like to come
- 2 up first, or do you want to go in order, or --
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah. And then -- yeah,
- 5 the spokesman first, if you can. Then we'll go off the
- 6 order list.
- Now, we have an individual spokesman you've
- 8 chosen, or -- are you guys all going individually, or --
- 9 how are we doing this?
- DR. SNELL: Well, we've all gone in order, and
- 11 then people will be filling in.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Well, unless you're chosen
- 13 a spokesman by your group, I'm going to go by the order
- 14 of the cards that were submitted. That's why I asked you
- 15 --
- DR. SNELL: Then I guess I'll be the
- 17 spokesperson.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. Then why don't you
- 19 be the spokesperson? Thank you.
- Then we'll go in order, after you speak. So,
- 21 you might have to get up and leave and let somebody else
- 22 -- or make room.
- DR. SNELL: Okay.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

- 1 DR. SNELL: My name is B. J. Snell. I'm a
- 2 certified nurse midwife, and I'm here representing the
- 3 California Nurse Midwives Association as well as advanced
- 4 practice nurses, which we all are.
- 5 I welcome the opportunity to address this
- 6 Commission again on behalf of the California Nurse
- 7 Midwives Association regarding the implementation of AB
- 8 60. First of all, I would like to thank the chair, Chair
- 9 Center, as well as the commissioners, and the very
- 10 patient and informative staff of the Industrial Welfare
- 11 Commission for their assistance and very professional
- 12 approach to this discussion. In particular, I would like
- 13 to point out the assistance of Michael Moreno, for his
- 14 help and quidance. It has been absolutely marvelous.
- 15 The California Nurse Midwives Association is
- 16 extremely concerned about the negative impact that this
- 17 law has already had on the practice of nurse midwifery
- 18 here in the State of California. I'd like to update the
- 19 commissioners on events that have taken place to date,
- 20 since the enactment of this law on January 1st, 2000.
- 21 A group of nurse midwives, originally four
- 22 midwives, were effectively laid off of their jobs. Three
- 23 were discharged completely, and one has retained work,
- 24 only in the office on an 8-hour shift basis. These

- 1 layoffs were a direct result of AB 60. In their
- 2 practice, they had contracted with women and families to
- 3 provide midwifery model of care during pregnancy, labor,
- 4 and birth. This model includes continuity of care from
- 5 the beginning of labor through birth. Now these women,
- 6 who sought and contracted for midwifery care, will not
- 7 receive that care.
- 8 The one remaining midwife had her privileges for
- 9 full-scope care changed immediately to eliminate night
- 10 and weekend coverage because of the overtime requirements
- 11 after 8 hours of work.
- So, with the enactment of this law, three
- 13 midwives with a very thriving practice in Central
- 14 California are unemployed. One has had her practice
- 15 severely restrained. And, more distressing, the women in
- 16 their practice who sought out that care now have no
- 17 choice.
- 18 Another large nurse midwifery service has been
- 19 severely impacted by this new law. Prior to the
- 20 enactment, the service covered the needs of their
- 21 practice through 8-, 10-, 12-, and 24-hour blocks of
- 22 time, and nurse midwifery providers were salaried
- 23 employees. This gave the midwives the ability to be
- 24 flexible and creative in their practice. They offered

- 1 extended hours of care in order to accommodate needs of
- 2 working women and families. In addition, it allowed the
- 3 providers to attend the needs of women in a continuity
- 4 fashion during their labor and birth.
- 5 Prior to the new year, the nurse midwifery
- 6 service was counseled that there would be no further
- 7 schedules that included shifts beyond 8 to 12 hours. In
- 8 addition, the chief financial officer advised that the
- 9 budget had to remain neutral because of the large deficit
- 10 the facility was already facing due to the large indigent
- 11 population that this hospital serves. The consequences,
- 12 if the service desired 12-hour shifts, meant a reduction
- 13 in their base rate of pay in order to remain that budget
- 14 neutrality.
- These changes have had monumental consequences
- 16 in this practice, from the professional restraint issues
- 17 to the personal distress. Physician employees that
- 18 perform the same job -- and they are employees -- for
- 19 comparable pay in the institution are exempted from this
- 20 law, as the same work does fit the professional category.
- 21 Also, in this facility as well as many, there
- 22 are employee midwives and there are independent
- 23 contractor midwives. This sets up a dual standard of
- 24 care and unfair competition.

- 1 It is perplexing to the California Nurse
- 2 Midwives Association that comparable job descriptions for
- 3 nurse midwives and physicians can be regulated
- 4 differently because of the basic licensure of the
- 5 individual. The basic licensure does not provide the
- 6 legal authority to practice the profession of midwifery.
- 7 Only with advanced certification by the state that
- 8 permits the practice is midwifery allowed.
- 9 Regulation of midwifery practice under this law,
- 10 with the current healthcare budgets, restrains the
- 11 practice of our profession. Reduction in base rate of
- 12 pay in order to remain budget neutrality impacts nurse
- 13 midwives long-term differentially from their physician
- 14 colleagues, especially in the area of retirement
- 15 benefits.
- Relief through an exemption that would provide
- 17 for 12-hour days will not solve the dilemma for the nurse
- 18 midwives, nor their patients in this state. As
- 19 previously mentioned, the labor and birth process is
- 20 unpredictable, and many times requires longer than 12
- 21 hours at a time. In order to perform our professional
- 22 duties, nurse midwives must be exempt so they can remain
- 23 in salaried positions and maintain their full scope of
- 24 practice as allowed by law.

1 Healthcare is struggling to develop creative 2 ways to provide quality and quantity professionals to 3 meet the demands of the population with operating budgets 4 that are very restricted. The two examples were provided in order to let the Commission know of how this law has 5 6 already negatively impacted the professional practice of 7 midwifery in the State of California. Many employers have contacted the CNMA to discuss their intentions to 8 9 comply with the new law and make significant changes in 10 the practice, unless relief is granted. This law 11 provides only for one resource, and that is through this 12 Commission. 13 We have provided information to you that outlines the education, standards, and credentials that 14 15 are required for additional certification to practice as 16 an advanced practice nurse. This information clearly 17 demonstrates that we meet the requirements for exemption, 18 in that we are engaged in work that is primarily 19 intellectual, creative, and which exercises discretion 20 and independent judgment, and we earn a monthly salary 21 that is no less than at least twice the minimum wage 22 within the state.

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING

ran across a few weeks ago that I think is apropos.

I am reminded, in closing, of a saying that I

23

24

- 1 says, "Experience is a hard teacher: she gives the test
- 2 first and the lessons afterwards." We have taken the
- 3 test and are now getting the lessons that go along with
- 4 it. Hindsight is always 20-20.
- 5 This law has very good intentions and, I think,
- 6 will protect a lot of workers in the state, and we're
- 7 certainly supportive of that. But we hope we can learn
- 8 from the lessons that all does not fit one.
- 9 It is our hope that the commissioners take into
- 10 account the major negative impact that this new law has
- 11 created for our profession. The CNMA would seek the
- 12 Commission to move to exempt nurse midwives from the
- 13 requirements of AB 60 today, so that we may remain in
- 14 salaried positions and practice as allowed by law. Your
- 15 quick resolve will remedy the concerns of the profession
- 16 before any further restrictions are placed.
- We thank you again so much for your
- 18 consideration and hope we will have a positive response
- 19 or guidance from the Commission on a very quick
- 20 resolution to this issue.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any questions?
- COMMISSIONER BROAD: Do you have to be a
- 24 registered nurse to be a nurse midwife?

- 1 DR. SNELL: You do not have to be a registered
- 2 nurse to be a midwife in the State of California. There
- 3 are two pathways for midwifery in the State of
- 4 California.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Would you explain that?
- 6 DR. SNELL: One path is that you are a
- 7 registered nurse initially, and then go to a school that
- 8 requires you to be a registered nurse in order to gain
- 9 the education and credentials as a midwife. The other
- 10 pathway is that you do not have to be a nurse, and you go
- 11 to a school that prepares you to be a midwife, and then
- 12 you are licensed through an agency other than the Board
- 13 of Registered Nursing.
- 14 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. Now, my other
- 15 question is, are other advanced practice nurses in the
- 16 other categories, other than midwifery, are they required
- 17 to be registered nurses?
- DR. SNELL: Yes. Yes, they are.
- 19 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. Have you sought any
- 20 -- and this is respect -- there's obviously a clear
- 21 distinction here between midwives and other advanced
- 22 practice nurses -- in the operative place in the statute,
- 23 it says,
- "In addition to the requirements of subdivision

1	(a), registered nurses employed to engage in the
2	practice of nursing shall not be exempted from
3	coverage under any part of the orders of the
4	Industrial Welfare Commission."
5	It's very succinct language.
6	Have you sought any guidance from the Labor
7	Commissioner as to whether midwives are "registered
8	nurses employed to engage in the practice of nursing"?
9	DR. SNELL: We have not.
10	COMMISSIONER BROAD: I would suggest that you
11	seek that guidance, because it seems to me that the
12	statute is very clear and unambiguous. And with respect
13	to your group, it may be that they are not "engaged in
14	the practice" they are not "employed to engage in the
15	practice of nursing," because people in that licensed
16	category do not have to be registered nurses in order to
17	engage in that occupation. And it would seem to be that
18	before you come to the Commission and ask for an
19	exemption, you might clarify whether the Labor
20	Commissioner believes, at this point, that midwives fall
21	outside of this statutory requirement.
22	DR. SNELL: And therefore would then be exempt?
23	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yes.

DR. SNELL: They would fall, then, into the

24

- 1 professional category? Is that --
- 2 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, they would not be
- 3 prohibited from exemption by that section. They would
- 4 still, as you pointed out, have to meet the other
- 5 standards; that is, that they're engaged in a certain
- 6 kind of work and that they meet the salary test and that
- 7 they're primarily engaged in that work, and so forth
- 8 that's all -- in the other part of the section, but that
- 9 they are not prohibited from being exempted based on
- 10 Section 515(f) of the Labor Code.
- 11 DR. SNELL: And if I may ask a question back,
- 12 if, in fact, that we do approach the Labor Commissioner
- 13 with that, is that something that is likely to be a very
- 14 quick remedy? Because as we -- as I provided in the
- 15 testimony, these things have already taken effect. And
- 16 unless these particular places get something official
- 17 very quickly, the nurse midwives that have already been
- 18 laid off will not be reinstated, and the nurse midwives
- 19 that are in the large system that has been changed
- 20 dramatically will not change right away. And these
- 21 patients are the ones that we are concerned about.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I understand your question.
- 23 I don't know, as an individual commissioner, how quickly
- 24 they respond to these opinion letters, although you could

- 1 have asked for this opinion letter at any time since the
- 2 passage of AB 60, which was, you know, eight months ago.
- 3 But there are representatives, I believe, of the Labor
- 4 Commissioner's Office in attendance here, and you can
- 5 simply ask the question, what the turnaround time is.
- 6 But I believe that that would be, at this juncture, the
- 7 appropriate path for you to go on this issue. It might
- 8 very well resolve the issue, at least for that group, one
- 9 way or the other. And then, if you still feel aggrieved,
- 10 you can always return to the Commission and petition for
- 11 whatever relief is appropriate in your opinion.
- DR. SNELL: Thank you.
- 13 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Now are we having individual, groups or -- are
- 15 we individuals now? It's now another group?
- MS. MORROW: Um-hmm.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Which group are you --
- 18 MS. MORROW: Well, actually, we have three
- 19 groups represented here, and myself and Susie Phillips,
- 20 on the end, are from the California Coalition of Nurse
- 21 Practitioners.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. And you're with --
- MS. HAIGHT: And I'm Deborah Haight, from the
- 24 Nurse Anesthetists.

- 1 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. Why don't we have
- 2 extended testimony from one of yours, and then you.
- 3 Let's keep this to five minutes, if we can.
- 4 MS. MORROW: Okay.
- 5 Do you want to go first?
- 6 MS. PHILLIPS: Uh-huh, sure.
- 7 Chairman Center and commissioners, my name is
- 8 Susanne Phillips, and I'm a practicing nurse practitioner
- 9 in the State of California. I would like to thank you
- 10 all for hearing our testimony this morning and for the
- 11 hard work that you've already put into this thus far. We
- 12 very much appreciate that.
- 13 If AB 60 is implemented without an exemption for
- 14 advanced practice nurses, many nurse practitioners in the
- 15 State of California will lose their jobs to healthcare
- 16 providers such as physicians and physician assistants,
- 17 who are covered or may be covered under the professional
- 18 exemption.
- 19 Nurse practitioners work in a variety of
- 20 settings, which you may know, many of whom are employees
- 21 in hospitals and healthcare organizations. The majority
- 22 of nurse practitioners work in primary care, such as
- 23 adults, family, women's health, and pediatric. They see
- 24 scheduled patients, carry pagers for after-hours calls,

- 1 attend to emergencies after hours. There are other nurse
- 2 practitioners in sub-specialties such as neonatal nurse
- 3 practitioners, who provide 24-hour coverage in-house to
- 4 critically ill premature infants. They assess, diagnose,
- 5 write orders for treatment of these critically ill
- 6 infants, and work much in the same capacity as the
- 7 residents and physicians in these
- 8 -- in these intensive care units. Similarly, acute care
- 9 nurse practitioners also provide 12- to 24-hour coverage
- 10 in intensive care units for the adults and also emergency
- 11 departments. They work in the same role as the staff
- 12 physicians and residents as well.
- The responsibilities of the nurse practitioner,
- 14 regardless of the specialty, are above and beyond those
- 15 of a registered nurse. As you can see, the scope of
- 16 practice and responsibility extend way beyond the 8-hour
- 17 day.
- 18 Similar to physician and nurse midwife services,
- 19 nurse practitioners services are a mandated service
- 20 available to California residents, under the rules and
- 21 regulations of the Health Care Financing Administration.
- 22 MediCal regulations require that those covered by MediCal
- 23 have access to nurse practitioner services. Inasmuch as
- 24 each of these services overlap, we should all be included

- 1 in this professional exemption.
- 2 In conclusion, nurse practitioners are licensed
- 3 as registered nurses; that is correct. But we have
- 4 advanced education and training beyond that of a staff
- 5 registered nurse. By definition, nurse practitioners
- 6 clearly function as healthcare professionals who also
- 7 engage in work that is primarily intellectual and
- 8 creative and requires the exercise of discretion and
- 9 independent judgment that is required of an exempt
- 10 employee. To implement AB 60 without exemption for
- 11 advanced practice nurses would prohibit nurse
- 12 practitioners from providing the quality care they
- 13 provide to the patient populations they see and would
- 14 effectively replace us by other healthcare providers who
- 15 are considered exempt.
- Thank you very much.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MS. HAIGHT: I'm Deborah Haight. I'm the
- 19 president of the California Association of Nurse
- 20 Anesthetists, and I'm also a practicing nurse
- 21 anesthetist.
- We also appreciate that all of you have a
- 23 challenging, complicated task of implementing AB 60, and
- 24 we thank you and your staff for the time and attention

- 1 that you've already given us as we have talked about the
- 2 need of advanced practice nurses to have the ability to
- 3 have the professional exemption. As you go through the
- 4 process of looking especially at the California
- 5 healthcare industry, we appreciate being one of the first
- 6 ones able to talk to you about our problems.
- We need your help. Nurse anesthetists are used
- 8 interchangeably with physician anesthesiologists. We are
- 9 able to do pre- and post-op, pre-anesthetic evaluations,
- 10 post-op anesthetic evaluations, choose the anesthesia
- 11 agents that we use, choose the -- work with the surgeons
- 12 for all kinds of cases, giving all kinds of anesthetics.
- 13 We -- our physician colleagues, the anesthesiologists,
- 14 are exempt as professionals. We compete directly with
- 15 them, and we also work with them. And having them able
- 16 to have this professional exemption and not our -- the
- 17 nurse anesthetists puts us at a devastating disadvantage.
- 18 And it's definitely a restraint of trade.
- 19 I happen to work for a group in northern
- 20 California of about 180 -- that employs 180 nurse
- 21 anesthetists, and we, in lieu of overtime in the last few
- 22 years, had our base pay increased; our vacation time was
- 23 worth more, educational leave, our sick time. When we go
- 24 to get loans for our houses and cars, our base salary is

- 1 higher and worth more, and we're able to take care of our
- 2 families better. To have -- our retirement is also worth
- 3 more.
- 4 To now be placed in a non-exempt position means
- 5 that we lose more base pay, more retirement benefits.
- 6 The very law that is supposed to protect and help
- 7 California workers actually turns out to cause our
- 8 livelihood to decrease, but also is devastating for our
- 9 practice in California.
- 10 We appreciate your time and attention and look
- 11 forward to working with you further on this issue.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- What I'd like to do now is bring up the side
- 14 that is opposing the exemption, then go to the individual
- 15 nurses' testimony, if we could.
- 16 Is there anybody that's in opposition now to
- 17 these proposed exemptions, or is there testimony? Do we
- 18 have anybody from the other side?
- (No response)
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I guess we're just going
- 21 to go through names, then.
- I have -- and please, if you have anything to
- 23 add, add, but if it's similar testimony, you can just
- 24 state your name and give your position and make it a

- 1 little bit faster. We'd appreciate that.
- I have Deborah Gribbons.
- 3 MS. GRIBBONS: That's me.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay.
- 5 MS. GRIBBONS: Good morning. Good morning, Mr.
- 6 Center and colleagues. Thank you so much for the
- 7 opportunity to hear my concerns about AB 60 and how it
- 8 adversely affects advanced practice nurses. My name is
- 9 Debbie Harris, and I work at Children's Hospital, Los
- 10 Angeles, as an advanced practice nurse, specifically as a
- 11 pediatric nurse practitioner in the Division of
- 12 Hematology/ Oncology. I have a master's degree and am
- 13 nationally certified as a pediatric nurse practitioner.
- 14 As an advanced practice nurse, I want
- 15 professional exemption from state wage and hour laws. As
- 16 my colleagues said, advanced practice nursing jobs are
- 17 fundamentally different from staff nurse roles. The
- 18 California Nurse Practice Act identifies four areas of
- 19 advanced practice nurses: specifically, the pediatric
- 20 nurse practitioner, certified registered nurse
- 21 anesthetist, certified nurse midwife, and the clinical
- 22 nurse specialist. As a group, we are all registered --
- 23 we are all registered nurses. That's our base education.
- 24 From that point, additional education and training was

- 1 obtained in subspecialized areas. We generally hold
- 2 advanced degrees, masters or doctorates in nursing. Most
- 3 of us also hold state or national certification in our
- 4 areas of subspecialty.
- 5 Advanced practice nurses' jobs differ from that
- 6 of a bedside nurse. For example, I independently see
- 7 children with blood diseases in my clinic. I perform
- 8 physical exams, interpret lab and diagnostic tests,
- 9 diagnose illness, and formulate treatment plans. The
- 10 bedside nurse carries out my orders.
- I have a furnishing license granted by the State
- 12 of California that enables me to order medications,
- 13 including narcotics. I have applied for a DEA number.
- 14 This advanced function of ordering narcotics is very
- 15 important to my practice. I take care of children who
- 16 have sickle cell disease, and pain is the hallmark of
- 17 their disease. Thus, prompt assessment and intervention,
- 18 including pain medications, ordering of narcotics, is
- 19 very important for my job. The bedside nurse can
- 20 administer the pain medications that I've ordered.
- So, as you can see, my scope of practice differs
- 22 greatly from that of a bedside nurse.
- 23 Patient problems don't arise within an 8-hour
- 24 shifts. When my patients suffer strokes, severe painful

- 1 events, or lung infarction, I don't look at my watch and
- 2 decide if I can take care of them. I need flexible hours
- 3 of employment that will allow me the ability to apply my
- 4 specialized training and knowledge to my clinical
- 5 practice as the need arises. If I can't do this, my
- 6 patient care will suffer and my job satisfaction will
- 7 spiral downwards.
- 8 Just as my physician colleagues can't close shop
- 9 and stop treating patients at a designated time, I can't
- 10 do this either. I cannot work as an advanced practice
- 11 nurse on a shift basis.
- Nurse practitioners have a record of excellence
- 13 for patient safety, quality of care, acceptance by
- 14 patients and physicians, and cost-effectiveness. To
- 15 demonstrate that, I previously submitted an article,
- 16 written by Mundinger and colleagues that was published in
- 17 the Journal of the American Medical Association this
- 18 month, looking at primary care outcomes in patients
- 19 treated by nurse practitioners and physicians. At first,
- 20 what I heard -- yes?
- 21 Okay. Thank you.
- In closing, a nurse is not a nurse is not a
- 23 nurse. There are differences between the role of an
- 24 advanced practice nurse and a bedside nurse. I hope that

- 1 you, Mr. Center and colleagues, will understand these
- 2 differences and realize that the same legislation, AB 60,
- 3 should not be applied as an umbrella to all RNs. I urge
- 4 you to interpret AB 60 to exclude advanced practice
- 5 nurses.
- 6 Thank you very much, Mr. Center and colleagues.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Noreen Clarke-Sheehan?
- 9 MS. CLARKE-SHEEHAN: Good morning.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Good morning.
- MS. CLARKE-SHEEHAN: Thank you for the
- 12 opportunity to speak to you.
- I am an advanced practice nurse and have my
- 14 master's degree in family nursing. I am specialized in
- 15 the care of children with cranial, facial, and cleft
- 16 anomalies. The care of these children requires a multi-
- 17 disciplinary approach. I've served for the past several
- 18 years as both the coordinator for this team and the
- 19 clinical nurse specialist on the team. I find my work
- 20 very rewarding and intellectually stimulating. I enjoy
- 21 following the children and their family along the
- 22 continuum of care, which usually extends into young
- 23 adulthood.
- 24 As an advanced practice nurse, I have

- 1 responsibility for the patients over the duration of
- 2 their treatment plan, not just at any one point in time.
- 3 I provide essential continuity of care across many
- 4 settings. I have the pleasure to participate and to
- 5 watch the children with facial differences go from the
- 6 crisis of a birth with a deformity to becoming a fully
- 7 participating member of society.
- 8 My job as an APN requires that I work 10 hours
- 9 on some days and 6 hours on another day. The loss of the
- 10 exemption eliminates my job flexibility. I want and need
- 11 this flexibility.
- 12 As a working mother of two children, this
- 13 flexibility has enabled me to remain in the workforce. I
- 14 can and do adjust my hours to meet both the needs of my
- 15 personal families and the families which I service. I
- 16 feel I am better able to provide family-centered care by
- 17 being flexible.
- 18 For California, this means decreased ability to
- 19 recruit and retain expert nurses. If these professionals
- 20 don't choose to work in California under the new law,
- 21 advanced practice nurses will have limited ability to
- 22 teach classes, lecture at meetings, or conduct research
- 23 to improve care. Professional autonomy and
- 24 responsibility require flexibility.

- 1 I would like to give an example of one of the
- 2 facets of my role as an advanced practice nurse and how
- 3 AB 60 will impact my practice. Each day in California,
- 4 at least one infant is born with a facial cleft. No one
- 5 was planning for this deformity, no one expected it.
- 6 Rather than a blessing, this birth becomes a crisis for
- 7 the family. The baby looks very different. He cannot
- 8 eat as normal babies do. All the expectations that
- 9 families have had in planning for this baby have been
- 10 disrupted. Intervention at this point is essential. I
- 11 help the family get through this crisis by educating them
- 12 on how to feed their child with a cleft and the surgical
- 13 timing and care needs for their child. This establishes
- 14 me as the professional who will help guide them through
- 15 the future care of their child. This early intervention
- 16 is fundamental in establishing a relationship for the
- 17 long-term care that lies ahead.
- 18 AB 60 denies me the flexibility to respond to
- 19 these families at the time of the initial crisis. Babies
- 20 are generally not born Monday through Friday, 8 to 4:30.
- 21 The essence of advanced practice nursing is
- 22 professional autonomy, accountability, and flexibility to
- 23 meet patient and family needs when they occur. But in
- 24 the language of AB 60, California now considers these

- 1 essential professionals as individuals who do work that
- 2 is not primarily autonomous, intellectual, or creative.
- 3 The State of California has decided that advanced
- 4 practice nurses do work that doesn't require the exercise
- 5 of discretion and independent judgment. I do not believe
- 6 it was the intent of AB 60 to deprofessionalize advanced
- 7 practice nurses.
- I trust that you and the members of the IWC will
- 9 give this issue serious consideration as you consider the
- 10 impact of AB 60 on my practice.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 12 Karen Snow.
- MS. SNOW-RODRIGUEZ: Yes. Good morning.
- 14 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Good morning.
- MS. SNOW-RODRIGUEZ: Thank you for your time. I
- 16 will try and make this brief.
- 17 The elimination of exemption for advanced
- 18 practice nurses threatens our individual jobs and our
- 19 careers collectively, as you have already heard.
- I work at Children's Hospital, Los Angeles, and
- 21 I am an advanced practice nurse working in the role as a
- 22 pediatric nurse practitioner in the Department of
- 23 Pediatric Surgery. I have a master's degree in nursing,
- 24 and I am also nationally certified.

- 1 At CHLA, advanced practice nurses are specialist
- 2 clinical practitioners. We do physician-type work.
- 3 Physician assistants, who are used similarly at
- 4 Children's, remain exempt. Advanced practice nurses at
- 5 my hospital are well compensated as exempt employees.
- 6 Our compensation is at the same level as nursing
- 7 management.
- 8 As mentioned previously, our jobs are not
- 9 confined to 8-hour shifts. If the hospital has to pay us
- 10 overtime, they will stop using advanced practice nurses,
- 11 and we will use -- and they will be forced or choose to
- 12 use exempted physician assistants. My employer will use
- 13 a physician assistant who is not a registered nurse.
- 14 Even if there are not enough physician assistants to
- 15 replace APNs, hospitals such as mine cannot afford to pay
- 16 us our overtime. They will be forced to cut back
- 17 advanced practice nursing hours, which will be
- 18 detrimental to the care of our patients. This law
- 19 eliminates my ability to carry the pride of my advanced
- 20 nursing education.
- Just a personal example of my day is, on a given
- 22 day, I am available to approximately seven to ten
- 23 surgical services, approximately twenty to thirty
- 24 surgeons and physicians, and their patients and families,

- 1 to answer questions regarding surgical preparation, pre-
- 2 operative, inter-operative, post-operative care. These
- 3 questions and consultations do not come to me on an 8-
- 4 hour -- in an 8-hour day. This is ongoing work that I am
- 5 responsible for on a 24-hour basis.
- 6 My advanced practice colleagues and I will be
- 7 out of a job, and our career opportunities are going to
- 8 evaporate. This law will eliminate my ability to provide
- 9 a living for my family. And I hope that you would take a
- 10 strong look at this.
- 11 Thank you.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 13 Donna Nowicki.
- MS. NOWICKI: Yes. I'm Donna Nowicki,
- 15 Children's Hospital, Los Angeles. I'm an advanced
- 16 practice nurse, specifically a nurse practitioner. My
- 17 subspecialty is pediatric surgery.
- 18 And certainly, I'm not going to repeat
- 19 everything my colleagues so eloquently said; I'm just
- 20 going to give a personal testimony.
- In my specialty, I manage a group of pediatric
- 22 surgical patients. I see them in my clinic, I see them
- 23 in the emergency room. When they're ill, I admit them to
- 24 the hospital. While in the hospital, I do manage their

- 1 care. I write all their laboratory and X-ray orders. If
- 2 they need to go to the operating room, I can accompany
- 3 them to the operating room and oftentimes will assist the
- 4 surgeon in their surgeries. When they're well, I
- 5 discharge them from the hospital. I'm also an available
- 6 consultant for other clinics and, as I mentioned before,
- 7 the emergency room.
- 8 I'm these children's health provider. That's
- 9 what they know me as. And as an example of this, over
- 10 the holidays one of my patients was vacationing in Italy.
- 11 And at three o'clock in the morning, I got a phone call
- 12 from a surgeon in a Rome hospital requesting medical
- 13 information for the patient. The mother had given him my
- 14 card. At first he had been insistent on speaking to a
- 15 surgeon, but this mother was equally as insistent that he
- 16 speak to me. She told him that I was the one that knew
- 17 her patient -- her child better than anybody else.
- 18 It's clear that we have been accepted as health
- 19 providers in this country, and that's slowly starting to
- 20 spread throughout the world.
- 21 As many here are, I am considered an expert in
- 22 my field. I lecture nationally and internationally. I
- 23 have published -- I have a chapter coming out next month.
- 24 I'm also involved in research studies. To strip me of my

- 1 professional status and put me as an hourly employee is
- 2 quite the hardship. And in my twenty years as a nurse
- 3 practitioner, I certainly have seen obstacles, and we've
- 4 hurdled some of those obstacles. But this, by far, is
- 5 one of the darkest moments that we're seeing as advanced
- 6 practices nurses in this state. And certainly, you don't
- 7 want to chase us out to other states where we're accepted
- 8 as professionals.
- 9 So, again, I will ask for professional exemption
- 10 for advanced practice nurses, our nurse midwives, nurse
- 11 practitioners, clinical nurse specialists, and nurse
- 12 anesthetists.
- 13 Thank you very much.
- 14 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- I had -- did Jeanette Mason sign in with a card?
- 16 You signed in with a card?
- MS. MASON: Yes.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: One of these cards too?
- MS. MASON: Yes.
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Well, I didn't see your
- 21 name in here. Your card was on my podium.
- Would you like to come up, ma'am?
- MS. MASON: Yeah.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I didn't get a card from

1	you	

- Oh, I'm sorry. Are you --
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Jeanette --
- 5 MS. MORROW: Morrow.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Jeanette Morrow.
- 7 Go ahead, Jeanette, first.
- 8 MS. MORROW: Thank you.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: We have the other
- 10 Jeanette.
- 11 MS. MORROW: I'm actually giving individual
- 12 testimony. And I'm a nurse practitioner also. I think
- 13 we've been very well represented here. I work in the
- 14 emergency room at Mercy General Hospital, which is just
- 15 down the street. So, if any of you get the flu, you may
- 16 be seeing me.
- 17 (Laughter)
- MS. MORROW: I've been seeing a lot of people
- 19 with the flu.
- When patients come into the emergency room, they
- 21 are triaged, and they either go to the emergency room or
- 22 to the clinic. They see either a doctor or the nurse
- 23 practitioner. And I'm very well qualified to take their
- 24 history, do their physical exam, order their lab tests,

- 1 their X-rays, giving them breathing treatments, treat the
- 2 flu. A lot of people have needed intravenous fluids,
- 3 they've needed breathing treatments, all kinds of
- 4 symptomatic treatment to help them get over the virus.
- 5 And those are the kind of things that nurse practitioners
- 6 do.
- 7 I consider myself a professional, as does my
- 8 employer. The nurse practitioners are included in the
- 9 professional staff. We attend the core meetings at which
- 10 decisions are made on our practice and the practice of
- 11 the emergency room, we also have the same benefits as the
- 12 physicians, we're included in the physician retreat. And
- 13 we also compete with physician assistants. My employer
- 14 also employs physician assistants, who will be
- 15 professionally exempt. And so, I feel like my job is in
- 16 jeopardy as well.
- 17 And I'm just trying to reiterate what other
- 18 people have said about status as professionals and our
- 19 desire for professional exemption.
- Thank you.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- I'm sorry. We're strictly on advanced practice
- 23 nurses.
- MS. MASON: A near miss.

1 COMMISSIONER	CENTER:	Yeah.
----------------	---------	-------

- 2 Let's see. Susanne Phillips.
- 3 MS. PHILLIPS: I've already talked.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. Sorry.
- 5 Jeanette Morrow.
- 6 MR. BARON: She just spoke.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I'm not doing very well
- 8 now.
- 9 B. J. Snell.
- MR. BARON: She spoke.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: She spoke.
- 12 Arlene Sheehan.
- MR. BARON: She spoke too.
- 14 Patricia Pratoomratana. I think I destroyed
- 15 your name. Sorry.
- MS. SHEEHAN: Good morning. My name is Arlene
- 17 Sheehan. I'm a neonatal nurse practitioner. I don't
- 18 think you've heard from one of us yet. I represent nine
- 19 neonatal nurse practitioners at Packard Children's
- 20 Hospital at Stanford, a number of whom are here with me
- 21 today, to hope to convince you to exempt us from the
- 22 provisions of AB 60.
- I'd like to take this opportunity to demonstrate
- 24 why I think our group should be exempted under the

- 1 professional exemption, and I thought I would just give
- 2 you an idea of what we do, since you probably don't know.
- 3 And I think it will become apparent to you that we are
- 4 professionals and should be exempted.
- We work 12- to 24-hour shifts at Stanford in the
- 6 intensive care unit. That's the newborn intensive care
- 7 unit. We work alongside a team of two to three
- 8 physicians. We work the same shifts as the physicians
- 9 and, in fact, do the same job. On a typical shift, the
- 10 last shift I worked, I was called to the delivery room to
- 11 attend the delivery of a 29-week gestational age infant,
- 12 born very prematurely, born with a low heart rate, a low
- 13 respiratory rate. I was the person in attendance at the
- 14 delivery; I was not there with a physician. I placed an
- 15 endotrachial tube, I provided assisted ventilation. I
- 16 brought the baby back to the newborn intensive care unit,
- 17 where I placed lines, ordered respiratory treatments,
- 18 ordered medications, supported the baby in whatever way
- 19 it was necessary, ordered X-rays, interpreted labs, and
- 20 then contacted my attending physician to continue
- 21 providing that kind of level of care for this baby.
- 22 As you can see, neonatal nurse practitioners do
- 23 not provide nursing care. What we do is we direct
- 24 nursing care. We're able to do that because of advanced

- 1 studies. I personally have three years of master's level
- 2 preparation. I'm also certified in the State of
- 3 California, I passed a certification exam, as did my nine
- 4 colleagues.
- 5 Should this Commission decide not to exempt us
- 6 from AB 60 and force us to work 8-hour shifts, we would
- 7 be providing very fragmented care. We could no longer
- 8 work alongside our physician colleagues who work 12- to
- 9 24-hour shifts. We'd be coming and going at very odd
- 10 hours of days. We wouldn't be able to accept report on
- 11 these patients, as we're currently available to do.
- We could not expect the hospital to pay us the
- 13 overtime to work 10-, 12-, and 24-hour shifts; it's just
- 14 not financially feasible. So, I think it would force the
- 15 hospital to look for healthcare providers who are
- 16 exempted from AB 60, for instance, physicians, to replace
- 17 us. It is our understanding that the Commission is
- 18 attempting to protect workers from long overtime hours,
- 19 but I think, in fact, AB 60 will have the opposite effect
- 20 and may actually lead to the destruction of the
- 21 profession of neonatal nurse practitioners if we're
- 22 forced to work 8-hour shifts.
- Thank you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

- 1 Patricia, I'll let you state your last name.
- MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Hi. My name is Patrice,
- 3 first. My last name is Pratoomratana. That's okay. I'm
- 4 used to my name not being pronounced properly.
- I am a respiratory therapist, but I'm here on
- 6 behalf of the hospital I work with in northern
- 7 California, a very small hospital, speaking on behalf of
- 8 all 12-hour-shift workers in our hospital.
- 9 I'm not going to get into the specifics of my
- 10 job. Just basically, as I've heard from a lot of other
- 11 people, hospitals can't afford to pay the time and a
- 12 half. And if the staff chooses to stay on 12-hour
- 13 shifts, I think we should have that option. I don't feel
- 14 very comfortable being forced to do certain things, and
- 15 this law is forcing certain hospitals to make their staff
- 16 go back to 8-hour shifts. And it's not feasible, it's
- 17 not good for the patients.
- 18 When you work with life and death every day, you
- 19 need at least a couple days more than one or two days off
- 20 here and there, just to regroup, just to mentally get
- 21 ready to go back to work and deal with life and sickness
- 22 every day. And that's going to limit us -- we're not
- 23 going to be able to do that any more.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Excuse me. Are you an

- 1 advanced practitioner nurse?
- MS. PRATOOMRATANA: I'm a respiratory therapist.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: But are you an advanced
- 4 practitioner nurse?
- 5 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: No.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. We're only taking
- 7 testimony on that issue right now. We'll take testimony
- 8 on the healthcare industry when we go on to the order.
- 9 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Okay.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Because there's other
- 11 people that want to testify, and we're limited to one
- 12 hour here.
- MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Okay. Well, I was chosen as
- 14 a group to speak for the nurses and pretty much the whole
- 15 --
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: For the -- of the advanced
- 17 practitioner nurses?
- 18 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Right. Right. That -- I
- 19 was chosen to speak, so I thought, since we do the same
- 20 thing and work the same hours, we are both affected the
- 21 same way.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: But that -- in reference
- 23 to the healthcare industry, we're going to go into that
- 24 after eleven o'clock. I just don't want to take somebody

- 1 else's time that is directly affected by the professional
- 2 exemption.
- 3 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Okay.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's what we're talking
- 5 about right now. And we'll do that, please.
- 6 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Okay.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And we'll bring it up in
- 8 the other session.
- 9 So, I have some people -- I have Tricia Hunter.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Are they sending cards?
- 11 MS. HUNTER: I'm Tricia Hunter. I'm the
- 12 legislative advocate for the American Nurses Association
- 13 in California. And I appreciate the time the
- 14 commissioners and the Commission has taken on trying to
- 15 deal with this issue.
- The points, I guess, I want to stress the most
- 17 is that there are advanced practice nurses out there that
- 18 are losing their jobs because of this exemption. I would
- 19 hope that, as we go through the process -- and we will
- 20 write the letter, as directed, to the Labor Commissioner
- 21 -- there are -- these are positions that, even though
- 22 nursing may have been how they got there, the positions
- 23 are very definitely beyond the basic practice of a
- 24 registered nurse. A midwife, you can get there two

- 1 routes, as was described. A nurse anesthetist literally
- 2 steps in for an anesthesiologist and does the same
- 3 duties. I, as a registered nurse, cannot do what a nurse
- 4 anesthetist does, within my license. It is beyond the
- 5 basic license of a registered nurse, as they do. And
- 6 then again, a nurse practitioner works in private
- 7 practice.
- 8 The ramifications of AB 60 go well beyond the
- 9 hospital. It is nurses who are in collaborative
- 10 practice, that receive a salary from a physician, are now
- 11 put in a position that that collaborative practice is in
- 12 jeopardy. It's nurses who join practices of other
- 13 healthcare practitioners to provide services like
- 14 anesthesia, who, because they, in the past, have received
- 15 a salary, are now in jeopardy because it's going to be
- 16 required that receive an 8-hour.
- 17 It is a critical issue for nursing. It does
- 18 take us back a long way in the battles that we have
- 19 fought to bring professional nursing, and in particular,
- 20 advanced practice nursing, to the forefront as providers
- 21 in the State of California. And we appreciate anything
- 22 you can do in helping us resolve this issue.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- I understand some people that are in opposition

- 1 have arrived. Could you please come up and state your
- 2 name?
- 3 And I explained, prior to your arriving, we'd
- 4 like to have one person to be the primary spokesman and
- 5 limit the testimony of the other person, and we're
- 6 running -- and so, we're -- I don't know who you choose
- 7 as your spokesman,
- 8 but --
- 9 MS. BRODERSON: Well, we're all three speaking
- 10 separately.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay.
- MS. BLAKE: It'll be brief. We'll be brief.
- MS. BRODERSON: We'll be very brief.
- MS. BLAKE: Okay.
- MS. BRODERSON: All right. I'll go ahead and go
- 16 first. My name is Pamela Broderson. I'm an OB-GYN nurse
- 17 practitioner. I work in southern California for Kaiser
- 18 Permanente.
- 19 I'm here today representing over 400 registered
- 20 nurse practitioners who work for Southern California
- 21 Permanente Medical Group. As an advanced practice RNP, I
- 22 believe it is a disadvantage to our group to be exempt
- 23 from overtime. The profile of my day is not made up by
- 24 me, but rather, by some of the least educated individuals

- 1 in the healthcare structure, the appointment clerks. I,
- 2 along with my advanced practice colleagues, have
- 3 appointment slots designated for physical exams, return
- 4 appointments, GYN slots, OBs, et cetera, and there is no
- 5 penalty, in the form of overtime by my employer, for days
- 6 that exceed 8 hours if there is an exemption to overtime
- 7 for nurse practitioners.
- 8 The benchmarks of patients that I see will
- 9 certainly accelerate, and there will be absolutely no
- 10 accountability for how many in a day that I will be -- I
- 11 will be designated to see. My colleagues and I strongly
- 12 oppose an exemption to the overtime for the advanced
- 13 practice nurse practitioner.
- I also would like to say that as an employee of
- 15 Southern California Permanente Medical Group, I do not
- 16 have the same benefits as physicians. Yes, our
- 17 accountability is the same to the patients, but wages,
- 18 benefits, and autonomy is clearly different.
- I believe also that it is a health and safety
- 20 issue, not only to the patients we care for, but for the
- 21 licensure that we hold. So, my voice is strong
- 22 opposition to an exemption to AB 60.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 24 MS. BLAKE: My name is Barbara Blake. I'm the

- 1 state secretary for United Nurses Associations of
- 2 California. We represent 10,000 RNs, advanced practice
- 3 nurses, in southern California. We feel very strongly
- 4 that the RNP should not be exempt, but we think that you
- 5 may want to look at the midwives being carved out from
- 6 that group and being allowed to be exempt. Under the
- 7 BRN, the advanced practice nurses all hold separate
- 8 certifications, the public health nurses, the psychiatric
- 9 mental health nurses, the nurse anesthetists, the RNPs,
- 10 and the nurse midwives all hold different certifications
- 11 under the Board. So, we believe that you should carve
- 12 out nurse midwives if they're practicing more nurse -- or
- 13 they're practicing midwifery rather than nursing, and
- 14 that you may want to look at them as a special category.
- I understand, on working with them, that they
- 16 feel strongly that they should be exempt from this. On
- 17 the other hand, the RNPs that we represent, we have done
- 18 a survey of those RNPs, and the overwhelming majority of
- 19 those nurses and -- or advanced practice nurses -- felt
- 20 that they should be left as nonexempt employees. So, we
- 21 would ask you to look at the certifications under the
- 22 advanced practice in different categories.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 24 MS. MILLER: Hello. My name is Vivian Miller,

- 1 and I'm a nurse practitioner with a master's. I work for
- 2 Kaiser, Panorama City, in internal medicine and
- 3 rheumatology. I'm also the clinic vice president for
- 4 UNAC.
- 5 The nurses I work with, the nurse practitioners
- 6 I work with, all agree with this statement: We support
- 7 AB 60 as it's written. We believe that without AB 60,
- 8 the employers will have the upper hand and be able to
- 9 dictate unlimited hours without adequate pay.
- I think the pay should be equivalent to the
- 11 hours you work. And if not paid on hours worked, it'll
- 12 allow management the ability to adjust our schedules to
- 13 their advantage. For example, in 1992 when I started as
- 14 a nurse practitioner in internal medicine, I was given 45
- 15 minutes for a physical exam. That's been cut to 30
- 16 minutes, and within this last year, it was cut to 20
- 17 minutes. If this law is changed, there's going to be
- 18 nothing to prevent the administration or managers from
- 19 continuing to decrease this amount of time to, eventually
- 20 -- what? -- 10 minutes for a physical exam? We'd all be
- 21 working overtime on a daily basis without any monetary
- 22 reimbursement for that additional time.
- I don't think we can allow our employers to
- 24 overburden us with unrealistic expectations, which is

- 1 what I think will happen if this law is -- if we're
- 2 exempt.
- I think eliminating this law is just a deterrent
- 4 for managers to give us more work without adequate
- 5 compensation. And advanced nurse practice nurses do not
- 6 want to be exempt from these rules.
- 7 I believe there's a lot been said about
- 8 professionalism, and I think that all advanced practice
- 9 nurses are professionals. And I don't think being paid
- 10 an hourly salary diminishes that title of professional.
- 11 Compensation for hours worked doesn't impact our
- 12 profession.
- We can support the midwives wanting to work
- 14 overtime, if that's their choice. I think they need to
- 15 realize, though, that the management may have the upper
- 16 hand with them also in this type of practice, and they
- 17 may be working longer hours than they expected to be
- 18 working on straight time.
- 19 We cannot support -- what we can't support is an
- 20 across-the-board change in this law that would eliminate
- 21 the overtime of advanced practice nurse practitioners.
- 22 Again, we do not want to be an exempt category. We want
- 23 to be paid for each and every hour we work.
- I urge you not to eliminate the overtime for

- 1 nurse practitioners.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 3 MS. BLAKE: And let me clarify, because we came
- 4 in your late --
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah.
- 6 MS. BLAKE: -- your lovely fog in Sacramento.
- 7 You're taking testimony on the rest of AB 60 and
- 8 the alternative work schedules later?
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Correct.
- MS. BLAKE: So, we have submitted cards. You'll
- 11 return those to the staff?
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes.
- MS. BLAKE: Okay.
- MS. BRODERSON: Before I leave, I'd also like to
- 15 leave some letters from some of my colleague nurse
- 16 practitioners who also oppose AB 60 with the clerk.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- I have Diane Fletcher.
- 19 MS. FLETCHER: Hi. My name is Diane Fletcher.
- 20 I'm a nurse practitioner here in Sacramento with the med
- 21 clinic affiliated with the Mercy organization. I work in
- 22 an internal medicine department, and I support being a
- 23 nonexempt employee.
- The one thing I wanted to bring to this

- 1 committee today is my concern about the overtime. Though
- 2 I think we deserve it, we are also in a competitive
- 3 market with physician assistants. So, we do the same
- 4 job. So, if we're going to be paid overtime, we have to
- 5 also look at categorizing the physician assistants as
- 6 professionals, because when we go for jobs in private
- 7 practice or in organizations like the med clinic, if they
- 8 can hire a PA who is going to be an exempt employee and
- 9 be in a position to hire us as a nonexempt, then our
- 10 marketability and our ability for retention in
- 11 organizations might be jeopardized.
- 12 So, that's the only point I really wanted to
- 13 make.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 16 Any other testimony on this issue?
- 17 PROFESSOR LYNCH: Yes. My name is Mary Lynch.
- 18 My card was there. I guess it got lost.
- 19 I'm a professor at the University of California,
- 20 San Francisco, and I represent the faculty from the
- 21 number one school in the nation to say that we are
- 22 thrilled that you can now use the words "advanced
- 23 practice nurse." However, what you do need is some
- 24 clarity on the key roles that advanced practice nurses

- 1 play in California.
- 2 Currently, nurse practitioners, and many other
- 3 forms of advanced practice nurses, are filling the key
- 4 gaps for medically under-served communities in the State
- 5 of California. At a time where, nationally, we are very
- 6 seriously concerned about the issue of medical errors, I
- 7 can guarantee that if you do not support the exemption
- 8 for advanced practice nurses, that you will be playing a
- 9 role in enhancing and increasing the number of medical
- 10 errors that occur in institutions in the State of
- 11 California.
- 12 And I'll gladly give you an example of how that
- 13 can occur. Most of the nurse practitioners that have
- 14 been here today have already spoken eloquently about the
- 15 issues, particularly for pregnant women and for sick
- 16 infants and children, and why pregnant women don't have
- 17 their babies on 8-hour schedules, and why extremely ill
- 18 infants and children don't automatically get better over
- 19 an 8-hour period.
- 20 Institutions have hired physicians, hundreds of
- 21 physicians, within the State of California to work in
- 22 intensive care units long hours. They have no specific
- 23 hourly requirement. Now, on the alternative, nurse
- 24 practitioners and advanced practice nurses, as employees,

- 1 are hired on, in many cases, 12- and 18-hour shifts, so
- 2 that they work in the same roles as their physician
- 3 colleagues.
- 4 As a faculty member and as a member in the State
- 5 of California, I am required to help educate the nurse
- 6 practitioner students, to help them see that they are
- 7 different than nurses. They have a higher level of
- 8 practice, they are required to have the same
- 9 credentialing as physicians, they are held to the exact
- 10 same scrutiny, both legal scrutiny and professional
- 11 scrutiny. For you to pull out this group of individuals
- 12 who are key providers for all of us in the State of
- 13 California will cause, I believe, many of the voters who
- 14 put you in these positions to be extremely concerned
- 15 about your judgment.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- (Applause)
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any comments from the
- 20 Commission?
- 21 (No response)
- (Laughter)
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Do you volunteer to be
- 24 removed?

1	(Laughter)
2	COMMISSION

- COMMISSIONER CENTER: Oh, excuse me. Sorry.
- 3 MS. BAIR: Good morning. Thank you for the
- 4 opportunity to speak. My name is Ellen Bair, and I'm a
- 5 pediatric nurse practitioner practicing at the Lucile
- 6 Packard Children's Hospital at Stanford. I have been a
- 7 pediatric nurse practitioner in the State of California
- 8 for twenty years, and I practice in the pediatric
- 9 otolaryngology -- better known "ENT" practice -- for
- 10 children at Stanford.
- 11 I strongly support leaving advanced practice
- 12 nurses in the exempt position and would urge you to take
- 13 a look at the testimony presented this morning to leave
- 14 us in the exempt position. I think my colleagues have
- 15 done a great job in representing the issues to leave us
- 16 exempt.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Then, with no motions, this will conclude our
- 20 hearing on the advanced practice nurses, informational
- 21 hearing.
- 22 A suggestion is -- we're going to go into --
- 23 since I don't see any motions coming from the Commission
- 24 -- is we're going to go into another hearing on the

- 1 definition of "primarily" and "managerial," and I'd like
- 2 to hear in that hearing from the licensing body for the
- 3 nurses for the definition, for them, as to what your
- 4 duties are.
- 5 And with the nurse midwife practitioners, Miles
- 6 Locker is over here. I think you should get together
- 7 with him today and submit that letter, because I think
- 8 you have a compelling argument for an exemption since you
- 9 don't have to be required to be a nurse to be a licensed
- 10 midwife.
- 11 And with that, I'd like to go into the hearing
- 12 on the interim order and set the same parameters. We're
- 13 going to have a speaker from industry, one from labor,
- 14 then we'll go to individual testimony, by industries.
- Our first speaker will be Julianne Broyles.
- MS. BROYLES: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner,
- 17 commissioners. This is -- I'm Julianne Broyles, from the
- 18 California Chamber of Commerce. Thank you for the
- 19 opportunity to be here today.
- I am testifying today on behalf of both the
- 21 California Chamber of Commerce and the following
- 22 organizations, who are members of the California
- 23 Employers Coalition: the American Electronics
- 24 Association, Associated Builders and Contractors of

- 1 California, the California Association of Health Services
- 2 at Home, the California Association of Employers, the
- 3 California Business Properties Association, the
- 4 California Grocers Association, California Manufacturing
- 5 Association, the California Newspaper Publishers
- 6 Association, the California Taxpayers Association, the
- 7 California Hotel and Motel Association, the Consulting
- 8 Engineers and Land Surveyors of California, the Lumber
- 9 Association of California and Nevada, the Printing
- 10 Industry Associations of California, Semper Energy, and
- 11 the Trade Contractor Alliance of Orange County.
- We are appearing here today in response to the
- 13 notice of public hearing regarding the draft interim wage
- 14 order that will implement the provisions of Assembly Bill
- 15 60, the Eight-Hour Overtime Restoration and Workplace
- 16 Flexibility Act of 1999.
- 17 We have a number of issues that are technical in
- 18 nature, and I will try not to dwell too long on any one
- 19 of those, but in general, certainly, that California
- 20 employers and their employees need wage orders that are
- 21 easy to understand, wage orders that provide flexibility
- 22 in the choice of schedules to both the individual workers
- 23 and groups of workers, that contain clear definitions and
- 24 easy to understand definitions of obligations, of duties

- 1 of all concerned, that are easy for the employer to
- 2 administer and to implement in the workplace. They
- 3 certainly do not need wage orders that are filled with
- 4 legalese, that increase unnecessarily the paperwork
- 5 burdens of employers and the employees themselves, that
- 6 unduly restrict the availability of the flexible
- 7 schedules that are provided for in AB 60, and penalize
- 8 unintentional mistakes by workers and their employers
- 9 with increased legal and/or financial obligations.
- I will work, if it's permitted, Mr. Chairman,
- 11 through the sections and provide specific comments for
- 12 each section in the interim wage order that is currently
- 13 before you for consideration.
- 14 The first section is the applicability section
- 15 of the proposed interim draft wage order. Currently, as
- 16 you well know, there are fifteen valid wage orders that
- 17 cover such industries as manufacturing to amusement parks
- 18 to broadcasting and to hospitals and hotels. However,
- 19 four industries have been historically exempt from the
- 20 IWC wage orders, and those have been, historically, on-
- 21 site construction, mining, drilling, logging. And we
- 22 contend, as the Coalition, that these industries -- that
- 23 these industries cannot be subject to the provisions of
- 24 AB 60 because AB 60 is a restoration of the 8-hour

- 1 overtime requirements, and, as you also know, the five
- 2 wage orders only were affected by actions taken by the
- 3 IWC in 1997. Those wage orders were 1, 4, 5, 7, and 9.
- 4 And we contend that you cannot restore something that was
- 5 never in effect in the first place.
- 6 There are, attached to our comments, two court
- 7 cases. I do understand that one is not published, and
- 8 the other one has been -- the other one actually has been
- 9 concluded with a stipulation from the Division of Labor
- 10 Standards Enforcement and the State Labor Commissioner.
- 11 And this is the Cooper Heat decision, that the industry
- 12 of on-site construction is not regulated and is not under
- 13 the issue of overtime, according to their office and that
- 14 court stipulation. As I said, it is attached to our
- 15 comments.
- So, we do oppose their coverage underneath the
- 17 interim wage order and would respectfully request that if
- 18 the Commission so desires to cover these industries in
- 19 the future, that they actually follow the procedures laid
- 20 out in the current state Labor Code, which requires
- 21 public notice, public participation in hearings,
- 22 convening of wage boards with members of both the
- 23 employers and the employees affected, in order to legally
- 24 adopt a wage order that actually covers those industries

- 1 in the future.
- 2 Right now, we do have some very significant
- 3 issues with that and would certainly work with the
- 4 Commission, if they do decide to convene those wage
- 5 orders.
- 6 In proposed Section 2, which is the definitions
- 7 section of the draft interim wage order, there was --
- 8 there's some issues of concern to the Coalition. And one
- 9 of the issues is how the definitions are coming to be
- 10 interpreted within the draft interim wage order. And at
- 11 the December 15th hearing of the Industrial Welfare
- 12 Commission, the State Labor Commissioner delivered --
- 13 hand-delivered a memorandum to the Commission, which is
- 14 now published up on their Web site, the Department of
- 15 Industrial Relations' Web site, detailing a number of
- 16 policy decisions that the Labor Commissioner seems to
- 17 have taken it upon that particular Division to decide AB
- 18 60 actually does or does not cover, and actually take
- 19 some steps that we do find actually constitute an
- 20 underground regulation.
- 21 And there was a decision that came down a few
- 22 years ago called Tidewater Marine Western, Inc. v.
- 23 Bradshaw, where they did find that the policy and
- 24 procedure letters issued by the Department of Industrial

- 1 Relations and the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement
- 2 must be actually adopted through the Administrative
- 3 Procedures Act. And if they do not do so, they are in
- 4 violation of the law and have, in fact, issued an
- 5 underground regulation.
- 6 We respectfully request that the memorandum that
- 7 was hand-delivered to the Commission be stricken from
- 8 your record and removed from the policy record
- 9 determinations that you see -- or that you might have
- 10 with the interim wage order.
- 11 Proposed Section 3 is the executive-
- 12 administrative exempt status. There are certain
- 13 provisions in this particular section that the Coalition
- 14 members have some concerns about. We believe that,
- 15 unfortunately, the way it is currently constructed, you
- 16 will be, in effect, eliminating the ability for
- 17 businesses throughout the State of California to have a
- 18 part-time manager. And the reason for that is the
- 19 provisions within AB 60 do say that there is a salary
- 20 requirement, along with a duties requirement, obligation
- 21 for these exempt workers. Now, the way that it looks as
- 22 though it -- that it could be interpreted -- and this is
- 23 an example -- is that you could literally not have a
- 24 part-time manager who made less than a little less than

- 1 \$24,000 per year. And if you don't initially meet that
- 2 salary test, then that manager status is immediately
- 3 eliminated. The Coalition does urge you to review this
- 4 issue and to find some way to allow small businesses and
- 5 businesses of all sizes, in fact, to have a part-time
- 6 manager.
- 7 Now, when you get to an exempt status -- I'm
- 8 sure all of you are familiar with the duties and the
- 9 salary requirements that are set out in the federal Fair
- 10 Labor Standards Act -- but what you have within there is,
- 11 once they have reached that exempt status, overtime, of
- 12 course, is not due. What that permits, on a part-time
- 13 manager basis, is that money that might be set out and
- 14 aside for overtime purposes to then be directed towards
- 15 benefits that that part-time manager might not otherwise
- 16 be able to obtain.
- 17 A good example of this is -- I had a small
- 18 business call me the other day and say they're changing
- 19 the status -- 25 employees -- they're changing the status
- 20 of three of their part-time managers to nonexempt
- 21 workers, and that, by doing so, it's costing them their
- 22 ability for pension co-payments from their employer, co-
- 23 payments on their health insurance by their employers,
- 24 and other fringe benefits that that employer supplies,

- 1 because the money now must be directed towards that
- 2 salary base that you have before you in the interim wage
- 3 order as it currently is drafted.
- 4 We do think it would be a positive solution for
- 5 the Commission to consider permitting a pro-ration of the
- 6 salary test so you do maintain the ability for managers -
- 7 for businesses to have a part-time manager, people who
- 8 may just work during the day when their children are at
- 9 work, but they may not meet, you know, the -- they may
- 10 not go over 20 or 25 hours in the course of a -- in the
- 11 course of a week or a course of a -- their workweek. So
- 12 we do think that's an important item for you to look at,
- 13 because I think it would be a significant adverse impact
- 14 on the workers of California, more than anything else.
- 15 And I believe that this administration certainly has
- 16 always advocated an expansion of benefits rather than a
- 17 limitation of it. And by doing so, you certainly would
- 18 be able to keep the ability of employers to supply those
- 19 health and other benefits to their workers.
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Ms. Broyles?
- MS. BROYLES: Yes, sir.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: In reference to that,
- 23 would you -- you're proposing an exemption for part-time
- 24 managers. And what -- have they got 20 hours a week?

- 1 MS. BROYLES: Normally, part-time -- anything
- 2 over usually 30 hours is considered a full-time employee,
- 3 either by case decision -- we find it in the unemployment
- 4 insurance side of that. Usually they use a determination
- 5 of a full-time employee as anything over 35. I think the
- 6 hours that normally are considered part-time usually fall
- 7 between 20 and 30 hours per week, normally for a manager
- 8 status, for a part-time manager status.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And in reference to losing
- 10 benefits, would you consider in your proposal that only
- 11 part-time managers that receive health benefits and
- 12 pension benefits would apply to this?
- MS. BROYLES: It would be something that we'd be
- 14 willing to work on with the Commission.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Chuck, I have a question.
- 17 I'm completely puzzled by this. For about the
- 18 last sixty years, we've had a salary test, and it's
- 19 changed by the Commission every once in a while. And
- 20 last time, and where we left off, it was \$1,100 a month.
- 21 The bill merely pegs it at twice the minimum wage.
- Now, if we pro-rate it, let's say we say that
- 23 somebody works 20 percent. So, we take 20 percent of
- 24 twice the minimum wage, and that's their salary, and then

- 1 they're exempt, and then the employer, because they're
- 2 exempt, can work them an unlimited number of hours per
- 3 week without overtime. It's an exception that eats up
- 4 the rule in its entirety.
- 5 MS. BROYLES: I -- Mr. Commissioner, I would --
- 6 I do disagree with your assessment of that.
- Within the bill, you do have a definition that
- 8 it is a full-time employee at 40 hours. I don't think
- 9 that you get --
- 10 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Where is that?
- 11 MS. BROYLES: You're looking at Page 9 of the
- 12 bill, Section -- it's Section 9.
- 13 "Section 515 is added to the Labor Code to read:
- 14 . . . "For the purposes of this section "full-
- time employment means employment in which the
- employee is employed for 40 hours per week."
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Uh-huh. And then, of
- 18 course, that is only based on what's in 515(a), which
- 19 says that,
- 20 "The Industrial Welfare Commission may establish
- 21 exemptions from the requirement that an overtime
- rate of compensation be paid pursuant to
- 23 Sections 510 and 511 for executive,
- 24 administrative, and professional employees,

1	provided that the employee is primarily engaged
2	in the duties which meet the test of the
3	exemption and the employee earns a monthly
4	salary equivalent to no less than two times the
5	state minimum wage for full-time employment."
6	MS. BROYLES: Well, you also have it followed by
7	a subsequent section, Mr. Commission, where it says,
8	"The commission may establish additional
9	exemptions to the hours of work requirement
10	under this division where it finds that hours or
11	conditions of labor may be prejudicial to the
12	health or welfare of employees in any
13	occupation, trade, or industry."
14	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Uh-huh, but not for people
15	that earn less than twice the minimum wage.
16	MS. BROYLES: I would probably suspect that that
17	is something that would probably be left to the
18	discretion of the Commission, and it would be to your
19	it would be your decision to make. And that's why I'm
20	here advocating that change.
21	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Thank you.
22	MS. BROYLES: If I might continue, Mr. Chairman?
23	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes.
~ 4	

MS. BROYLES: Proposed Section 4 is the daily

24

- 1 overtime, the general provisions. We do appreciate the
- 2 language that has been crafted by the Commission staff.
- 3 I think there is -- while we think it certainly clears up
- 4 a problem that you have with some definitional terms
- 5 within AB 60, there's been a lot of discussion and
- 6 confusion over two separate terms, which are "seventh day
- 7 of the workweek" and "seventh consecutive day of work in
- 8 the workweek, "which, as you all know, are terms with
- 9 substantially different meanings. We do think that the
- 10 language is certainly a clarification on it, although we
- 11 would -- there was one additional item that we do not
- 12 have in our written comments that I will follow up in a
- 13 letter to the Commission, that the words "in a single
- 14 workweek" be added after that so there is no problem in
- 15 clarity, that it would say instead -- the language -- I'm
- 16 sorry -- the language in here, that "Any work in excess
- 17 of 8 hours on the seventh day of a workweek shall be
- 18 compensated at no less than twice the regular rate of pay
- 19 of an employee." While this provides the same right to
- 20 the double time after 8 hours on the seventh day of the
- 21 workweek, it does not require that the employee worked
- 22 any of the first six days of the workweek, the way it's
- 23 currently written in AB 60.
- So, what we do need to have put in there, in

- 1 Section 4(B), after the word "seventh" is the word
- 2 "consecutive day of work in a single workweek," would be
- 3 our proposed language.
- 4 In proposed Section 5, which is the alternative
- 5 workweek section of the draft interim wage order, we do
- 6 have some concerns about this, that in Section 5(A), that
- 7 you considered -- that you continue, unfortunately, a
- 8 policy of allowing or requiring any hour outside the
- 9 agreed to alternate schedule, that it must be compensated
- 10 at overtime rates of pay. And this is, unfortunately,
- 11 one of the things that we have found within AB 60, there
- 12 are a lot of contradictions within the language, and we
- 13 think that adding the ability to use make-up time, if
- 14 you're also on an alternate workweek schedule, when the
- 15 employee requests and the employer agrees to it, that you
- 16 would find a way that if an employee is working an
- 17 alternate workweek, say, of Monday through Thursday, for
- 18 personal reasons they end up missing Monday and wish to
- 19 work Friday, which is outside of the agreed to schedule,
- 20 without this clarity that they can use make-up time, the
- 21 employer would be obligated, under the language as you
- 22 propose it, to pay time and a half for all hours on that
- 23 extra day of work that they're doing the make-up time.
- 24 We do think that the addition of adding the term

- 1 -- that "At the employee's request and with the
- 2 employer's agreement, workers using a valid alternate
- 3 schedule may make up time, up to 11 hours in one day, as
- 4 permitted in AB 60, outside of the valid -- outside of
- 5 their scheduled hours may do so without the employer
- 6 incurring the obligation for overtime," that that is
- 7 using -- that basically, what that does is permit the use
- 8 of make-up time for those workers who are using the
- 9 alternate schedule.
- 10 And I don't think that is, in any way, something
- 11 that goes beyond the spirit of what AB 60 intended to do.
- 12 But we do feel that it has to be specifically stated.
- 13 Otherwise, we have found ourselves in times past, under
- 14 Wage Orders 1 and 4 and other wage orders that have
- 15 alternate schedules permitted, with the vote and
- 16 everything else having been conducted in a valid manner,
- 17 the Labor Commissioner has opined on a number of
- 18 occasions that any outside -- any outside hour, outside
- 19 that agreed to schedule, must be an overtime hour of
- 20 compensation. And we do want to make sure that the make-
- 21 up time is available to those workers.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: A question.
- MS. BROYLES: Yes, sir.
- 24 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: In layman's language,

- 1 if you're working a 4-10, Monday through Thursday --
- MS. BROYLES: Um-hmm.
- 3 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: -- but you, for
- 4 whatever reason, can't work Monday, you want to make it
- 5 up on Friday.
- 6 MS. BROYLES: Correct, sir, same workweek.
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: That's what you're --
- 8 MS. BROYLES: Proposing.
- 9 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: -- asking for
- 10 clarification on, right?
- MS. BROYLES: Yes, sir.
- 12 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Thank you.
- MS. BROYLES: There is one additional suggestion
- 14 that we do have on this section, that -- well, actually,
- 15 I'll -- I can mention -- or I can mention it in just a
- 16 moment -- is the issue of compensatory time as currently
- 17 permitted under the Labor Code. In proposed Section 8,
- 18 which is make-up time, you do have the ability for those
- 19 workers to make up time, at the moment, if they're not on
- 20 an alternate schedule that has been voted on by two
- 21 thirds of the employees. What we do want to make sure,
- 22 that -- first, that you do two things within this
- 23 section, is that you, one, allow that employees either to
- 24 use the make-up time that is provided for in AB 60, but

- 1 that also, in the posted wage -- the posters for the wage
- 2 orders, that they also notice, where permitted under the
- 3 Labor Code -- and that's not all of the wage orders, so -
- 4 as you're aware, but that where compensatory time may
- 5 be permitted for employees covered by a particular order,
- 6 that they are notified of their ability also to accrue
- 7 compensatory time as well, and that compensatory time is
- 8 currently authorized in current state Labor Code 204.3.
- 9 Additionally, with the make-up time, in order to
- 10 reduce the paperwork burden on all concerned, there are
- 11 many times when you know in advance that there will be a
- 12 need to continue to be absent from the office for a
- 13 personal obligation for an ongoing period of time. In
- 14 the wage -- in the draft interim wage order at the
- 15 moment, you have, I believe, up to one month. We would
- 16 like to suggest that you have the ability to go, say, for
- 17 a semester, if you have a student -- an employee who is
- 18 also a student on a part-time basis, so they can give you
- 19 a note at the beginning of the semester that they have an
- 20 ongoing obligation and be able to give you one piece of
- 21 paper rather than have to give you subsequent pieces of
- 22 paper each and every month that they're in school.
- 23 Additionally, where you think it's permissible
- 24 or advisable, we would like to see the ability to be

- 1 electronic notification if the employer wishes to do or
- 2 the employee so requests.
- 4 MS. BROYLES: Yes, sir.
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Since I don't think it
- 6 actually spells out how notification has to be done, it
- 7 seems like it would be broad enough to already been
- 8 interpreted that it includes electronic. So, I'm not
- 9 sure we have to take action on that.
- 10 But, second, I know we've talked about this
- 11 issue of the time frame, and it's at a month. And you're
- 12 -- you know, various people talked to various -- what is
- 13 -- I quess I woke up this morning and I started to
- 14 wonder, what's the purpose of us spelling out the exact
- 15 time frame anyway? What are we trying to accomplish?
- 16 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, it seems to me that
- 17 we have a lot of things working here, which is, one, that
- 18 at some point, when people are saying they're going to do
- 19 make-up time for the next three years, you know, they
- 20 should be having alternative workweek elections --
- 21 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Well, nobody has
- 22 talked --
- 23 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Right, right. So, you have
- 24 to distinguish between make-up time and the right of

- 1 employees to vote on an alternative workweek schedule.
- 2 And the make-up time provision is really intended to be,
- 3 I believe, in the statute, more of an ad hoc type of
- 4 request. That's what the opponents of the bill were
- 5 asking for, you know: "What if an employee, during the
- 6 week, has to go to a doctor's appointment or a kid's
- 7 softball game and wants to make it up in the same week?"
- 8 By making it a month, we're kind of extending it, I
- 9 think, to -- for foreseeable events.
- 10
 I'm also troubled that we're talking about kids
- 11 in school and we're talking about minors who are, by
- 12 necessity in this, would be working more than 8 hours a
- 13 day while they're in school, which includes high school.
- 14 And I'm concerned that when we start creating a schedule
- 15 that goes on and on and on like this, without it
- 16 falling into the alternative workweek provisions, that
- 17 we're creating a situation where we're going to deprive
- 18 those young people of free choice.
- 19 Having to do it once a month I don't think is an
- 20 extraordinary burden, especially if they can send an e-
- 21 mail note or just fill out a little form, which is the
- 22 entirety of the burden.
- 23 MS. BROYLES: Mr. Commissioner, if I wasn't
- 24 clear on that, I do mean that if I have an employee, not

- 1 a minor, who is an adult employee, who is returning to
- 2 school either to update their skills or increase their
- 3 viability in today's very, very competitive labor market,
- 4 and make themselves either more valuable to the employer
- 5 that they're working for or to others, I don't believe
- 6 that that is -- what should be viewed as an inappropriate
- 7 use of the make-up time. But because you do know for a
- 8 long time in the future -- so, would it be, then -- and
- 9 if I might ask this question -- would it then be
- 10 permissible for the employee to hand the employer six
- 11 notes saying that, "For the next six months -- saying
- 12 that for June and -- or for September, October, November,
- 13 December, I'm going to be in school, and here they are"?
- 14 I mean, that's another way that you could do it, but
- 15 would that be something that would match to what you
- 16 would require but -- what I'm requesting, actually do the
- 17 same thing?
- 18 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: If I may comment, I
- 19 think, actually, the difference between this issue and
- 20 having the entire work unit vote for an alternative
- 21 workweek is because this is an individual request for a
- 22 special --
- MS. BROYLES: Consideration.
- 24 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Yeah. And it wouldn't

- 1 make sense, it seems to me, to have the entire work unit
- 2 vote so that I can take off the next six months to go to
- 3 a class. And so, I think that's the question here, is
- 4 whether we want to be able to provide that additional
- 5 time frame in terms of flexibility, if I understand you
- 6 correctly.
- 7 MS. BROYLES: Yes, Ms. Coleman. And quite
- 8 frankly, the title of AB 60 also includes the words
- 9 "workplace flexibility." And I think this is one way
- 10 that, certainly, the Commission could help address that
- 11 need to balance the work life needs of workers and their
- 12 employees
- 13 -- and their employers, because the frustration has
- 14 always been that when you have the needs from outside of
- 15 work that are distracting the worker, or the need to pull
- 16 away and be away from the workplace for any period of
- 17 time where it might affect their pay, you have additional
- 18 stresses being placed on both the workers who are trying
- 19 either to deal with those stresses, or the employer who's
- 20 trying to deal with the short-term absences that can
- 21 disrupt the productivity of a workforce.
- I'm going to go back one section -- I'm sorry I
- 23 skipped over it, but it seemed appropriate to do so at
- 24 the moment -- proposed Section 7, which is the collective

- 1 bargaining agreement section of the draft interim wage
- 2 order. There was some very specific language in AB 60
- 3 that said that as long -- so long as the collective
- 4 bargaining agreement provided for premium overtime rates
- 5 and an hourly wage rate that is at least 30 percent
- 6 higher than state minimum wage, that those workers
- 7 subject to that collective bargaining agreement are
- 8 exempt from the provisions of AB 60.
- We do propose that, in order to reduce confusion
- 10 in the future, that the Commission at least entertain the
- 11 idea of putting in a specific statement that if workers
- 12 are to be exempt from the provisions of AB 60, then the
- 13 collective bargaining agreement must contain premium pay
- 14 provisions for all overtime hours worked as determined by
- 15 the collective bargaining agreements or the parties to
- 16 the collective bargaining agreement. And we think that
- 17 would be something that would significantly clarify the
- 18 matters for some of our members who are dealing with this
- 19 issue as different CBAs are being examined to see if they
- 20 do actually meet the requirements laid out in AB 60.
- 21 Excuse me.
- In the section, the final item that we do want
- 23 to say, and specific to language in the proposed interim
- 24 draft wage order, proposed Section 9, which deals with

- 1 meal periods, certainly we do appreciate the language,
- 2 again, that has been crafted by the Industrial Welfare
- 3 Commission staff and do certainly endorse what it says.
- 4 We do feel, though, that it does need one statement or
- 5 one clarification. Prior to 1997 and the changes to the
- 6 wage orders on the overtime issue, those wage orders do
- 7 contain permission for on-duty lunch -- meal periods.
- 8 And we do want to make sure that those on-duty meal
- 9 periods remain permissible in the future. And a
- 10 statement to that effect within the wage order certainly
- 11 would be appreciated.
- 12 If you have any other questions, I believe that
- 13 concludes our comments here today. And I again thank you
- 14 on behalf of the associations that I'm representing here
- 15 today under the California Employers Coalition and the
- 16 California Chamber of Commerce for the opportunity
- 17 provide these comments to the Commission.
- 18 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I want to -- I want to
- 19 go back to the pro-ration on the part-time manager. I
- 20 was reading -- I was reading this as an interpretation,
- 21 not as a new exemption. Am I missing something in that
- 22 regard? Because I believe it's the DLSE memo that we're
- 23 really talking about -- and the Commission can review
- 24 this.

- 1 From my industry's perspective, we're talking
- 2 about people who are working mostly 20, 25 hours.
- 3 MS. BROYLES: Yes, sir.
- 4 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: And Commissioner Broad
- 5 has kind of confused me, when he -- I don't understand
- 6 how this blows up the statute. I just -- I don't get it,
- 7 and I'm missing something there.
- 8 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Because what the final
- 9 result is, that the worker is exempt from overtime, which
- 10 means that, let's say, you schedule -- you say your
- 11 regularly scheduled workweek is one day, and then you
- 12 pro-rate it, and you say you have to meet a minimum
- 13 salary test of one fifth of the minimum wage. And that's
- 14 your test.
- COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: You're not going to be
- 16 a part-time manager working one day.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay, two days, whatever,
- 18 20 hours a week.
- 19 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: All right.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Then the consequence of
- 21 that is that you are exempt, right? You're exempt from
- 22 overtime, at which point the employer can assign you to
- 23 work an unlimited number of hours without the payment of
- 24 overtime, which means that the actual remuneration test,

- 1 for someone working 30, 40, 50, whatever hours the
- 2 employer wants, without overtime, is less than what the
- 3 statute requires. And any employer that would have any
- 4 brains that wants to exempt their managers would just say
- 5 that your regular schedule is less and then ask them to
- 6 work a whole bunch more hours, in which case you would
- 7 never even --
- 8 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: But when you hit the -
- 9 we said 30 hours in judicial case history, 40 hours in
- 10 the statute -- when you hit that threshold, you get
- 11 kicked into the full-time manager category. You're no
- 12 longer a part-time manager. I don't -- how does that --
- 13 how do you -- it doesn't -- I don't see how it works.
- 14 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, then, what you're
- 15 saying is you wouldn't be exempt, if you were one of
- 16 these people. You couldn't work more than the number --
- 17 than the part-time hours you're assigned to work.
- 18 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: You'd still be meeting
- 19 the -- it does meet the salary test. The issue is the
- 20 salary. It's not the duties, it's the salary.
- 21 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Right. But once you meet
- 22 the test, you're exempt, and you can work an unlimited
- 23 number of hours without the payment of overtime.
- 24 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Unlimited number of

- 1 hours in a day. But I don't see how you can accumulate
- 2 many during the week and still maintain your part-time
- 3 status. You're still part-time.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BROAD: So, what you would be
- 5 saying, then, somehow, is that they would -- if they
- 6 actually worked the overtime, they would lose the
- 7 exemption.
- 8 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Under your scenario,
- 9 if they worked these unlimited hours, I guess they'd lose
- 10 the exemption, yeah, or they'd have to qualify -- I
- 11 assume they would qualify. They'd have to meet that
- 12 salary test. Whether they do or not, I don't know. I
- 13 quess we'd have to do some number-crunching to see an
- 14 example. But I -- I believe they'd have to make it.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BROAD: It's something in the area
- 16 of advanced mathematics, I can see that now.
- 17 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I'm beginning to think
- 18 so too.
- (Laughter)
- COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you, Ms. Broyles.
- MS. BROYLES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
- 22 commissioners, again.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Mr. Rankin.
- 24 MR. RANKIN: Good morning. Tom Rankin,

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

- 1 California Labor Federation.
- 2 I originally was going to have very little to
- 3 say because, basically, we are pretty much in agreement
- 4 with your draft interim wage order. But I would like to
- 5 take the opportunity to comment on a few things, and
- 6 maybe add one or two things, comment on the previous
- 7 testimony.
- 8 First of all, in terms of the issue of the four
- 9 industries which used to be not covered by wage orders,
- 10 under some interpretations, but, as we all know, there
- 11 are lawsuits involved as to whether or not they actually
- 12 were covered. But the lawsuits are irrelevant. The
- 13 statute -- and you've heard plenty of testimony on this -
- 14 the statute clearly covers these four industries. And
- 15 so, it's -- your proposed order is correct. And it's
- 16 absurd to argue that AB 60 is solely a restoration. I
- 17 could go through AB 60 and go through all the statutory
- 18 provisions that are different from what was in the wage
- 19 orders, but I'm not going to take your time doing that.
- In terms of definitions, I want to support the
- 21 testimony of the nurses from our affiliated union, UNAC,
- 22 regarding the question of exemptions. But I might point
- 23 out that your -- the language you do have in your Section
- 24 3 regarding nurses doesn't totally reflect the statute

- 1 and could -- if you do want to do something about
- 2 midwives -- could cause problems.
- It doesn't contain the language about "employed
- 4 to engage in the practice of nursing." That's all.
- In terms of the question of part-time managers,
- 6 we didn't change anything in AB 60, as Commissioner Broad
- 7 stated, other than to increase the wage amount. And so,
- 8 I would certainly want to leave it there. I think you're
- 9 going beyond the law if you start playing around trying
- 10 to define a part-time manager -- and it, as Mr. Broad, it
- 11 totally opens it up to abuse. And, you know, it's never
- 12 been there. There's never been an exception for a part-
- 13 time manager, in any of the wage orders, ever since they
- 14 started.
- 15 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: The only -- the
- 16 reason, Tom, that I want to look at it is, quite frankly,
- 17 because people are -- they work 25 hours, they qualify
- 18 for the healthcare plan, or some portion or some
- 19 contribution, things like that, in my industry. So, I'm
- 20 not -- I'm just saying if we're uncovering a problem
- 21 that's developing in the employer community because of
- 22 this, and people are losing that status because people
- 23 are interpreting it that way, I think the Commission
- 24 needs to look at it.

- 1 MR. RANKIN: The problem is that they will be
- 2 worked endless overtime hours.
- 4 look, I'm -- I'm with you. If that's -- it there's a
- 5 possibility that that's going to be abused, to blow
- 6 through the law, we're not going to do that. That's not
- 7 what our -- we don't have the authority to do it, first
- 8 off. But what we need --
- 9 MR. RANKIN: Yeah. You have to -- you have to
- 10 remember that the wage criteria is very low to begin
- 11 with. Remember, we tried to make it three times the
- 12 minimum wage; we compromised at two times the minimum
- 13 wage.
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Yeah. And you and I
- 15 have talked about that.
- MR. RANKIN: Right.
- 17 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: But I quess that's
- 18 where I think we ended up. Let's -- I, at least -- I'm
- 19 going to ask the Chamber and some others -- let's come up
- 20 with some real-world examples of these people, and let's
- 21 see the numbers, and let's start trying to see what
- 22 happens when you -- when you do this kind of pro-ration.
- 23 I mean, that's the answer, instead of us dummies up here
- 24 sitting here kind of speculating about what happens, I

- 1 think, because I -- none of us know how the numbers
- 2 crunch out.
- 3 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Just speak for the two of
- 4 us.
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: The two of us.
- 6 (Laughter)
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Make it three.
- 9 (Laughter)
- MR. RANKIN: Okay. The next issue I might touch
- 11 on is the question of the make-up time. I think what you
- 12 put in, in terms of notice, is reasonable. You have to
- 13 remember that make-up time is not supposed to be -- even
- 14 on an individual basis, is not supposed to be a way of
- 15 getting around the overtime requirement. Make-up time is
- 16 there to accommodate the employee. But remember, the
- 17 employee has no absolute right to make-up time. They
- 18 employer can say no every time an employee requests make-
- 19 up time. If it were the other way around, maybe we'd
- 20 have a little more flexibility here. But the employer is
- 21 not required to give make-up time.
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Okay. I'm the dummy
- 23 again. Under that scenario, it seems -- why wouldn't the
- 24 employee want to have as few -- or have to request as few

- 1 times as possible instead of this -- instead of more
- 2 times?
- 3 MR. RANKIN: The -- look, the statute is crafted
- 4 to protect employees where there's no --
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Correct.
- 6 MR. RANKIN: -- collective bargaining agent. We
- 7 know what kind of pressure employers can put on
- 8 employees. We do not want to open up the situation where
- 9 an employer can go to an employee and say, "Hey, I really
- 10 would like you to put in a long-range request for make-up
- 11 time to do this because it accommodates my needs."
- 12 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I agree with you that
- 13 that's inappropriate.
- MR. RANKIN: And that's why we have that.
- 15 Hey, as I said, it's discretionary with the
- 16 employer. I would like to see in a statute where the
- 17 employee had the right to get make-up time; we didn't get
- 18 it.
- 19 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Also, Tom, the thing that's
- 20 also troubling is that in Labor Code Section 513, which
- 21 deals with this, it says, "An employee shall provide a
- 22 signed written request for each occasion that the
- 23 employee makes a request to make up work time pursuant to
- 24 this section." That's pretty explicit language. Now,

- 1 we're stretching that to a month. If we stretch it too -
- 2 you know, some -- it's probably stretching it as it is.
- 3 I think, when we go beyond that, we're up against
- 4 statutory language that's pretty clear.
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Well, I -- and I agree
- 6 with you, we can't stretch it. We are -- there are
- 7 parameters. But I also recall that this issue, during
- 8 the whole legislative process, was debated by parties,
- 9 and there was difficulty in coming to some agreement
- 10 about what it meant.
- 11 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: If I can just respond as
- 12 well, I agree there needs to be protection -- obviously,
- 13 that's the whole point -- for the employees. And the way
- 14 that the proposed language is, it's still at the
- 15 employee's request. So, I think the only difference is
- 16 the length of time, but not --
- MR. RANKIN: I know it's supposed to be at the
- 18 employee's request. I'm -- the statute's written, I
- 19 think, partly to protect solicited requests --
- 20 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Right.
- MR. RANKIN: -- by employers.
- 22 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Right. And I think
- 23 extending it continues to do that while allowing
- 24 increased flexibility for the employees. I think that

- 1 was the --
- 2 MR. RANKIN: Well, I don't think it allows
- 3 increased flexibility. All you're talking about is
- 4 whether -- how often an employee has to make the request.
- 5 It's not a big deal, I don't believe, to put in a request
- 6 once a month.
- 7 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: If you have a company
- 8 like Hewlett-Packard, with hundreds of thousands of
- 9 employees, that's -- what appears to be a small hurdle
- 10 here can actually turn out to be a larger bureaucratic
- 11 hurdle. So,
- 12 T --
- MR. RANKIN: Well, how do they take care of sick
- 14 leave, which happens on a haphazard basis every week? If
- 15 they can take care of that, I would think they could take
- 16 care of these requests.
- 17 COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Again, it's a minor thing
- 18 that I don't think violates the spirit of the law.
- MR. RANKIN: Finally, something's come up that I
- 20 think has been missed in the regulations, and this is a
- 21 situation where workers have voted for an alternative
- 22 workweek, say four 10's, and then what happens when the
- 23 employer decides to send someone home after nine hours?
- 24 Does the employee get paid overtime for the ninth hour?

- 1 And we believe they should, and we believe that that
- 2 should be clarified in your proposed interim wage order.
- 3 The danger if it's not done is that employers
- 4 will encourage the adoption of alternative workweeks and
- 5 then they can use it as a way to cut compensation by
- 6 having people work less than the 10-hour day and not
- 7 paying them overtime. So, I think that this is something
- 8 that needs to be dealt with in the interim wage order, to
- 9 make this clear that if someone is working an alternative
- 10 workweek which has been legitimately voted on, and for
- 11 whatever reason is sent home after 9 hours on one day a
- 12 week or four days a week, that employee is eligible for
- 13 time-and-a-half pay for that one hour a day.
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: A question just came
- 15 to my mind. If -- because, again, I don't want to see
- 16 this -- I don't believe there's any -- there shouldn't be
- 17 a loophole here, as you're describing. But what happens
- 18 in a situation where you have a manufacturing plant,
- 19 you've got a four 10-hour day, and maybe -- maybe I'm
- 20 again going to demonstrate my ignorance -- but some
- 21 mechanical problem happens that day. You've got to shut
- 22 it down after 9 hours. Does the manufacturing plant pay
- 23 for the four 10's? Do they pay for 10 hours --
- MR. RANKIN: No, they wouldn't pay 10. I'm

- 1 saying, for the ninth hour.
- COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: No, I'm actually -- if
- 3 you knew -- I don't know if you know this, Tom, so I
- 4 don't put you on the spot, but in a situation like that,
- 5 where an unforeseen physical thing happens in a plant,
- 6 for instance, would those salaried employees get paid
- 7 less that day because they went home, or would they get -
- 8 –
- 9 MR. RANKIN: They're hourly employees.
- 10 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: They're hourly.
- 11 They're -- I'm sorry -- hourly employees.
- MR. RANKIN: If they're salaried, they probably
- 13 aren't covered by overtime.
- 14 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: If it ended -- I'm
- 15 sorry -- if it ended at 9 hours, the problem here, 9
- 16 hours, because of a -- would they get paid for 9 or would
- 17 they get paid for 10?
- 18 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I believe most employers
- 19 would pay them for 9, the hours -- number of hours
- 20 actually worked.
- 21 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: And in that case, your
- 22 problem comes up, because they should get paid overtime
- 23 for that one hour?
- MR. RANKIN: Correct.

1 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI	: Right?
---------------------------	----------

- 2 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I think that the problem
- 3 here is illustrated more by looking at the situation
- 4 where we, at the present time, at least, permit 12-hour
- 5 days. Suppose an employer holds an alternative workweek
- 6 election and says, "Your normal work schedule is three
- 7 12-hour days," and the employee -- and it's supposed to
- 8 be a regularly scheduled workweek of 12-hour days. And
- 9 what the employer -- and it's in an occupation where
- 10 there may be times of the week where the business flow is
- 11 such that some days you need the person for the full 12
- 12 hours, and some days you don't. And you -- that
- 13 employer, then, you know, sends the employee home after
- 14 11 hours one day, and then the next day it's after 10
- 15 hours, and then the next day it may be 12 hours, and then
- 16 the -- and it fluctuates. And, in effect, what the
- 17 employee is deprived of is the statutory right to have a
- 18 regularly scheduled workweek.
- 19 And I think the remedy for that is just to say
- 20 that if the employer deviates from the regularly
- 21 scheduled workweek -- workday in that workweek schedule,
- 22 and -- but requires the employee to work more than 8
- 23 hours a day but less than the schedule, that that day
- 24 becomes just a normal daily overtime day. And it will

- 1 discourage taking what is supposed to be a regularly
- 2 scheduled alternative workweek and turning it into some
- 3 kind of weird on-call process.
- 4 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Right. Thanks.
- 5 MR. RANKIN: That's it? Thank you. Any other
- 6 questions?
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thanks for giving us these
- 8 duties here. We appreciate it, Tom. You're keeping us
- 9 busy.
- 10 With that, what we'd like to do now is go into,
- 11 first, the industries that were not covered under
- 12 Industrial Welfare Commission orders -- that would be the
- 13 mining, logging, construction, and oil production -- then
- 14 go into the healthcare industry, and then go into general
- 15 comments.
- So, we're looking at probably 45 minutes on the
- 17 non-covered industries in the past. And with the
- 18 concurrence of the Commission, we'll go to a 30-minute
- 19 break at one o'clock.
- Is that enough, 30 minutes, for lunch, or you
- 21 want more?
- 22 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Why don't we get 30 minutes
- 23 at 12:30?
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay, 12:30, 30 minutes.

- 1 That way we have it between our fourth and fifth hour.
- COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yeah.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: There we go.
- 4 (Laughter)
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: With that, I'd first like
- 6 to bring up the construction industry and the individuals
- 7 that want to testify, first, on opposition to coverage
- 8 under overtime and still consider the exemption to apply,
- 9 for construction.
- 10 I'm getting names here, I think. And if there's
- 11 more than one, if you have a spokesman, what we're doing
- 12 is attempting to do five minutes and limit to three for
- 13 the non-spokesman.
- So, you can come up and state your name.
- MR. CLARK: Good morning. My name is Donald
- 16 Clark. I'm a registered civil engineer. I represent our
- 17 construction company, which is Clark Pacific. Our firm
- 18 is located in West Sacramento as well as down in southern
- 19 California. I'm an owner and a partner of that firm. We
- 20 have approximately 350 employees. We engineer,
- 21 manufacture, and we do on-site construction. Both of our
- 22 manufacturing plants, and also our on-site construction
- 23 crews, are under collective bargaining agreements. Our
- 24 firm is a member of Construction Employers Association.

- 1 Our firm supports the construction --
- 2 construction being part of the interim wage order. We
- 3 believe it makes sense. It's what's generally the
- 4 practice now in the construction industry. And we
- 5 believe it's also good for the worker, as well as, we
- 6 feel, it keeps the playing field level for us, for our
- 7 firms that are part of collective bargaining agreements.
- 8 I think it's good for the worker, number one,
- 9 for safety, is that we believe that long hours --
- 10 construction is tough work, and long hours in the
- 11 construction industry has an effect on safety. Safety is
- 12 a primary concern to our firm and to the majority of
- 13 construction firms. Working over an 8-hour day in any
- 14 one-day period starts to have an effect. And I believe,
- 15 you know, this interim wage order provides a financial
- 16 disincentive for firms to work over 8 hours unless they
- 17 have to.
- 18 I would think it's also fair. Construction is
- 19 hard work. And we believe that our workers in our
- 20 manufacturing facilities, as well as in on-site
- 21 construction, should be paid over -- you know, overtime
- 22 for work over 8 hours in a day.
- 23 Also, I think it's fair because our manufacture
- 24 -- we produce what's called architectural pre-cast

- 1 concrete panels, which go on the outside of buildings.
- 2 It's -- the Attorney General's building here in
- 3 Sacramento, as well as the Shriner's Hospital, have our
- 4 product on it. And the workers in our manufacturing
- 5 facility, they drive equipment, they pour concrete, they
- 6 tie rebar, they do all the same types of work that our
- 7 workers out at the job site do. It doesn't seem right
- 8 that our workers in the plant would have to follow -- you
- 9 know, we follow one rule with them, and then, for some
- 10 reason, it wouldn't be -- you know, the workers out on
- 11 the job sites don't fall under the same state rules.
- 12 You know, we, just again, just believe -- you
- 13 know, our firm supports that construction is part of the
- 14 interim wage order.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 17 Any questions?
- (No response)
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MR. CLARK: Thanks, Chuck.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Anybody -- I have cards
- 22 here. Anybody that opposes construction being covered in
- 23 the interim wage order, from the construction industry?
- I've got to start going through names, then.

- 1 And why don't you --
- 2 How about Patricia Gates, Scott Wetch, Jamie
- 3 Khan, Tom Cadell?
- 4 MR. WETCH: Hi. I'm Scott Wetch. I'm here
- 5 today representing the State Building and Construction
- 6 Trades Council on behalf of the more than 300,000 men and
- 7 women employed within the construction trades in
- 8 California.
- 9 First, I'd just like to spend a moment
- 10 dispelling this argument that somehow, the construction
- 11 industry, along with logging, mining, and drilling, is
- 12 not covered under AB 60. The court cases that were
- 13 mentioned earlier by the representative of the Chamber of
- 14 Commerce, I think it's important to note, are two
- 15 decisions that were -- one unpublished, one published --
- 16 that were both issued prior to the enactment date of AB
- 17 60. As you know, AB 60 expressly covered all employees
- 18 in the State of California under the 8-hour day, with the
- 19 exception of those very few industries that were
- 20 expressly exempted. And it also granted this Commission
- 21 the authority to grandfather in those industries -- those
- 22 exemptions that were contained in pre-1998 valid wage
- 23 orders. And I think, as this Commission is aware of,
- 24 nowhere in either AB 60 or in those pre-'98 wage orders

- 1 is there an exemption for construction, logging, mining,
- 2 or drilling.
- In addition, I think it would be fair to -- it
- 4 would be fair to say, for those of us that participated
- 5 in the AB 60 discussions in the Legislature, that the
- 6 Legislature, through a very lengthy and deliberative
- 7 process, considered what exemptions to expressly place in
- 8 AB 60. And through those deliberations, they chose not
- 9 to include construction, mining, logging, or drilling.
- 10 So, having said that, the State Building and
- 11 Construction Trades Council is in concurrence with the
- 12 Commission in the interim wage order, with a few
- 13 suggestions for inclusion in either the interim wage
- 14 order or, certainly, in the wage boards.
- Under the definition of construction, we believe
- 16 that the -- it's incomplete, in that remodel, renovation,
- 17 and improvement, as defined in the Business and
- 18 Professions Code Section -- I believe it's Division
- 19 Three, Chapter 9, beginning with Section 7025 -- should
- 20 be contained within the definition of construction.
- 21 Two other issues that we have that we'd like to
- 22 see addressed, if not in the interim wage order, through
- 23 the wage boards, is the election for the alternative
- 24 workweek. Given that in the construction industry, there

- 1 is a very fluid fluctuation of employee levels for any
- 2 particular project or under any particular contractor, we
- 3 believe, presents an opportunity for the unscrupulous
- 4 employer to try to circumvent the rights of the employees
- 5 to have a fair election. And what our concern is, that
- 6 an employer will bring in their core employees, those
- 7 employees that they, for the most part, keep on the
- 8 payroll week in and week out, month in and month out, and
- 9 hold an election that would then be binding upon the
- 10 larger employee group that would be brought in to do the
- 11 rest of the job. So, you may have ten or fifteen core
- 12 employees conducting the election. By the peak employee
- 13 point in that particular project, you may be up to two or
- 14 three hundred employees.
- So, we believe that there needs to be some
- 16 language regarding the peak workforce to ensure that when
- 17 the election is conducted, that it is done so with the
- 18 substantial and regular complement of employees.
- In addition, we have some concern regarding the
- 20 transitory nature of the construction industry, in that
- 21 an employer may be working on one project one week with a
- 22 hundred employees, be on another project just another
- 23 week down the road with a completely different set of
- 24 employees, and I believe that that's an issue that needs

- 1 to be addressed so that, under the language of AB 60,
- 2 that all affected employees have a voice and have an
- 3 opportunity to vote on their alternative workweek.
- 4 Lastly, I would request that the posting order,
- 5 on the interim order and any subsequent construction wage
- 6 order, that you require that rather than it just be
- 7 posted adjacent to the existing wage orders, that it be
- 8 posted in an area that is frequented and easily
- 9 accessible to employees, because, in our industry, on a
- 10 job site, it's not like you just walk into a lunchroom,
- 11 and there you have the postings of the wage orders. It
- 12 needs to be a place where the employees are -- frequent
- 13 toolboxes, you know, the restroom facilities and such,
- 14 that they can easily access.
- 15 Yes?
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's in the new proposed
- 17 amended orders.
- 18 MR. WETCH: Okay. I'm sorry. I didn't see
- 19 that.
- 20 With that, we thank the Commission and look
- 21 forward to working in the wage board process with you.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Ms. Gates?
- MS. GATES: Yes. My name is Patricia Gates, and

- 1 I'm an attorney with the law offices of Victor Van Bourg,
- 2 Van Bourg, Weinberg, Roger and Rosenfeld. Our office
- 3 represents tens of thousands of construction workers and
- 4 apprentices. We also represent workers in areas
- 5 regulated by the Industrial Welfare Commission in other
- 6 industries.
- 7 I'm here today to testify in favor of
- 8 implementation of AB 60 by way of the interim wage order.
- 9 I concur in the amendments offered just now by Scott
- 10 Wetch of the State Building Trades. But I'm also here
- 11 for another reason today, and that is to attempt to
- 12 gradually shift both the philosophy and the work of this
- 13 Commission from constantly looking at what employers want
- 14 and what employers need and to instead look at what this
- 15 Commission is charged by the Legislature to protect, and
- 16 that is the welfare of working people.
- 17 Driving up here today -- and you'll probably be
- 18 sorry to hear I had a long drive -- I had time to think
- 19 about what I wanted to say. I've prepared a written
- 20 testimony that I'll give to all of you, and I also
- 21 brought some materials that I think will help in shifting
- 22 the concern of this Commission to that of working people.
- But in driving up, I started to think about my
- 24 boss, my boss who died recently, Victor Van Bourg, and

- 1 what he might have said had he been here. And rather
- 2 than following that to its logical conclusion, that I
- 3 could never be so eloquent, I distracted myself by
- 4 remembering something that a union member said at
- 5 Victor's funeral and memorial service. And what he said
- 6 about Victor is that he woke up every day and said to
- 7 himself, "What can I do today to make the conditions for
- 8 working people better?" And that's how he lived his
- 9 life.
- 10 And the parallel that I see is the parallel that
- 11 this Commission has that same charge and that same duty.
- 12 Your duty is to improve the lives of working people. The
- 13 duty is set out in statute. The duty is also set out in
- 14 case law, in Supreme Court interpretation.
- Right now, your jobs have been busy with
- 16 implementing AB 60. And because of that, I think you've
- 17 had to look much more at what the industries and what
- 18 California businesses want from you. And this board is
- 19 made up of representatives of business and labor. But
- 20 the real work of this board is creating a floor of rights
- 21 underneath all California workers, and raising that floor
- 22 so that California workers can share in the economic
- 23 prosperity that this state and our country is enjoying
- 24 right now.

- 1 In the 1970's, the Industrial Welfare Commission
- 2 orders were largely ignored because they were enjoined.
- 3 Employers went to court and enjoined the enforcement. In
- 4 1980, I was working for the Department of Industrial
- 5 Relations on the day that the decision, the Industrial
- 6 Welfare Commission decision, was decided, finally
- 7 releasing those orders from court injunction and allowing
- 8 basic wage and hour law to live in California. It was a
- 9 day of great optimism.
- And then came the '90's. And during the 1990's,
- 11 this Commission was charged with looking out -- really
- 12 lost its way and began looking out for the -- for what
- 13 was best for industry and business. It gave unilateral -
- 14 it gave unilateral power to the employer to order
- 15 workers to work 10-hour days without overtime.
- 16 What I'd like to ask this Commission to do is to
- 17 charge each of your wage boards, when you send the small
- 18 issues that you can't deal with in this large forum and
- 19 issues that are best dealt with in -- by way of wage
- 20 orders, to charge those wage boards with the
- 21 responsibility to ask themselves that same question:
- 22 "Will this change, will this amendment, will this request
- 23 being made of me by this employer group, will this
- 24 advance the interests of working people?," because that

- 1 is fundamentally the job of this Commission.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 4 Jamie Khan, Warren Mendel.
- 5 MS. KHAN: Phil's wondering why he's not being
- 6 called.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Oh. Phil too, if he's
- 8 here -- Vermeulen.
- 9 Go ahead, Jamie.
- MS. KHAN: Hi. Jamie Khan, representing the
- 11 Associated General Contractors here.
- 12 My comments are brief. We have reviewed the
- 13 interim wage order. We believe that it reflects the
- 14 provisions of AB 60, and we believe that we're covered by
- 15 AB 60, and will abide by them. We do, however, would
- 16 like -- or strongly urge the Commission to initiate wage
- 17 boards so that the particular nuances to construction can
- 18 be considered and wage order developed that is specific
- 19 to construction.
- 20 And that's all my comments.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MR. MENDEL: Warren Mendel, representing the
- 23 Southern California Contractors Association. And I
- 24 reflect what my good friend Jamie has said.

- 1 One little thing bothers me, though, and I
- 2 understand it. But employers do a little work
- 3 themselves, so I don't like to see them excluded
- 4 completely when somebody's talking about somebody
- 5 working.
- 6 That's a joke.
- 7 (Laughter)
- 8 MR. MENDEL: The thing that concerned us most in
- 9 viewing these orders is the uniqueness that's in
- 10 construction. We don't have a fixed place of work. We
- 11 don't have a fixed set of circumstances under which we
- 12 work. And so, there has to be considerable flexibility
- 13 in terms of controls over management of a construction
- 14 company.
- 15 It so happens that this association requires
- 16 participation in the master labor agreements to be a
- 17 member of the association. So, we are extremely
- 18 concerned that all the provisions that have been
- 19 bargained into those agreements can remain in effect, and
- 20 would hope that the flexibility of any changes that come
- 21 up could be settled in negotiations between the craft
- 22 unions and our association.
- Thank you, Mr. Center.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

- 1 MR. VERMEULEN: Mr. Chair, members, Phil
- 2 Vermeulen, representing the Engineering Contractors
- 3 Association, Fence Contractors, Sacramento and Marin
- 4 Builders Exchanges, and the Flasher Barricade
- 5 Association.
- 6 Following up with my colleagues, we too agree
- 7 that AB 60 embraces the construction industry, and we
- 8 urge going ahead with the adoption of the interim wage
- 9 order. Having said that, we strongly urge that wage
- 10 boards for the construction industry be held as
- 11 expeditiously as possible.
- Our concerns are many, such as, in the Wage
- 13 Order Number 4, 68-degree temperatures in restrooms, on
- 14 Porta-Potties on a construction site just don't work.
- 15 You can see that those kinds of things are nuances in the
- 16 construction industry which, obviously, we have to
- 17 address.
- So, I would urge you to go ahead and create a
- 19 construction wage board as quickly as possible.
- With that, thank you very much.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 22 I have Alan Smith and Eric Carleson and Tom
- 23 Cadell.
- 24 MR. SMITH: Good afternoon. My name is Alan

- 1 Smith. I'm a member of the NPC, which is the National
- 2 Plasterers Council. This is George Oliveira; he's the
- 3 chairman. We are basically an association of swimming
- 4 pool plasterers in the state -- actually national, but
- 5 this is the state representation here.
- 6 We have a unique, I believe, on-site
- 7 construction industry, for we are really tempered so much
- 8 by the weather and changing conditions on a daily basis.
- 9 Traditionally, we are a piecework -- for the last fifty
- 10 years, we pay by the pool we plaster, for instance, say,
- 11 \$100 per finisher per pool. We traditionally do two
- 12 pools a day.
- The problems we've run into this first month
- 14 already has been in such where we have a very cool and
- 15 damp day and it takes maybe six or seven hours to plaster
- 16 one pool. The next day is rather warm; the gentlemen can
- 17 do two pools in a day, maybe in six hours. We are losing
- 18 the flexibility in the fact that when we have two pools
- 19 to plaster and we think it's going to take more than 8
- 20 hours, we simply don't send the crews out because we --
- 21 for a second job because we can't pay the overtime. It
- 22 might run into 9, 10, 11 hours to do the second job. And
- 23 the profit margins are minimal; it may be two or three
- 24 hundred dollars per job. And if you have an eight-man

- 1 crew with two hours of overtime, or possibly three, we
- 2 can't send them out because it basically gobbles up our
- 3 profit on that particular job.
- 4 On a 40-hour schedule, we can do it day by day.
- 5 We can get all the work done, and then, at the end of the
- 6 week, we can analyze it and see, you know, how much work
- 7 can be done on that Friday. But still, they're getting
- 8 more work. For instance, the first three weeks of this
- 9 month, my finishers have taken a 30 percent decrease we
- 10 are not able to pay the overtime based on just not
- 11 knowing when we can send these crews out. And that's
- 12 very difficult for them. Especially a lot of them have,
- 13 you know, mortgages and such, like everybody else does.
- 14 With the flexibility of a 40-hour week for us, we would
- 15 not have that situation.
- We are basically external cement finishing.
- 17 It's warm in the morning, the clouds come over, it cools
- 18 off, and all of a sudden, what might have been a 7-hour
- 19 day, the gentlemen make \$200, turns into a 12-hour day.
- 20 We have no control. It's very, very difficult.
- We also are a very seasonal business, whereas we
- 22 have representatives here from different parts of the
- 23 state where they might be sitting for three or four
- 24 months in rain and wind conditions, not doing maybe more

- 1 than 10 hours of work a week because of those conditions.
- 2 And then, when the sun comes out for six months, they
- 3 have to make the hay, as they say, when the sun shines.
- 4 And they are limited to 40 hours, which is difficult, at
- 5 best, anyways. But now, with this 8-hour restriction, it
- 6 is very, very confining for us.
- 7 That's really all I have to say. I just would
- 8 like to see if there can be some type of an exemption for
- 9 external cement finishing like this, especially when we
- 10 have no control over that. We're not looking to abuse
- 11 anything. We're just trying to get these guys to get
- 12 their amount of work in in the week. In the summer -- or
- 13 through the year, we're averaging 35 to 40 hours a week
- 14 for ten pools per crew. My guys are making anywheres
- 15 from \$35,000 for up-front coming-in guys to \$70,000 a
- 16 year for journeymen. This is going to cut that 20, 30
- 17 percent through the year, from what I see.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 19 Any questions?
- MR. SMITH: I'd invite some comments and help on
- 21 this. My guys asked me, basically, "Why did they do
- 22 this?" Nobody was unhappy, as far as the employee. They
- 23 were very excited about the way we'd been doing things
- 24 for years. Never a complaint. Employee, management --

- 1 we always get along great on this. And they are very
- 2 perplexed right now. And they said please -- they said,
- 3 "Find some answers."
- 4 I called some Assemblymen offices to try to get
- 5 some resolution on this, and I got a bunch of different
- 6 answers on it. One of them said, "You have to take the
- 7 good with the bad." And I asked them, "What is the good
- 8 in my instance?" And I said, "I have to go back and tell
- 9 my employees something. Some of them have already put
- 10 their wives to work, when they wanted to stay at home to
- 11 take care of their families. That has happened already.
- 12 And I have to have a little bit more than a flippant
- 13 answer like that to them." And they said, "We need
- 14 something next week, not July or something," because this
- 15 is immediately affecting their paychecks.
- So, any help is appreciated.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I just had a question,
- 18 whether you've explored the possibility of alternative
- 19 workweeks in your industry.
- 20 MR. SMITH: Yes, we have. That would still
- 21 limit us to probably eight pools a week, rather than the
- 22 normal ten they get. So, that's a 20 percent decrease
- 23 still.
- If we have the flexibility, we can do two pools

- 1 a day -- at least ten, nine to ten a week. That's
- 2 traditional in our industry. Sometimes when it's really
- 3 warm, the crew will do three pools in a day and then
- 4 piecework under 8 hours. They'll make \$300 to \$400 a
- 5 day. They're very excited about that.
- 6 So, it takes away all their flexibility. It's
- 7 just gone. And so, right now we're at a loss, because we
- 8 can't bid the jobs for overtime because you never know
- 9 what that day is going to bring, as far as weather, the
- 10 ground temperatures, moistures. You might sell the job
- 11 in February and end up doing the job installation in
- 12 July. So, it's very, very difficult right now.
- 13 One contractor in L.A. who has fourteen crews
- 14 stopped them all to one pool a day rather than two,
- 15 because he just can't manage that, watching constant
- 16 overtime on a daily basis.
- So, nobody knows why it was implemented in our
- 18 industry when it's been going so well for so many years.
- 19 And everybody's fat, dumb, and happy. And now it's just
- 20 -- boom, arbitrarily, put an 8-hour time frame on
- 21 something, and it's hurting everybody immediately in our
- 22 industry, probably 400 companies in California. And we
- 23 want to know if there was any thought put into this
- 24 beforehand as far as our industry is concerned, because

- 1 we have a lot of people that are a little on the scared
- 2 side, as far as the employees. It's hurting the
- 3 employees way more than it is the employees at this part,
- 4 because we're backlogging our work and they're the ones
- 5 really taking the brunt. We'd love to work it out for
- 6 them somehow.
- Any suggestions on our part, what we could do,
- 8 besides maybe an exemption?
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And that could not be done
- 10 until we have wage boards anyway. What happened is the
- 11 law changed in January, where all workers in California
- 12 are covered now. And that was not in the statute prior
- 13 to January 1st.
- MR. SMITH: Never has been in statute.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah.
- MR. OLIVEIRA: We rely on the weather man. And
- 17 as you know, his guess is as good as ours. We had rain
- 18 on Tuesday, so our crews stayed home. My crew is doing
- 19 two pools today so they don't have to work tomorrow. And
- 20 I'm praying to God they get them done in 8 hours,
- 21 because, if not, no profit. They would much rather work
- 22 a longer day than work a Saturday.
- 23 All that flexibility is gone. So, we're hoping
- 24 you guys can work with us here.

- 1 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 2 MR. OLIVEIRA: Thank you.
- 3 MR. CARLESON: Hello. My name is Eric Carleson,
- 4 representing the California Spa and Pool Industry
- 5 Education Council. Chairman Center, commissioners, my
- 6 remarks will be brief. We represent the swimming pool
- 7 and spa industry in all areas in California, including
- 8 construction. But we are here today in support of this
- 9 request for exemption and/or assistance for this
- 10 particular subsector of the construction industry and the
- 11 pool industry, and for others that we're already starting
- 12 to hear from.
- In fact, as you convene your wage boards and
- 14 pursue a wage order, I'm sure that we'll be able to
- 15 gather more information from some of the other
- 16 construction subtrades in our industry because it is of
- 17 concern to them. We did attempt, at least, to make some
- 18 suggestions during last year that this might be what our
- 19 industry would be looking at, and as you can see, they
- 20 are looking at it now.
- 21 So, we just want to make sure that we evidenced
- 22 our support of the plasterers and indicate that we'll be
- 23 working with you in the near future.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: But, Eric, do you agree

- 1 that in order for the Commission to do any action, they
- 2 have to convene wage boards?
- 3 MR. CARLESON: That the Commission itself
- 4 should --
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes. If they do anything,
- 6 they have to convene wage boards in these industries?
- 7 MR. CARLESON: Well, I would say that, at this
- 8 time, we're receiving all of the information that's being
- 9 presented in the process. And in either -- in either
- 10 setting, whether or not it's a matter of asking for
- 11 exemptions within the wage orders or whether or not the
- 12 Commission is properly already in the position to
- 13 regulate under AB 60, we would still be seeking the
- 14 relief.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MR. CARLESON: Um-hmm.
- MR. SMITH: Because, actually, my guys would
- 18 like a change Monday, because it's their check next week
- 19 and a lot of them have mortgages. And they're still
- 20 going to ask me what happened and why, and what you're
- 21 going to do. And I really would like to know, because
- 22 I've called and asked. And I have really got a
- 23 runaround. I mean, I'm not -- I'm just really trying to
- 24 get to the bottom of what's happening to our industry.

- 1 And I have real answers by real people with real problems
- 2 this is affecting, and I just can't go back and say, "We
- 3 have to wait and see."
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Well, we have legal
- 5 authority to do things, as our counsel from the Attorney
- 6 General's office advises. And also, the testimony from
- 7 the Chamber of Commerce, which I'm sure you're a member
- 8 of, believes that to make any changes for exemptions,
- 9 wage boards would have to be concluded before we could
- 10 act on it. So, Monday is not --
- 11 MR. SMITH: I know, but I was just saying, on
- 12 behalf of their wishes.
- 13 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Tuesday's going to be
- 14 tough too.
- (Laughter)
- 16 MR. SMITH: They can hear that. Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Could I make a comment?
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Go ahead, Doug.
- 19 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: I just joined the
- 20 Commission today, so I'm purposely keeping my mouth shut
- 21 so I don't make some major blunder. But I would like to
- 22 say that I don't think the fact that wage boards have to
- 23 be convened precludes you from presenting your case. I,
- 24 for one, am very sympathetic to working people that are

- 1 in the type of situation that your people are, and the
- 2 young lady from the law firm right before you, commenting
- 3 on helping working people. And I think certainly that's
- 4 my interest in being on the Commission.
- 5 So, I think, if you need to go back with
- 6 something, it would be that at least one person is
- 7 sympathetic with you, and I'll be happy to track this as
- 8 we go through our procedures. But as the chairman said,
- 9 we don't have any authority to circumvent the law. We
- 10 have to go within our own bounds. But that doesn't mean
- 11 that some of us aren't at least sympathetic with your
- 12 situation.
- MR. SMITH: Well, I appreciate it. Thank you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you, Mr. Bosco.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 17 I'd like to take now the oil production industry
- 18 -- oil drilling industry. Excuse me.
- 19 I have Robert Tollen. And is John Zaimes here
- 20 for the oil too? Or --
- MR. ZAIMES: No.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. That's a different
- 23 issue, then.
- MR. TOLLEN: Thank you. I am representing the

- 1 California Independent Petroleum Association, the
- 2 Association of Energy Service Companies, and the
- 3 Independent Oil Producers Agency.
- We agree with the Chamber of Commerce that AB 60
- 5 does not give the Commission authority to cover the four
- 6 industries that were not previously covered, that you
- 7 can't restore something that wasn't there in the first
- 8 place, and that the words, quote, "any work," close
- 9 quote, don't put a legislator on notice as to what the
- 10 bill is doing. The legislative counsel's analysis did
- 11 not do that either. But we understand that the
- 12 Commission has been advised by its own attorneys to the
- 13 contrary. We think that's the direction we're going, and
- 14 we don't propose to make an issue of that issue at this
- 15 time.
- 16 And we think that the Commission has the
- 17 authority to regulate those four industries anyway. It's
- 18 always had the authority to regulate those four
- 19 industries under the existing provisions of the Labor
- 20 Code. So, we would like to see a wage board convened --
- 21 a wage board appointed and a wage board convened to do
- 22 precisely that. And what we request that it do is cover
- 23 occupations involving the on-site exploration and
- 24 drilling of oil and gas, both offshore and onshore, and

- 1 the offshore extraction of oil and gas.
- 2 I said "occupations" rather than industry
- 3 because, as we have struggled with how to define this, it
- 4 appears that it is easier to define if you talk in terms
- 5 of occupations than if you talk in terms of whole
- 6 industries. And we don't -- we don't think that this
- 7 wage board should impose on onshore extraction activities
- 8 that have been -- that have been treated as covered for
- 9 many years under either Order Number 1 or Order Number 4.
- 10 So, we're trying to define the offshore
- 11 activities in totality and the onshore exploration and
- 12 drilling activities. We propose that the wage board
- 13 specifically should not cover, because it's not really
- 14 involved in what we're talking about, manufacturing,
- 15 refining, or distribution of oil and gas.
- And our only wish, and the point we want to
- 17 stress at this point, is that the Commission, the IWC,
- 18 should appoint this wage board to start quickly. We
- 19 think it will be a long process. You're going to have to
- 20 go through the process of appointing people to the wage
- 21 board, deciding on the number of people on the wage
- 22 board, convening it. They're going to have to have
- 23 meetings, make recommendations, report back to the
- 24 Commission. And that's going to take a lot of time. So,

- 1 our wish is that you act today to authorize the
- 2 appointment of a wage commission and start the ball
- 3 rolling.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 5 Anybody from the oil production and drilling
- 6 industries?
- 7 (No response)
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Then we have the logging
- 9 industry. Ed Ehlers and Mark Vegh.
- MR. EHLERS: Members of the Commission, I'm Ed
- 11 Ehlers, executive director of Associated California
- 12 Loggers. We're an association of family-owned logging
- 13 and log trucking businesses. I've filed a letter with
- 14 you yesterday that's available, so I won't repeat that.
- We're primarily small businesses, or exclusively
- 16 small businesses. Most have fifteen or fewer employees.
- 17 We're very weather-dependent. One of our major problems
- 18 in our end of the industry is maintaining good crews and
- 19 whatnot. I guess I -- and we've operated for years
- 20 without a wage order.
- 21 We think, in looking at this, that we probably
- 22 fit better under the agricultural occupations wage order
- 23 rather than the interim one which you propose. And if
- 24 you look at the definitions in 14-80, Section 2(c), 4,

- 1 and 7. Those, you know, pretty well fit us without too
- 2 much trouble. And so, we would request that the
- 3 Commission take some action, minutes or whatever, to
- 4 recognize that we're part of that wage order.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you. I think it's
- 6 the position of the chair that to do that, we still need
- 7 to convene a wage board for your industry. Is it -- this
- 8 is your position, we need to also talk to the affected
- 9 employees in your industry?
- MR. EHLERS: Um-hmm.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And then, if we have
- 12 compelling evidence, that might be a possibility for the
- 13 Commission to act on. But I don't think we have the
- 14 authority today to act on that, without conducting wage
- 15 boards in your industry.
- MR. EHLERS: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Mark Vegh.
- 19 MR. VEGH: Good afternoon. I'm Mark Vegh, with
- 20 TOC Management Services, a multi-employer association
- 21 primarily dealing with wood products. And just very,
- 22 very briefly, I will echo what Mr. Ehlers said about --
- 23 and the others -- about convening wage boards for logging
- 24 as well as those other occupations in construction and

- 1 mining and drilling.
- 2 A couple other things. I also faxed over two
- 3 pages of comments yesterday to the Commission. I also
- 4 want to echo what Ms. Broyles said earlier this morning
- 5 about adding additional words to the part in Section 4
- 6 dealing with the seventh consecutive day of work, just to
- 7 clarify that that would be in any one workweek.
- 8 One additional comment that I had in my letter
- 9 which I don't think has been raised is -- deals with
- 10 Section 5(G) of the interim wage order, Page 4. The
- 11 language has been added there -- this deals with the --
- 12 certain alternate work schedules that were in place by
- 13 July 1st of 1999, so they weren't voted upon pre-'98.
- 14 But this is the other part of AB 60, dealing with current
- 15 -- with last year's alternate work schedules. If they
- 16 were in place by July, 1999, the individual employee
- 17 could agree with the employer to continue those.
- In the interim wage order, the term "individual"
- 19 agreement" is used. Specifically, it says that the --
- 20 requires that this alternate work schedule be pursuant to
- 21 an individual agreement made after January 1st, 1998,
- 22 between the employee and employer. And I think that the
- 23 term "individual agreement" is confusing and troublesome
- 24 in this context. AB 60 doesn't include that language in

- 1 it. It says simply that the employee has been
- 2 voluntarily working an alternate work schedule prior to
- 3 July of 1999.
- 4 So, the question that I raise is, what would
- 5 qualify for an individual agreement? If an employee was
- 6 working four 10's -- say that that schedule occurred the
- 7 first part of 1998, for example -- there would have been
- 8 no need to have an election at that time. So, what would
- 9 constitute an individual agreement to do that? Is it
- 10 simply -- is it simply implied because the employee
- 11 continues to choose to be employed and work that
- 12 schedule, or is there something additional that the wage
- 13 order is contemplating here?
- 14 And my recommendation would be to not confuse
- 15 the matter with that term or to clarify it, to state that
- 16 it's not requiring anything in writing or anything
- 17 formal, any type of formal agreement, between the
- 18 employee and employer prior to July of 1999.
- 19 Those were all of my comments, in my letter.
- I would like to say, briefly, one other thing by
- 21 way of rebuttal to what Mr. Rankin, I believe, said, with
- 22 the California Labor Federation, earlier. He made the
- 23 point that if somebody was on an alternate work schedule
- 24 of four 10's, for example, and worked a 9-hour day, that

- 1 that last hour, the eighth and ninth -- between the
- 2 eighth and ninth hour, should be overtime. I think that
- 3 -- a couple of points on that.
- 4 First of all, I believe there was some
- 5 discussion at that time over some practical problems with
- 6 that, if it was beyond the employer's control, for
- 7 example, and they had to send employees home early after
- 8 9 hours. Also, that would be very, very rigid, and
- 9 employees would be much less likely to have the
- 10 opportunity to work that type of schedule, which most
- 11 employees, in my experience, enjoy, when they have the
- 12 opportunity to have a three-day weekend. So, if an
- 13 employer was strictly held to that, if for some reason
- 14 one time they had to send people home after the ninth
- 15 hour or change that schedule for one day, they would be
- 16 likely not to ever propose an alternate work schedule.
- 17 The other thing, which is more statutory, as I
- 18 looked at Section 511(b) of the Labor Code, brought in by
- 19 AB 60, it specifically talks about overtime -- this is
- 20 the alternate work schedule section -- it talks about
- 21 overtime being required for work in excess of regularly
- 22 scheduled hours under the alternate work schedule. So, I
- 23 don't believe -- I think that that interpretation of his
- 24 would be contrary to the Labor Code, contrary to AB 60,

- 1 in that provision.
- Those are my comments. I'd be glad to clarify
- 3 anything.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 5 MR. VEGH: Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: What we'll do is take Mr.
- 7 Holober, then we'll break for a 30-minute lunch break if
- 8 that's -- is 30 minutes enough for the -- yeah. Ther
- 9 we'll go into healthcare industry after the break.
- 10 MR. HOLOBER: Thank you very much. I appreciate
- 11 your letting me speak at this time. My name is Richard
- 12 Holober. For those who know me, I am now with the
- 13 California Nurses Association, as of the first of the
- 14 year, previously with the California Labor Federation.
- I want to welcome our newest commissioner.
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Watch the watch, too.
- MR. HOLOBER: I will be quick.
- 18 But since I was intimately involved in the
- 19 design of AB 60, I did have a few comments about the
- 20 interim regulations and one -- just a couple of brief
- 21 comments about points that were discussed in terms of
- 22 make-up time and the one-week, four-week, or lengthier
- 23 periods of time for an individual request.
- The history on this is, the bill is really

- 1 clear. It says "each time," you need a note. There was
- 2 a letter in the Journal that Assemblyman Knox put in the
- 3 Journal to accommodate a request from Senator
- 4 Vasconcellos, who said, "Well, you know, let's be
- 5 reasonable, folks. What if somebody wants to do it a
- 6 couple of weeks? They have to do it each time." So,
- 7 there's a letter in the *Journal* that says up to four
- 8 weeks can be covered by a single written request. So, in
- 9 case somebody comes and sues the IWC, that would be your
- 10 paper trail. That would allow you to do this, but no
- 11 more. More than that, I think, would not be permitted
- 12 under the law.
- On the part-time question, I know it's been
- 14 addressed, but I think there's a serious problem that
- 15 affects all industries. If an employer can define
- 16 someone who's working less than full time as a manager,
- 17 and then decide, you know, "Even though you're scheduled
- 18 to work 15 or 20 hours, you're going to work 80 or 100
- 19 hours," it totally destroys the intent of AB 60, which
- 20 was to say, "You have to at least -- you know, earn at
- 21 least the princely sum of about \$1,900 a month before you
- 22 can be worked 70, 80 hours, without overtime." So, I do
- 23 think the law on this is clear and there's really no room
- 24 to allow for a part-time manager to then be worked 80

- 1 hours a week, unless they're making the equivalent of
- 2 about \$1,900 a month.
- 3 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I agree with you that
- 4 a part-time manager cannot work 80 hours a week.
- 5 MR. HOLOBER: Okay. And the bill makes it
- 6 pretty clear.
- 7 The major issue I wanted to talk about is this,
- 8 and it's a follow-up on the point that Tom Rankin made.
- 9 What we don't want is for an employer to say, "You're
- 10 going to have an alternative work schedule of 10," or, in
- 11 the hospital industry, "12 hours, but I can kind of
- 12 switch it on a whim from one day to the next, so one day
- 13 you work 12, the next day we send you home after 9 or
- 14 after 10 if the patient census is a little low in a
- 15 hospital, and tough luck; you're not getting paid for
- 16 those hours." Is this happening? Yes, it is happening.
- 17 And I just wanted -- I did send copies of this, but I
- 18 want to, if I may, give you copies of --
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 20 MR. HOLOBER: -- and this is a flexible work
- 21 arrangement agreement at San Ramon Valley Medical Center
- 22 in Contra Costa County, owned by Tennant Corporation,
- 23 which is a \$9-billion hospital corporation, that
- 24 employees were asked to sign in December of last year.

- 1 Actually, it goes back to 1994, but they were all asked
- 2 to sign it in December.
- And basically, what this says is, "Your workday
- 4 is any number of hours up to 12, and the employer can
- 5 change those hours whenever the employer wants to." That
- 6 is not a regularly scheduled alternative workweek. The
- 7 two words, "regularly scheduled," are key here. If an
- 8 employer proposes an alternative work schedule, they have
- 9 to spell out what those hours are, and not simply allow a
- 10 blanket opportunity to work you up to 12 or less than 12
- 11 any day. That -- by -- I think this is illegal, but I
- 12 think the IWC should close that potential loophole by
- 13 saying that if you agree to a regularly scheduled
- 14 alternative workweek, up to 10 in any industry, or up to
- 15 12, for the next six months, in hospitals, that you will
- 16 be worked those hours, and if the employer is using this
- 17 as a way to increase or decrease hours based upon, you
- 18 know, in the case of hospitals, the patient census, that
- 19 you're paid overtime after 8 for those days on which
- 20 you're sent home early.
- 21 What happens in a lot of hospitals is you're
- 22 docked pay and then you are -- that pay comes out of your
- 23 vacation pay. So, your paycheck ends up being a complete
- 24 paycheck, but you're taking that money out of your own

- 1 entitlement to vacation pay.
- 2 So, I hope you will address that with language
- 3 that says -- makes it really clear what "regularly
- 4 scheduled" means, which is not a blank check for the
- 5 employer to switch people's schedules on a daily basis.
- 6 And the language in the interim wage order that is the
- 7 specific language to the hospital industry should
- 8 basically mirror the language for the 10-hour
- 9 alternative. It does not address a couple of issues,
- 10 such as the right of employees to vote -- to petition and
- 11 vote to repeal that alternative workweek. It does not
- 12 say that no one shall have their hours -- their pay
- 13 reduced as a result of the nullification or repeal. It
- 14 also does not make it clear that if you vote for
- 15 something other than a 12-hour day, that you receive time
- 16 and a half up to 12 hours and double time after 12.
- So, I would recommend you just take the language
- 18 that is in the section that deals with the 10-hour
- 19 alternative workweek, which makes it clear for hours
- 20 beyond the regularly scheduled alternative work schedule,
- 21 you get time and a half up to 12 and double time after
- 22 12, that should be reflected in the healthcare section as
- 23 well, as well as the right to repeal and the fact that no
- 24 one should suffer a reduction in their hourly pay if

- 1 there's a nullification or repeal.
- Those are -- those are my comments. I
- 3 appreciate the opportunity.
- 4 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: I had a question. Since
- 5 you were involved in the legislative process on AB 60,
- 6 did the Legislature consider the question of working
- 7 people who might be forced to work below the regularly
- 8 scheduled workweek? Did that ever come up? I mean, it's
- 9 come up
- 10 here --
- 11 MR. HOLOBER: It -- I think the issue came up
- 12 very clearly that "regularly scheduled" means a guarantee
- 13 that if you're voting to do something that is considered
- 14 generally not advantageous to a worker, namely, working
- 15 longer than an 8-hour day, that that -- you have a deal
- 16 that both sides will honor. What no one contemplated was
- 17 the notion that flexibility is controlled only by the
- 18 employer. There was ongoing debate for six months around
- 19 "What does flexibility mean?" And we said it should be
- 20 for workers, so that a worker should control their hours.
- 21 The employers said, "Well, we want workers to have
- 22 maximum personal freedom, but we'll decide what their
- 23 hours are." And the deal was cut. The employer puts it
- 24 in writing, it's very clear about what that schedule is,

- 1 and the workers then vote for it. And once they've
- 2 established that schedule, that is the schedule that they
- 3 can expect to work.
- 4 Now, I know there's an issue that, you know,
- 5 maybe you need to talk more in-depth about Mr.
- 6 Dombrowski's point, this one -- you know, once-in-a-
- 7 lifetime occurrence, right, where there's a mechanical
- 8 failure. I mean, you know, I think that's something you
- 9 need, maybe, to get a lot more testimony on.
- 10 But what I'm talking about is a huge loophole
- 11 that an employer can use, and that this contract allows,
- 12 where an employer can say, "You know, we're not so busy
- 13 today, folks; you're going home after 9 hours," even
- 14 though you've planned your life to have 12-hour days.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Well, I understand the
- 16 loophole. What I'm asking, though, is did the
- 17 Legislature specifically consider that loophole? I mean,
- 18 at committee hearings, did that issue come up and did
- 19 they either not do anything about it or defer it or --
- MR. HOLOBER: Well --
- 21 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Or was it something
- 22 everybody just overlooked?
- 23 MR. HOLOBER: No. I think that there was
- 24 extensive testimony, in hearing after hearing, about what

- 1 is flexibility. Does it mean the boss can just send you
- 2 home early one day? And this legislation was designed to
- 3 say, "No, it doesn't mean that."
- 4 So, I think the legislation is real clear that
- 5 the words "regularly scheduled" address that. "Regularly
- 6 scheduled" doesn't mean flexible. And your section in
- 7 the healthcare piece speaks about "flexible scheduling."
- 8 I think those words should be struck, because that's a
- 9 term of art that employers use to say, "We can decide
- 10 what the daily hours are." It's a "regularly scheduled
- 11 alternative schedule" -- "workweek schedule" that is as
- 12 key to the integrity of AB 60 as anything else in here.
- We worked out a compromise where we said, you
- 14 know, "Yes, some people may want to work 10 hours, or,
- 15 okay, 12 hours maybe, but there's a tradeoff and there's
- 16 a guarantee in exchange for that."
- 17 Thank you very much.
- 18 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I have a quick
- 19 question. On the example we talked about, of this make-
- 20 up time, if you have an alternative work schedule, four
- 21 10's, typically Monday through Thursday, and you can't
- 22 work Monday and want to work Friday, what's your take on
- 23 that?
- 24 MR. HOLOBER: Well, I don't think the bill

- 1 really allows that, because, first of all, this was done
- 2 mainly to accommodate someone who works five days a week,
- 3 okay, that wants to take a half-day off and then would
- 4 work some extra day -- time on the other days. So,
- 5 somebody in your situation already has voted for
- 6 something that gives them three days off each week. Now
- 7 if an employer really wants to accommodate that -- first
- 8 of all, I think an employer who's trying to do what's
- 9 right for the workers can create an alternative workweek
- 10 that would allow exactly that, by saying, "Folks, we want
- 11 you to vote on a schedule that is 10 hours a day, five
- 12 days a week, Monday through Friday, and each week, you
- 13 tell us what days you want to work." That takes care of
- 14 that problem. If the worker has the right to determine
- 15 their schedule each week, and change their schedule each
- 16 week, that employer has complied with AB 60 and you've
- 17 got the best of all possible worlds, total flexibility.
- I think the problem here is that, you know, the
- 19 testimony I heard is, "Well, we want one side of that,
- 20 which is, yeah, we want everybody to work Monday through
- 21 Thursday and have them vote for that, okay, but not give
- 22 them the flexibility to say, you know, 'I want to work
- 23 Friday this week and Thursday next week and Friday the
- 24 following week."

- 1 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I don't think you can
- 2 plan a scheduling system that way, first off. But --
- MR. HOLOBER: I agree.
- 4 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: -- I'd disagree on
- 5 that point.
- 6 MR. HOLOBER: No, I agree, for some industries
- 7 it wouldn't work.
- 8 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: But the -- but it
- 9 seems to me, if we don't allow for the employee to do
- 10 some of that make-up time, the employee just loses those
- 11 earnings for that week, and I don't think they come out
- 12 very well because of that.
- MR. HOLOBER: You're talking about somebody
- 14 who's got a three-day weekend every week.
- 15 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Right. No, I mean --
- 16 but they're losing -- if they couldn't work Monday, they
- 17 lost 10 hours of work that day, and they can't make it
- 18 up, they don't get paid for that day.
- MR. HOLOBER: Well, what they could, first of
- 20 all, do is they could work up to 11 hours on the other
- 21 days. The bill allows that. So, they could take 4 hours
- 22 off on Monday, work 11 Tuesday --
- COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: No, if they have --
- 24 MR. HOLOBER: They could take 3 hours off from

- 1 work.
- COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: They could make up 3
- 3 of them --
- 4 MR. HOLOBER: That's right, yeah.
- 5 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: -- out of the 10.
- 6 They still miss -- they still lose out on 7 hours of pay,
- 7 then. How is that good for the employee?
- 8 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, I do think they get
- 9 to work up to 8 hours without overtime on another day.
- 10 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: So, they'd have to --
- 11 you'd have to juggle it around and work 3 hours on --
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I think so.
- 13 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Okay.
- 14 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Mr. Chairman, can I just
- 15 make a request that the staff make, as part of our
- 16 record, the letter to the Journal referred to by Mr.
- 17 Holober.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes, it will be.
- MR. HOLOBER: Great. Thank you very much.
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 21 With that, we're going to take our break. It is
- 22 now twenty till one. Let's come back at ten after one
- 23 and start the healthcare industry.
- 24 (Thereupon, at 12:42 p.m., the public

1	hearing was recessed for lunch.)	
2	000	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	AFTERNOON SESSION	
15	000	
16	(Time noted: 1:19 p.m.)	
17	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Good afternoon. We have a	
18	quorum, so we'll continue our testimony. We'll go now to	
19	the healthcare industry that did not come up to testify	
20	on the other nursing issue.	
21	And I'll bring up, first, the two ladies from	
22	Mad River Community Hospital, Patricia and Sandy Rock.	
23	MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Hi. Patrice, again.	
24	I am a licensed registered respiratory	

- 1 therapist.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: You need to state your
- 3 full name again for the record.
- 4 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Patrice Pratoomratana.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 6 MS. PRATOOMRATANA: Mad River Hospital in
- 7 Arcata. And I'm a licensed registered respiratory
- 8 therapist.
- 9 I wanted to continue where I left off.
- The main thing we would like to ask for is just
- 11 a choice. We need some options. We feel like we're
- 12 pretty much being forced to either go to 8-hour shifts,
- 13 or, if we have to stay and get paid time and a half,
- 14 which we would love -- but we like where we work, we like
- 15 our hospital. A lot of hospitals are so tight right now,
- 16 with capitation and having no money, they can't afford to
- 17 pay the time and a half. We want the option to waive the
- 18 time and a half. We just want a choice.
- 19 We're used to living in a democracy. We don't
- 20 feel good about being forced, and that's what it's --
- 21 it's pushing us into the corner. And we -- I know a lot
- 22 of hospitals will probably fold. They will close their
- 23 doors. People will be out of a lot of work. Patients
- 24 will not get the care that they need.

- 1 I live in a very small community, but the
- 2 hospital is very important. And if the hospital closes,
- 3 it would be a pretty sad state of affairs. A lot of
- 4 people would lose their job.
- 5 We just want a choice. We want an option. We
- 6 want to be able to waive time and a half, if that's what
- 7 we want to do. And that's what a lot of us would like to
- 8 do to stay where we are. We enjoy what we do, where we
- 9 are, the patients we care for.
- 10 The other thing that really bothers me more, on
- 11 a personal note, is, with alternative workweek schedules,
- 12 it affords people, spouses, to work, both work full-time
- 13 and not have to use childcare or sitters. This really
- 14 bothers me. If I had to go to 8-hour shifts and my
- 15 husband did too, I'd have to start to put my kids in
- 16 childcare or daycare, and this is directly affecting our
- 17 future. I don't want to have somebody else raising my
- 18 kids for me. This is very personal topic, but I think it
- 19 is very important.
- 20 Like I said, the children are our future, and if
- 21 I have to start putting my kids in childcare or sitters,
- 22 it makes me very upset, and I don't think my children
- 23 will be raised and be as healthy and stable as they are
- 24 being raised by me and my husband. And that's a very big

- 1 issue.
- We would just like to be exempt. Hospital
- 3 workers, healthcare professionals, are used to working
- 4 12-hour shifts. And actually, the patients get better
- 5 care, better continuity of care.
- 6 Like I said before, we need those three, four
- 7 days off a week just to regroup. It's very stressful,
- 8 what we do. We'd like to be considered as professionals.
- 9 And my hospitals, nurses, respiratory therapists, allied
- 10 medical professionals, they work on 12-hour shifts, and
- 11 it works. It works really well The patients get better
- 12 care. The staff is a lot -- they're better able to deal
- 13 with life and death on a daily basis when they're at
- 14 work. It affords us the time to regroup and recuperate
- 15 and then go back to work with a clear mind and being
- 16 rested and deal with the stresses we have to deal with on
- 17 a daily basis.
- 18 So, I would just like to be able to ask to be
- 19 exempt. And just give us an option, just sign a simple
- 20 waiver that says we don't want the time and a half. That
- 21 would make a huge difference, and I think it would
- 22 probably save a lot of hospitals -- a lot of employees
- 23 from losing their jobs, a lot of hospitals from closing
- 24 their doors.

1	Thank	you.
---	-------	------

- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 3 MS. ROCK: Good morning -- good afternoon. My
- 4 name is Sandy Rock. I work at Mad River Community
- 5 Hospital also.
- 6 And continuity of patient care is of our utmost
- 7 -- what is important. But I'm going to speak on a
- 8 personal level. I'm a full-time graduate student. And
- 9 if I have to go to 8-hour shifts, I can't finish school.
- 10 I've put a lot of time, effort, and money into finishing
- 11 my education. And if I can't do it, then I'm out a lot.
- 12 And so, I would prefer to have the choice to work a 12-
- 13 hour shift so I can finish school and go on with my --
- 14 finish my degree.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 17 Any questions?
- 18 (No response)
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Linda Hayes.
- 21 MS. HAYES: My name is Linda Hayes. I'm a
- 22 critical care registered nurse. I work in a small
- 23 hospital in north California. And these changes are
- 24 going to affect all hospitals, small hospitals

- 1 especially, as they were just talking about.
- 2 I do staffing for my critical care unit. And we
- 3 do -- well, I have some things I need to directly address
- 4 here in the draft interim wage order.
- 5 One, as the gentleman from CNA was talking
- 6 about, regularly scheduled workweek, what does that
- 7 really mean? And, you know, we work flexible -- I mean,
- 8 we don't work Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, or Wednesday,
- 9 Thursday, Friday. We work the hours we need to work to
- 10 cover the staffing to cover our unit. Sometimes, if the
- 11 census is real high, people may work extra, or if the
- 12 census is low on Monday and it goes up to a full unit on
- 13 Friday, people that were off on Monday will offer to work
- 14 on Friday. These things, you know, really affect how we
- 15 take care of our patients.
- The second thing I want to address is, I really
- 17 am bothered, and I was before -- I'm still bothered by
- 18 the concept that registered nurses are not professionals.
- 19 The rules for being a professional are that the work is -
- 20 I do earn a salary well over two times the minimum
- 21 wage. And by the way, I figured it up real quick. That
- 22 is \$26,700, approximately, a year, if you're working 8-
- 23 hour shifts 40 hours a week. I work 36 hours a week, so
- 24 I'm not sure where that puts me in there.

- 1 I do earn over minimum wage. My job is
- 2 intellectual. It requires an exercise of discretion and
- 3 independent judgment. I am licensed by the State of
- 4 California and certified by a national organization. And
- 5 I work in an occupation that used to be commonly
- 6 recognized as a learned profession. And I work as a
- 7 professional 100 percent of the time when I am at work.
- 8 So, it's -- that's been there. It bothered me
- 9 before, and, like I said, it still bothers me.
- One thing that I think needs to really be
- 11 addressed is Section (C). And it talks about full-time
- 12 employment, and it sets it at 40 hours per week. I work
- 13 36 hours a week. What does that do? All my co-workers
- 14 work 36 hours a week. We have taken this option to work
- 15 36 hours a week. If we need extra money, we can pick an
- 16 extra 4 hours. But it's something that does need to be
- 17 addressed.
- 18 So -- and that's something that I think needs to
- 19 be negotiated between employer and employee. You know,
- 20 it's not something that really needs to be defined by the
- 21 state.
- 22 Section 7, Part (A), the option of belonging to
- 23 a union should be a decision made by an employee group,
- 24 not mandated by the state. And that's what this does.

- 1 It says if I want to work my 12-hour shifts, 36 hours a
- 2 week, I have to join a union.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's not true.
- 4 MS. HAYES: California already has a critical
- 5 experienced nurse shortage. Everybody knows that. They
- 6 even are doing laws forcing schools into letting more
- 7 nurses in. But these are new graduates; they aren't
- 8 going to be out there for four or five years.
- 9 Excuse me.
- The experienced nurses in California feel 12-
- 11 hour shifts are a benefit. They will leave. I will
- 12 leave. I won't work 8-hour shifts. My little \$60,000 a
- 13 year doesn't mean much in taxes, but when you're looking
- 14 at healthcare in California, if you lose your experienced
- 15 people, who's going to train these new ones coming? It
- 16 just -- this whole thing is going to take healthcare in
- 17 California and destroy it.
- 18 And we keep talking about how important it is
- 19 that people get healthcare, how important it is that they
- 20 receive good healthcare, and yet we're taking something
- 21 and it's just going to destroy it in this state.
- The only other thing I have to say is, the whole
- 23 thing kind of smacks of punishing the workers in the
- 24 state that earn more money by putting them in the same

- 1 class as workers that aren't able to think for
- 2 themselves. I think, as a professional, I should be able
- 3 to negotiate with my employer for the hours I want to
- 4 work, the days I want to work, when I get paid overtime,
- 5 and when I'm off.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: You're -- are you
- 8 currently working in 12-hour shifts?
- 9 MS. HAYES: I am currently working 12 -- have
- 10 been, for about fifteen years.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And you understand that is
- 12 in effect till July 1st in your nursing industry?
- 13 MS. HAYES: I realize that. But if I have to
- 14 put up my house for sale, I have to do it soon.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And --
- 16 MS. HAYES: I will leave the state. I mean,
- 17 it's -- it's not an option with me, because I won't join
- 18 a union and I won't work five days a week.
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: But, for your 12-hour
- 20 shifts, you don't have to be part of a union to work that
- 21 alternative workweek, as long as you elect that.
- MS. HAYES: Not until July. But how do I do my
- 23 scheduling? I schedule, you know, a unit.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Now, what we're doing

- 1 here, we're on the interim blank order. On your
- 2 industry, we're going to have further inquiries and a
- 3 hearing, and we will decide, the Commission, whether to
- 4 extend that exemption or not. It won't be that you'll be
- 5 required to join unions; it'll be whether it is
- 6 beneficial to the employees. We have not -- we haven't
- 7 even gone their yet. We're just doing the interim blank
- 8 order, which really doesn't affect you at all. It's --
- 9 MS. HAYES: I realize this, but at the same
- 10 time, July is five months away. You know, people need to
- 11 make plans. My staff asks me every time I do the
- 12 schedule. I'm working on, you know, vacation schedules.
- 13 And they said, "Well, what do we -- you know, where are
- 14 we going?" And I go, "I don't know. I read everything
- 15 out there, I've listened, I don't know where we're
- 16 going." And it's like nobody can plan. Nobody knows
- 17 what's going to happen with their lives. It's
- 18 disrupting.
- 19 At the moment, we're going, essentially, week by
- 20 week. But, you know, we -- people need to make plans.
- 21 You're talking a large industry in this state, a well-
- 22 paid industry in this state, and something that's real
- 23 important to the people of the state. And they need to
- 24 have some answers.

- 1 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 2 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I would -- I have a
- 3 question. What would your reaction be to some kind of
- 4 procedure that allows you, similar to some of these other
- 5 -- where you could hold elections for up to 12 hours
- 6 within a 40-hour workweek?
- 7 MS. HAYES: I have no problem with that. We had
- 8 done that years ago, you know, when we went to the 12-
- 9 hour shifts where I'm working at now. And we had
- 10 discussed it and worked it out and had elections among
- 11 the employees, and opted to do it. In fact, I don't
- 12 think any employee voted against it at the time.
- 13 And that's not a problem. Our problem is, is
- 14 right now we're up in the air and the hospitals are up in
- 15 the air, because there are so many things that are so set
- 16 in here. "Regularly scheduled alternative work
- 17 schedule," you know, that's a term that you can take
- 18 literally, meaning, you know, you're scheduled every
- 19 Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and if you work on Thursday
- 20 because the census is high, I have to pay you overtime,
- 21 those kind of things. It's -- there are some definitions
- 22 out there that might work well for an 8-hour-day, five-
- 23 day-a-week job at McDonald's, but they do not work in the
- 24 healthcare industry. And it needs to be looked at in

- 1 that way.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 3 Linda Hayes. Oh. I'm really good at these
- 4 cards.
- 5 Carol Mantell -- Mantell.
- 6 How about Kimberly Martin Pickard?
- 7 MS. MANTELL: She had to leave.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Amy Meier, is she still
- 9 here?
- 10 And Pamela Broderson -- if I can get a few
- 11 people up here.
- Go ahead, ma'am.
- MS. MANTELL: Good afternoon.
- 14 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Good afternoon.
- MS. MANTELL: My name is Carol Mantell, and I'm
- 16 a professional registered nurse. I work in the intensive
- 17 care and neuroscience intensive care at John Muir Medical
- 18 Center in Walnut Creek. I maintain specialty
- 19 certification in my area of critical care. And I will be
- 20 very brief.
- I just want to name a couple of problems or
- 22 difficulties that will be incurred by AB 60 when the
- 23 exempt -- well, when the grace period, as we're calling
- 24 it at our hospital, is finished in July, when we would

- 1 have to go to 8-hour shifts.
- We started our 12-hour shifts by a vote from our
- 3 employees. And we have a combination of both 8's and
- 4 12's in my unit, and it's an option. If you want to work
- 5 12-hour shifts, then you choose a 12-hour day to be your
- 6 workday. If you would prefer to work an 8-hour schedule,
- 7 then they -- then that's what they choose to be their
- 8 workday. Anything over 12 hours for the 12-hour nurses
- 9 is double time. Anything over 8 hours for the 8-hour
- 10 nurses is time and a half, and then double time after 12,
- 11 like normal.
- 12 What we really appreciate our employer doing for
- 13 us is allowing us to work the 12-hour shifts. We
- 14 approached them and said that, you know, we're having a
- 15 nursing shortage, we need people to come out of the home
- 16 and into the workplace, and if we can offer 12-hour
- 17 shifts, we think more people will be able to come and
- 18 work in a full-time status. If 12-hour shifts are not
- 19 available to the nurse in my unit, several of them will
- 20 have to give up their full-time status and drop back to
- 21 part-time because they cannot be pulled out of their
- 22 homes five days a week. So, they will lose pay, they
- 23 will lose benefits, and then the hospital will lose the
- 24 professional nurses that they, you know, need to take

- 1 care of their patients. So, it's a double-edged sword,
- 2 and we're hopeful that we can get an exemption.
- 3 Working a compressed workweek has been
- 4 beneficial to everybody in my unit. The majority of us
- 5 have small children. It allows us to go to field trips
- 6 and have Girl Scout troops and do all those things that a
- 7 lot of the people that work full-time, or a full-time 8-
- 8 hour shift, cannot do. And working a compressed
- 9 workweek, three 12's, gives us the extra days off to
- 10 attend to our families in the manner that we like to do.
- 11 My husband is also a registered nurse. He works
- 12 12-hour shifts. He works in the field of psychiatry.
- 13 And our schedule allows us to maintain the care of our
- 14 children. No one else has to -- we don't have to pay for
- 15 childcare, nobody else has to come into our home, our
- 16 children are cared for by us, which is very important.
- We've heard rumors, and I'm not sure if I can
- 18 ask for clarification about this, that there is an
- 19 exemption that's being considered or has been made for
- 20 union hospitals to still have the availability to vote on
- 21 working a 12-hour schedule without being paid overtime
- 22 for the last 4 hours. And it's a bit ironic, because the
- 23 facility where I work, we've merged with a union
- 24 hospital. Our campus is non-union, our sister campus is

- 1 union. So, the nurses at the sister campus, if what I
- 2 believe is true, will be able to work 12-hour shifts, and
- 3 us, who work for the same company, will not be able to
- 4 work 12-hour shifts without being paid overtime. And I'm
- 5 not quite sure if that's hearsay or if that's -- that's
- 6 actual fact.
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's the law, if the
- 8 collective bargaining agreement -- their collective
- 9 bargaining agreement will cover overtime. But this
- 10 depends on what the Commission decides before July 1st --
- MS. MANTELL: Right.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: -- whether you can
- 13 continue your 12-hour shifts or not.
- MS. MANTELL: Right.
- Our biggest concern right now is all the
- 16 hospitals in the state and in the country right now are
- 17 experiencing many, many patients, sicker patients, and
- 18 fewer resources, fewer nurses and fewer respiratory
- 19 therapists. And working a compressed workweek attracts
- 20 people to come to those facilities and come to our
- 21 facility and help us to deliver quality care to our
- 22 patients. And we're hoping that we'll be able to be
- 23 exempt and continue to do that.
- 24 I really appreciate this opportunity. I know

- 1 that the staff at my hospital is -- they're very nervous
- 2 because they -- we're looking at possibly restructuring
- 3 80 employees that I work with, in just my department,
- 4 trying to figure out, "Now, who's going to work nights,
- 5 who's going to work PMs, and who's going to work days?"
- 6 Right now, we have, you know, everyone set into their
- 7 little niche. And do we go back and draw straws and
- 8 figure out who's going to work what shift? So, they'd
- 9 really like answers, and the sooner the better, if
- 10 possible.
- 11 So, I really appreciate your time and
- 12 consideration. I ask that the Commission consider
- 13 exemptions to be made to allow healthcare employers to
- 14 allow their employees flexible scheduling opportunities,
- 15 including shifts up to 12 hours in length at straight
- 16 pay. It's what we had elected to do. We voted, we
- 17 signed a waiver for overtime, and it worked out really
- 18 well.
- 19 So, I appreciate your time. Thank you.
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Commissioner Broad?
- 21 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yeah. I have a couple of
- 22 questions.
- You went from 8-hour days to three 12-hour days,
- 24 is that correct, with no loss of pay?

- 1 MS. MANTELL: I went -- let's see. When we
- 2 first initiated 12-hour shifts in my unit, it was
- 3 approximately ten years ago. And I went from full-time,
- 4 8-hour -- I actually worked night shift at the time -- to
- 5 full-time, 12-hour night shift, three 12's. So, I went
- 6 from 8-hour night shift to 12-hour night shift.
- 7 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. So, you went from 40
- 8 hours --
- 9 MS. MANTELL: Right.
- 10 COMMISSIONER BROAD: -- to 36 hours.
- MS. MANTELL: That's correct.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROAD: But at the same pay.
- MS. MANTELL: My pay is hourly. So, I did have
- 14 4 hours less of pay. But I did it completely voluntarily
- 15 to allow me to have the extra time with my family. My
- 16 employer did not approach me about working 12-hour
- 17 shifts. As a matter of fact, I was on a committee to
- 18 approach our administration to please allow us to work
- 19 the 12-hour shifts.
- So, yes, we work a 36-hour workweek, and that is
- 21 considered a full-time status with full-time benefits.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. Now, my second
- 23 question is, what classifications of workers in your
- 24 hospital work 12-hour shifts?

- 1 MS. MANTELL: We have respiratory therapists
- 2 that work 12-hour shifts, we have registered nurses in
- 3 our critical care areas and on a few of the med-surg
- 4 floors that work 12-hour shifts, and in the birth center
- 5 and in the intensive care nursery --
- 6 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay.
- 7 MS. MANTELL: -- we have nurses.
- 8 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Do pharmacists work 12-hour
- 9 shifts?
- MS. MANTELL: I don't believe so, but I'm -- I'm
- 11 not -- I'm not sure.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Do pharmacists in your
- 13 hospital -- do you notice them having direct patient
- 14 interaction with patients?
- MS. MANTELL: Well, like I said before, I
- 16 primarily night shift, so if they were going to have --
- 17 and I also work in a critical care unit, and the majority
- 18 of my patients are comatose, so I don't see them
- 19 interacting with them.
- (Laughter)
- 21 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay.
- MS. MANTELL: But I don't -- I don't believe
- 23 that they -- I don't believe that they do that as much.
- 24 A lot of the communication is from the nurses to the

- 1 pharmacists.
- 2 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. Now, are there any
- 3 non-direct-patient-care personnel working 12-hour shifts
- 4 at your facility?
- 5 MS. MANTELL: No. Currently we have some unit
- 6 secretaries that work a 10-hour shift that they elected
- 7 to do, and -- but otherwise, they work -- I believe the
- 8 unit secretaries in the rest of the hospital work 8-hour
- 9 shifts.
- 10 COMMISSIONER BROAD: And -- I'm sorry -- where
- 11 did you say you work?
- MS. MANTELL: I work in the -- I work at John
- 13 Muir Medical Center in Walnut Creek, and we've recently
- 14 merged with Mount Diablo Medical Center in Concord.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Thank you.
- MS. MANTELL: Thanks.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you. And just to
- 18 speed things up, especially for the registered nurses, we
- 19 will consider your order, which will expire in July, to
- 20 decide whether we're going to continue the 12-hour
- 21 exemption or not. So, unless you have a comment on the
- 22 regulations themselves, if you'd just like not duplicate
- 23 testimony and just introduce yourself and -- to speed it
- 24 up -- if you could.

- 1 So, you're next, ma'am.
- MS. MEIER: My name is Amy Meier, and I have
- 3 flown up here from San Diego, representing Scripps
- 4 Memorial Hospital, specifically in La Jolla, where I am
- 5 employed, and largely Scripps Memorial Hospital system.
- 6 It is a multi-hospital system consisting of six
- 7 hospitals.
- I am up here, basically, with the two people who
- 9 have come before me, with the same statements. We are
- 10 desperately searching for a way to find the ability to
- 11 have a choice to work our 12-hour shifts.
- 12 Specifically, on my unit, we have been working
- 13 12-hour shifts for twelve years. I have only been there
- 14 for -- you know, for a short -- I haven't been there the
- 15 entire time. When that happened, in direct response to
- 16 what you were asking, people went from a 40-hour workweek
- 17 to a 36-hour workweek voluntarily, for full-time
- 18 employees. They did not take a pay cut. You know, the
- 19 pay was adjusted so that people did not lose money
- 20 because we voluntarily wanted to do this, but the
- 21 hospital compensated for the loss of the 4 hours.
- What has happened now is our hospital cannot
- 23 afford, in this -- in today's healthcare, you know,
- 24 economy, we cannot afford to pay employees time and a

- 1 half and stay at the current rate that we are paying them
- 2 for 12 hours without adjusting and fluctuating the base
- 3 rate of pay. We -- all of us on our unit want to work 12
- 4 hours.
- 5 Who works 12-hour -- the 12-hour schedules on
- 6 our unit? Everyone, unit secretaries, our service
- 7 partners, our OR techs. And I am, like I said, on labor
- 8 and delivery. And people have voluntarily wanted to work
- 9 that. We do have some service partners who, for family
- 10 and other obligations, have decided to work 10-hour
- 11 shifts. We have other units within this hospital that
- 12 work 8-hour shifts. Some floors work 12- and 8-hour
- 13 shifts, depending on the employees' needs. Our hospital
- 14 has generally been very accommodating, so that all
- 15 aspects of life are considered, to keep our skilled
- 16 nursing professionals within the hospital.
- Just like she was saying, we're having a
- 18 shortage. And whatever we can do to help employees stay
- 19 happy and provide for quality care to our patients,
- 20 that's what we're trying to do.
- 21 And all we're asking is to have the choice,
- 22 whether it's a vote, whether it is secret-ballot vote, I
- 23 mean, just give the employees a choice. Our hospital is
- 24 willing to, you know -- trying to accommodate them in any

- 1 way they can, but financially, they are under constraints
- 2 as well.
- 3 We are looking at losing a lot of staff if July
- 4 1st, if we go to 8 hours. And this is a reality. People
- 5 have already said, "Yes, it's four months off," but they
- 6 are making plans. We have currently, just on my unit
- 7 alone, lost four skilled labor and delivery nurses who
- 8 have moved out of state because they do not wish to even
- 9 deal with the problem of maybe having to go to an 8-hour
- 10 schedule. They are looking for work other places. If
- 11 nurses continue to leave the state and continue to leave
- 12 the hospital, we're going to have a real problem. And
- 13 people -- the people who are going to be affected are the
- 14 citizens of the state, the people who go into the
- 15 hospitals, women who are having the babies. You know,
- 16 it's just -- I think the issue needs to be really
- 17 considered, to give us an option.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 19 Pamela Broderson, Vivian Miller, Barbara Blake,
- 20 Jeanette Mason, Dawn Dingwell, Deborah Portela, Jay
- 21 Allen.
- MS. DINGWELL: Good afternoon, Chairman Center
- 23 and commissioners. My name is Dawn Dingwell, and I am
- 24 the Director of Legislative Affairs for the California

- 1 Association of Health Facilities. CAHF is a nonprofit
- 2 professional association representing more than 1,500
- 3 licensed long-term care health facilities in California.
- 4 Our members included skilled nursing facilities for the
- 5 chronically ill or aged, subacute care facilities
- 6 focusing on intensive rehabilitation and post-surgical
- 7 recovery for residents of all ages, facilities for the
- 8 developmentally disabled and the mentally ill, as well as
- 9 assisted living facilities for the elderly. Our
- 10 facilities range from home settings with an average of
- 11 six beds to facilities serving more than 100 residents.
- We're here today to ensure that you understand
- 13 that the different needs of our patients and our staff
- 14 and our facilities are distinguished from a hospital
- 15 setting or in-home care services. I have with me two
- 16 members of our association who are available to provide
- 17 their expertise and personal experience on these issues
- 18 and answer any questions you may have. But before I turn
- 19 the mike over to them, I would like to briefly summarize
- 20 some of our practical realities that are facing our
- 21 industry and what we need the Commission to address in
- 22 the new wage order.
- 23 Long-term care requires extended shifts and
- 24 flexible work schedules to meet patient and staffing

- 1 needs. I know we've heard a lot today, and you've heard
- 2 in prior hearings, about the importance of continuity of
- 3 care, so I won't spend a lot of time on that issue. But
- 4 I will just briefly state that flexibility in scheduling
- 5 employees in a long-term care setting is essential to
- 6 provide continuity of care to patients requiring 24-hour
- 7 supervision and nursing care.
- 8 Continuity of care is also important to our
- 9 residents who are more comfortable having their personal,
- 10 intimate care needs addressed by the same person
- 11 throughout the day. Continuity in staffing is also
- 12 critical to patients who are easily confused and agitated
- 13 in our long-term care settings, including folks with
- 14 Alzheimer's disease or mental illness.
- We also need flexibility in our industry to meet
- 16 the staffing demands. We are in a serious staffing and
- 17 funding in our industry, and flexibility is the only
- 18 thing that's allowing us to meet our current staffing
- 19 needs. Unlike a lot of the other healthcare providers
- 20 you've heard from, long-term care facilities rely mostly
- 21 on MediCal to pay for patient care. Because MediCal does
- 22 not fully cover the cost of care, long-term care
- 23 facilities are severely under-funded. Over 119
- 24 facilities in California are currently in bankruptcy

- 1 proceedings.
- 2 The problem is, is that the MediCal system does
- 3 not adequately account for serious increases in overtime
- 4 pay. Our providers are working within tight budgets to
- 5 carefully balance staff work hours with needed patient
- 6 care, but the inadequate MediCal rates keep wages low.
- 7 Providing care to long-term care residents is,
- 8 of course, physically and emotionally demanding work.
- 9 And in our current full employment economy, we're facing,
- 10 again, a very serious staffing shortage. Our turnover
- 11 rate is over 70 percent. Over 10 percent of staffing
- 12 jobs are unfilled in our facilities statewide, and the
- 13 average facility is short at least two full-time
- 14 employees. It's estimated that we need over 30,000
- 15 workers to fill our current staffing requirements.
- This is not a temporary crisis. We've been
- 17 experiencing it for many years. And although we are
- 18 hopeful, we do not see any end to the funding or staffing
- 19 crisis in the near future. Accordingly, we absolutely
- 20 require flexibility in scheduling to allow facilities to
- 21 fill staffing gaps by scheduling the limited number of
- 22 employees available for longer periods, to hire -- allow
- 23 facilities to hire workers who may only be available
- 24 through flexible staffing arrangements. And we've heard

- 1 several folks mention their own personal needs today.
- 2 But, again, I'll touch on a few of those.
- Working moms and parents rely on flexible work
- 4 schedules to get paid for full workweeks and only work a
- 5 limited number of days. It's also easier and more
- 6 affordable to obtain childcare for three days rather than
- 7 five days. Weekend workers or students who work two 16-
- 8 hour shifts or three 12's find that schedule to be -- to
- 9 meet their needs in our -- in our industry. And also, a
- 10 lot of our workers have second jobs. Many of our workers
- 11 also rely on public transportation and have commutes from
- 12 one and a half to two hours, especially in the Los
- 13 Angeles area. And the longer shifts allow them to put in
- 14 more hours, but less days.
- We also require flexibility to hire live-in
- 16 staff or sleep-over staff that work 24-hour shifts.
- We have submitted written testimony that
- 18 enumerates our recommendations specifically in the draft
- 19 interim wage order. And we've recommended some specific
- 20 changes and language. I'm not going to go into that now,
- 21 in consideration of time. And I'd like to let our
- 22 members speak about their own practical experience, but I
- 23 would like to cover, briefly, a couple of key issues.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Could you -- we're trying

- 1 to enforce a three-minute limit here too, so be brief, if
- 2 you could.
- 3 MS. DINGWELL: Okay. Just a couple of issues.
- 4 Any exemption that is created should be
- 5 industry-based and not based on individual employee
- 6 status. In the past, the IWC has always recognized the
- 7 healthcare industry as a whole under Wage Order 5. And
- 8 we believe that this type of approach makes sense.
- 9 We have heard some concerns that the Commission
- 10 may be considering exemptions that recognize only
- 11 licensed staff in a healthcare setting, and this type of
- 12 approach would fail to recognize the current model of
- 13 long-term care delivery that's been in place for the last
- 14 twenty-five years. It's most important to understand
- 15 that, unlike hospitals, primary caregivers in long-term
- 16 care facilities are frequently unlicensed or certified
- 17 staff. In nursing facilities, certified nursing
- 18 assistants provide the bulk of direct care, including
- 19 bathing, toileting, feeding, and other personal care
- 20 needs, and assistance with the activities of daily
- 21 living. In facilities for the developmentally disabled,
- 22 direct care staff perform similar services and are
- 23 specially trained --
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Excuse me. You'll have to

- 1 wrap it up here pretty quickly.
- 2 MS. DINGWELL: Thank you. -- but they are not
- 3 certified or licensed.
- And now I'd like to ask our members to go ahead.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 6 MS. PORTELA: Hi. My name is Debbie Portela,
- 7 and I own and operate a 138-bed facility in Rancho
- 8 Cordova. And I appreciate you giving us the opportunity
- 9 to talk to you today.
- 10 As Dawn mentioned, we -- we are in a staffing
- 11 crisis right now. I've been an administrator for sixteen
- 12 years in this area, and during that time I don't think
- 13 there's been a time that -- we need more flexibility in
- 14 being able to attract workers to our industry. Our
- 15 industry has been under extreme attack by -- by different
- 16 -- you know, around quality care and staffing levels.
- 17 And in the Governor's budget now, we already have
- 18 increased staffing requirements that went into effect
- 19 January 1st. And the day that those staffing
- 20 requirements went into effect, we could not meet them
- 21 because the worker is not even out there to hire.
- 22 And we're trying to look at innovative ways to
- 23 attract people to our industry, which largely employs
- 24 women and largely employs single women who are trying to

- 1 raise kids, and in doing that, at a wage of -- the
- 2 licensed nurses make great wages, but we're trying to
- 3 attract licensed nurses from hospitals that can work 12-
- 4 hour shifts. And I'm trying to staff a 24-hour nursing
- 5 facility.
- 6 The nursing facilities take care of frail, sick
- 7 residents now. We aren't housing little old ladies any
- 8 more and just giving them meals. We're taking care of
- 9 patients that need continuous nursing assessments. We're
- 10 handling all types of complex nursing issues. And we
- 11 have to staff our hospitals 24 hours a day with licensed
- 12 help that -- that make up registered nurses and licensed
- 13 nurses and certified nursing assistants. The licensed
- 14 portion of our staff is about 25 percent of that direct
- 15 care staff. The other 75 percent are certified nursing
- 16 assistants. But we still have to maintain that staff 24
- 17 hours a day.
- 18 And in order to attract the licensed nurses that
- 19 we need, it's -- we need to have -- be able to offer them
- 20 12-hour shifts. We've never been able to do that before,
- 21 and so it's hard to offer 10-hour shifts to licensed
- 22 nurses. Then you're stuck with 4 hours at the end of the
- 23 day that -- who are you going to get to work a 4-hour
- 24 shift, you know?

- 1 So, we're just asking for you to consider our
- 2 industry when you're looking at this legislation, and try
- 3 to keep us in mind, because we're trying to provide the
- 4 most quality care that we can provide in our industry,
- 5 and we need that flexibility in order to do that. And
- 6 our -- our nurses have asked us about 12-hour shifts, and
- 7 I have never, in my facility, been able to do that under
- 8 the current wage order.
- 9 CNAs also should be considered as direct
- 10 healthcare workers. We're trying to have them be
- 11 recognized as a professional part of our healthcare
- 12 delivery system. They take care of the elderly and the
- 13 infirm, and they're the ones that are providing the bulk
- 14 of that direct care work. And we're trying to get them
- 15 to get people attracted to that field, where they only
- 16 can make maybe \$8 an hour at a starting wage now in
- 17 California. Well, if they could work a 10-hour shift,
- 18 then they could -- and work three days, which many of
- 19 them only work part-time -- a lot of our shift, they'll
- 20 only work -- they can only work three days a week,
- 21 because maybe they're going to school, or maybe they're -
- 22 they have their kids.
- 23 And so, if we could have flexibility, we wish
- 24 that -- we feel like it would help us to deliver more

- 1 quality care and also attract more workers and give them
- 2 the flexibility that they need.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 4 MR. ALLEN: My name is Jay Allen. I'm the
- 5 executive director of RCCA Services, which is an
- 6 organization that provides residential supports for
- 7 people with developmental disabilities. These facilities
- 8 are of a size of, often, over 100 people per home, but
- 9 most often usually six people.
- 10 And we're also here to ask for flexibility in
- 11 terms of scheduling for these homes. The people that
- 12 live in these homes need quite a bit of support to
- 13 successfully get through the day. And our direct care
- 14 staff, who are not licensed -- licensed or certified,
- 15 responsible for working with these folks and meeting
- 16 their needs during the day, since the law has changed, we
- 17 have been forced, due to the under-funding currently by
- 18 the state, to eliminate all of our flexibility that we've
- 19 had with scheduling. We have had people who are working
- 20 12-hour shifts, three days a week, and also staff members
- 21 that were working two 16-hour days a week. And that has
- 22 allowed for school commitments, childcare, and a variety
- 23 of other commitments that our staff have. And we've had
- 24 to eliminate that, and that has impacted the employees.

- 1 Not only are they not able to schedule more hours, but
- 2 having worked two 16-hour days, or 32 hours a week or 36,
- 3 they're eligible for health insurance. And if they're
- 4 not able to pick up the additional hours, they lose that
- 5 eligibility. And it's unfortunate.
- 6 We are in a staffing crisis; there's no question
- 7 about it. And we need as much flexibility as we can in
- 8 order to meet the needs of the developmentally disabled
- 9 in the community.
- 10 Thank you for your consideration.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 12 Any questions?
- 13 COMMISSIONER BROAD: How many of your employees
- 14 were working 16-hour days?
- MR. ALLEN: I would estimate that we have
- 16 probably a handful, probably not more than ten.
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROAD: And that never raised an
- 18 issue of you -- of undue fatigue or patient care
- 19 consequences that were negative?
- 20 MR. ALLEN: No. No. As I say, most of the
- 21 homes -- in fact, all of the ones that I work with, six
- 22 people live in a home in the community, and it's a --
- 23 it's a home. And it is very intensive during particular
- 24 times of the day. If you think of yourself and what care

- 1 you would need if you needed that kind of support, during
- 2 the morning hours, in terms of bathing and showering and
- 3 getting dressed and fed, that is a very intensive time of
- 4 day. Other times, it's not as intensive. So, it has not
- 5 raised an issue.
- In fact, just the opposite; the consistency and
- 7 the care that you can give, if you're not changing staff,
- 8 makes a big difference with these folks. Their needs are
- 9 very individualized. And since most of them are
- 10 nonverbal, you need somebody who's very familiar to
- 11 provide the service to them.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Do they have -- I mean,
- 13 when you're saying they work 16 hours a day, is that
- 14 continuously, without breaks?
- MR. ALLEN: No.
- 16 COMMISSIONER BROAD: How do they do that?
- MR. ALLEN: No, they are able to -- well, most
- 18 of the time, there are two people that work in these six-
- 19 person homes, and there are periods of inactivity where
- 20 they can get away and have a break. We have -- we look
- 21 very closely at the quality of our services, and we have
- 22 seen just the opposite of what you're suggesting. We
- 23 have seen that our quality is better when we have
- 24 consistent staff members. And if we have more part-time

- 1 people or people working less hours, it hurts our
- 2 quality.
- 3 So, I appreciate what you're saying, and it's a
- 4 potential issue, but we just simply haven't seen it.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 7 Kate Gattuso, Jack McGee, Kerry Rodriguez
- 8 Messer, Marianne Ward.
- 9 Kate Gattuso, I think, is first.
- MS. GATTUSO: Hello.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Hi.
- MS. GATTUSO: Kate Gattuso. I work at Stanford
- 13 University Hospital and San Mateo County General
- 14 Hospital. I'm a respiratory therapist, and I've been a
- 15 respiratory therapist for 22 years, working in hospitals
- 16 and home care.
- And we need the flexibility that everyone else
- 18 has said, to staff our -- our hospitals and our care
- 19 centers. And at Stanford, we work 8-, 10-, and 12-hour
- 20 shifts within the Respiratory Therapy Department. We
- 21 need that flexibility to cover our needs.
- That's all.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Jack McGee.

- 1 MR. McGEE: Thank you, Chair Center,
- 2 commissioners. My name is Jack McGee. I am a
- 3 respiratory care practitioner. I'm here today to speak
- 4 in favor of 12-hour shifts, and I've been working this
- 5 schedule three days a week for the past eight years at
- 6 Stanford University Hospital.
- 7 Many of my colleagues and I have previously
- 8 written the IWC, and no longer being able to work 12
- 9 hours will have dire consequences on our personal lives.
- 10 With these letters already on file, I assure you I will
- 11 try to avoid being repetitious.
- 12 Still, I must say, the comments by Richard
- 13 Simmons, representing the CHA, at the December meeting
- 14 describing 12-hour shifts as the first and foremost issue
- 15 before this Commission resonate well with me.
- 16 Primarily, I came here today to raise the bar
- 17 and broaden the scope of the issues before the
- 18 Commission, as I see them. If I may ask the indulgence
- 19 of this body, I believe I can identify these larger
- 20 issues in the next two minutes.
- 21 Having read the minutes for the prior three
- 22 meetings, I was motivated by Commissioner Broad's
- 23 observation at the December meeting that the Commission
- 24 had only heard from a small segment of workers in

- 1 healthcare. This gives me pause, for as I have stated,
- 2 many individuals have already provided written comments
- 3 to the Commission. And I wondered aloud what became of
- 4 our letters. Does the Commission not realize we
- 5 willingly work the hours we do?
- 6 I have a keen awareness of the difficult task
- 7 before the IWC. And even so, it strikes me that your
- 8 diamond in the rough has only one facet, wages. In the
- 9 real world, people work for and find gratification in
- 10 their work for a constellation of reasons. The rich life
- 11 extends well beyond the workplace. My government, my
- 12 church, advocacy groups, the media, and my conscience
- 13 fill me with a sense of what I as a citizen should be
- 14 doing. I aim to spend quality and quantity time with my
- 15 children in order to raise responsible adults and avert
- 16 youth crime. I should be volunteering my time. My
- 17 alternative work schedule allows me to participate in
- 18 programs sponsored by the Boy Scouts of America, for
- 19 example.
- The reality of living in San Jose is worsening
- 21 traffic conditions in the year 2000. "Leave the car at
- 22 home" is a familiar mantra in our community. With fewer
- 23 days on the job, my car can stay in the garage more
- 24 often. I carpool whenever possible, usually three days

- 1 each week. In my case, acting socially responsible
- 2 depends on working 12-hour shifts.
- I raise these points because they comprise the
- 4 strong undercurrent for this first and foremost issue. I
- 5 believe the highest undertaking for government is to
- 6 smooth the path for citizens to uphold lofty ideals. I
- 7 think it is wrong for any arm of the government to create
- 8 obstacles or otherwise work at cross-purposes to
- 9 achieving such goals. Restricting my ability to work a
- 10 compressed workweek, in my view, clearly conflicts with
- 11 the larger good in these other realms.
- I would also like to resolve a question. Having
- 13 read the memorandum of December 23rd from the Labor
- 14 Commissioner, I am left wondering whether the IWC has the
- 15 authority to extend 12-hour exemptions beyond July 1st of
- 16 2000. An answer to this question is vital for all
- 17 healthcare shift workers. If the IWC is indeed
- 18 powerless, there are only three lines of recourse:
- 19 through the Legislature, change employment to a
- 20 government health facility, and collective bargaining,
- 21 although, as you heard, one individual is going to move
- 22 out of state.
- 23 Your attention to this question and mindfulness
- 24 of the broader ramifications stemming from AB 60 is

- 1 appreciated. Thank you for your time and courtesy.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. To answer your
- 3 question on the memo, that was written by the Labor
- 4 Commissioner, not by the Industrial Welfare Commission,
- 5 and the direction from our legal counsel, we would have
- 6 authority to extend 12-hour shifts.
- 7 MR. McGEE: I'm heartened to hear that.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And people moving out of
- 9 state, they probably have unions there too.
- 10 With that, Kerry Rodriguez Messer.
- MS. MESSER: Right here.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah.
- MS. MESSER: Hi. My name is Kerry Rodriguez
- 14 Messer, and I represent the California Association for
- 15 Health Services at Home. I want to thank you for letting
- 16 us speak to you today, and acknowledge right up front
- 17 that AB 60 is law. We're not going to try and overturn
- 18 that here, but believe that within your powers under
- 19 dealing with the healthcare industry, that there are some
- 20 concerns we have that you may be able to address or
- 21 provide us with some clarification.
- Our members are primarily home health agencies,
- 23 hospices, and home care aid organizations. These members
- 24 provide nursing and other supportive services in the home

- 1 to patients. They range from things like assistance with
- 2 dressing and grooming to intensive nursing care, for
- 3 example, critically ill children who are trache-
- 4 dependent, but because of technology can remain at home.
- 5 Unlike other healthcare settings -- because I
- 6 know you've heard from a variety of healthcare
- 7 practitioners -- in-home care, the relationship is unique
- 8 in that it is one-on-one. And I think that that is what
- 9 divides us from the rest of the healthcare settings and
- 10 makes the continuity of care issue a very valid and real
- 11 one in the home care setting.
- 12 With the discussions, there's been an assumption
- 13 that the healthcare industry was taken care of until
- 14 July. But after speaking to several of the commissioners
- 15 and doing some research, it only took care of those that
- 16 were in a hospital setting or that had an alternative
- 17 work schedule in place prior to 1998. Unfortunately,
- 18 some of our members did not, and those that did, some
- 19 abandoned them officially when the new rules came out in
- 20 1998, going to a 40-hour workweek.
- So, we have a large majority of our members that
- 22 are now abiding by the 8-hour day rule, or trying to do a
- 23 10-hour alternative workweek schedule under the rules of
- 24 AB 60.

- 1 The draft interim wage order, we have a couple
- 2 questions on. "Alternative workweek schedule," to us, is
- 3 very confusing and troubling. When we read the
- 4 Department of Labor Standards Enforcement memo, we get
- 5 the impression that perhaps we need to designate days,
- 6 "You're going to work 10 hours on Monday, 10 hours on
- 7 Tuesday, "but nowhere else do we get that guidance. So,
- 8 we're wondering, can we just have a schedule that says,
- 9 "You're going to work three 10's, and given that week,
- 10 you know, those three 10's may fall on a different day of
- 11 the week"? And we would appreciate guidance with that.
- 12 Also in the draft wage order, you say that
- 13 employees are permitted to move from one alternative
- 14 schedule to another. And I'm not sure exactly what kind
- 15 of flexibility that provides us. So, further guidance on
- 16 that, whether it can be within the same week, whether
- 17 they need to do a vote every week if they want to change
- 18 from one week to the next, to go from one alternative
- 19 schedule to another.
- We'd also like clarification, as many others
- 21 have said, on the seventh day. Is that consecutive? The
- 22 Labor Standards Enforcement folks have an opinion, but
- 23 guidance from you would be great.
- 24 The draft wage order also, as I said, fails to

- 1 address the healthcare industry. And I understand you'll
- 2 be looking at them as a whole later on. We are concerned
- 3 with what we're going to do in the interim, though,
- 4 because, as I said, most of our members do not fall into
- 5 the crafted-out exemptions that were in AB 60.
- I have been asked by members of the Commission
- 7 and others, "Well, what did you do before 1997?," and
- 8 that's a critical and important question. Well, our
- 9 members lived in an entirely different world. Home care
- 10 has changed so dramatically over the past couple years
- 11 that it's almost not a valid question.
- In 1997, Medicare was completely changed by the
- 13 Balanced Budget Act. And it's important to note that
- 14 home health is paid for -- excuse me -- Medicare pays for
- 15 79 percent of home health in the state, so that the
- 16 changes that made a 20 percent cut in pay were a dramatic
- 17 change in the way care is delivered. In addition,
- 18 MediCal pays for 4 percent of all home health in the
- 19 state. Those reimbursement levels are at the same that
- 20 they were in 1994. And neither of these two payer
- 21 sources accounts for overtime.
- In 1997, we were not an industry in crisis. In
- 23 the past two years, 235 home health agencies have closed.
- 24 This represents 71 percent of the health facilities that

- 1 have been closed in the state in the past two years. We
- 2 went from a high of 1,400 agencies; we now have 880.
- 3 This is in the face of policy makers trying to implement
- 4 measures that move people from institutions to the home
- 5 and community-based settings. On top of all that is the
- 6 nursing shortage.
- 7 I've taken up too much time already. I'd rather
- 8 have you hear from my members. I have a home health
- 9 provider as well as a hospice provider. We'll start with
- 10 Marianne Ward, who is a licensed vocational nurse, and
- 11 she's from Interim HealthCare, and then we'll go to Holly
- 12 Swiger, who is a registered nurse, and she's from Vitas
- 13 Hospice.
- MS. WARD: Good afternoon.
- Well, in order to honor Chairman Center's
- 16 request and not to duplicate my testimony, I've rewritten
- 17 it so that I can be succinct in what I'd like to say.
- The alternative -- and I am going to address the
- 19 draft interim wage order, Item 5, alternative workweeks.
- In home health, there is nothing regular about a
- 21 scheduled alternative workweek. Home health is a
- 22 delivery of care to patients requiring services on a 24-
- 23 hour-a-day. Their admission to service varies from the
- 24 time of the day that they come into service with us. And

- 1 Kerry had stated, the way the law is written, it does
- 2 indicate the alternative workday has to be identified as
- 3 a Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday. And in home
- 4 health, that is not possible.
- 5 An example I can give is a patient that gets
- 6 hospitalized, or has to have surgery. That alternative
- 7 workday for that particular staff of nurses is null and
- 8 void. Those people are out of work. We need to place
- 9 them with other patients. And so, there's nothing
- 10 regular in that regard.
- 11 It's an agency or it's an industry that's been
- 12 financially chiseled. Again, Kerry covered that quite
- 13 well. We used to be able to offer staff flexible hours.
- 14 And the disruption that the 8-hour workday causes really
- 15 impacts not only individual lives, as you've heard time
- 16 and time again testified today, but it also affects the
- 17 disruption in the life of the patients that we're caring
- 18 for in their homes.
- 19 It impacts our ability to recruit and retain
- 20 employees and nurses in this particular industry. And,
- 21 yes, there are labor boards and there are other
- 22 organizations out of state. It would be great if
- 23 California could hang onto its professionals here.
- 24 And I would also like to invite any member of

- 1 this Commission to come and see a 24-hour home health
- 2 patient and see how the delivery of care is provided, and
- 3 talk to the employees that are providing that care and
- 4 get the information firsthand. My employees and my
- 5 patients are eager and anxious to testify when and if the
- 6 committee should decide that, you know, the healthcare
- 7 industry will have a carved-out time to be researched and
- 8 reviewed. And I look forward to being a part of that
- 9 opportunity.
- 10 And thank you so much for your time.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MS. SWIGER: Hello. My name is Holly Swiger,
- 13 and I work for Vitas Healthcare Corporation. We're a
- 14 large provider of hospice services. In fact, we provide
- 15 care to over 1,000 people who are dying in California
- 16 each day.
- 17 I'm extremely concerned about the impact of AB
- 18 60. You see, when people are dying, there's a lot of
- 19 change, loss, and confusion that happens in their lives
- 20 at this time, both for the patient and the families. And
- 21 our goal is provide a compassionate environment with the
- 22 greatest continuity of care as possible to kind of smooth
- 23 out this very rough time. We address the patient and
- 24 family's needs, both physically, psycho-socially,

- 1 spiritually, and we do that with a team of providers.
- 2 So, we employ physicians, we employ nurses, social
- 3 workers, chaplains, home health aides, homemakers; we
- 4 have a cadre of volunteers that work for us.
- 5 People want to remain home. And in order for
- 6 hospice to assure this, we have our interdisciplinary
- 7 team that not only provides visits and manages the care,
- 8 but we also then have 24-hour on-call staff, and we also
- 9 provide up to 24 hours of shift care when necessary.
- The problem is, people don't die according to
- 11 the clock. And if a nurse or social worker or home
- 12 health aide or chaplain are out at that bedside, and the
- 13 patient is at a point where they're actively dying, we
- 14 don't want them to look at their watch and say, "My shift
- 15 is over; it's time to go home." This is extremely
- 16 intimate care that occurs when someone is going through
- 17 this. And we want staff to be allowed to make the
- 18 decision of being able to stay there and then adjust
- 19 their workweek as necessary.
- When it's a 24-hour situation, where we have 24-
- 21 hour care in, the 12-hour shifts work much better, on
- 22 behalf of the patient and families, because, first of
- 23 all, again, you only have two different people coming in
- 24 there to provide this care, instead of three. And if we

- 1 do have the three, we have to change that late at night,
- 2 and then again early in the morning, disrupting, again,
- 3 the rest of the patient and family, which is so vital.
- I just had a chaplain stop me in the hallway
- 5 yesterday that said, "What am I going to do? I can't --
- 6 I don't know how to work this way, now that we have this
- 7 8-hour limitation, because I'm supposed to be fitting
- 8 into the family's needs, and I can -- you know, I've got
- 9 families that work, and I can only reach them at night.
- 10 But I may get a call through tonight, or I might not get
- 11 a call through. If I get a call through, it's an extra
- 12 hour. So, do I cut my day back, and then may not get my
- 13 full amount of pay today, or do I go ahead and just know
- 14 that I'm going to have that overtime, and therefore cut
- 15 into the charity care of our other patients?"
- 16 With the increased healthcare staffing shortages
- 17 in California that you've heard about all day today, this
- 18 is a real important perk that we can provide our staff so
- 19 that they can balance not only the work environment, but
- 20 their home environment as well.
- 21 And so, I really urge you, on behalf of the
- 22 staff, that not only are they allowed to do that
- 23 personally, but also be allowed to provide the care that
- 24 they were called to provide when they come to provide

- 1 hospice.
- 2 Finally, as a provider of care, I'm very
- 3 concerned about the overtime cost. For our organization
- 4 alone, we're looking at over \$600,000 a year as a result
- 5 of this. And this is very difficult when we are paid a
- 6 flat rate per day to cover all the costs, labor,
- 7 medications, durable medical equipment, whatever that
- 8 patient needs. What I'm concerned is going to happen
- 9 with hospice providers is that we'll be forced to
- 10 decrease the continuity of care, we'll have to put limits
- 11 on the charity care that we now provide and are so proud
- 12 of, or we'll have to transfer those patients to
- 13 hospitals, at a time when the patient and family would
- 14 prefer that they stay at home.
- So, to return to overtime after 8 hours in a day
- 16 creates a great hardship on our patients, family members,
- 17 staff, and hospice programs. There's no way to schedule
- 18 the death of a patient. And many hospice staff members
- 19 would not fit under our definition of a regularly
- 20 scheduled alternate schedule. So, we really request that
- 21 we have a permanent exemption for hospice so that we can
- 22 provide the care that we need to.
- Thank you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.

- 1 Any questions?
- 2 (No response)
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 4 Now Robert Tollen, Melanie Loya, Mary West
- 5 Piowaty.
- 6 Yeah, we need to really reduce testimony because
- 7 we have a duty to vote on our order here, and if we're
- 8 going to make amendments, it make take a while. We can
- 9 have Andy start enforcing the law here.
- MS. PIOWATY: Hi. It is easier to testify
- 11 earlier in the day; you don't feel so repetitive.
- My name is Mary West Piowaty. I live in
- 13 Susanville, California, northeastern California, in
- 14 Lassen County. I've been a respiratory therapist for 26
- 15 years. I've worked 8 hours, 10 hours, 12 hours, and in a
- 16 rural hospital we work on-call. I've worked in 8-bed
- 17 hospital, 400-bed hospital. I'm presently in Susanville
- 18 in a 26-bed rural hospital.
- 19 Employees in a rural hospital are required to
- 20 cross-train in multiple departments and must possess a
- 21 large array of skills. Compensation cannot compete with
- 22 the large metropolitan hospitals. Reno's our closest
- 23 large metropolitan hospital, which is out of state. It's
- 24 90 miles away. Or this rural hospital has trouble

- 1 competing with state prisons. We have two in Susanville.
- 2 So, retainment is very difficult in our profession. It's
- 3 very difficult to get qualified professionals in rural
- 4 areas. We use a lot of mid-level caregivers, midwives,
- 5 family nurse practitioners, and PAs, and it's very
- 6 difficult to get physicians, so they fill in.
- 7 At Lassen Community Hospital, we -- both the
- 8 employees and the management -- have liked the 12-hour
- 9 shifts. It's been beneficial for staffing needs,
- 10 stability, and retention. It's been beneficial for
- 11 patient continuity and personal and family time off.
- 12 It's resolved a lot of our staffing difficulties.
- 13 I testified twice here in Sacramento in favor of
- 14 AB 60 for Wally Knox. The reason I was in favor of daily
- 15 overtime is that we don't staff our ancillary departments
- 16 at night, lab, X-ray, and respiratory. We go on-call.
- 17 was a part-time worker. I'd work long days, then be
- 18 worked some long nights -- never hit 40 hours; therefore,
- 19 never get compensated. So, yes, I was in favor of it.
- 20 But I now ask you to listen to the testimonies
- 21 and make good judgment for the exceptions and the
- 22 alternative work schedules. I'm here to ask you to allow
- 23 us to continue working 12-hour shifts with the waive of
- 24 overtime after 10. That's what we've been doing. We

- 1 like it, and it seems to work for us.
- 2 As caregivers, we feel a 12-hour alternative
- 3 work schedule benefits not only our patients, but our
- 4 personal lives.
- I ask you that you look out for the California
- 6 worker, especially the female worker, who the majority
- 7 are in the medical field, is females.
- 8 And thank you very much.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 10 Questions?
- (No response)
- MS. LOYA: Good afternoon. My name is Melanie
- 13 Loya. I'm a registered and licensed respiratory care
- 14 practitioner at Mercy General Hospital here in
- 15 Sacramento. I've been working as a respiratory therapist
- **16** for 18 years.
- 17 In 1988, we willingly pursued and voted for
- 18 flexible work hours of 12-hour shifts. We did not ask --
- 19 the management did not ask us; we asked them. We
- 20 strongly protest regressing back to 8-hour shifts, mostly
- 21 for the reasons you guys have already heard today. And I
- 22 won't go back into it.
- On a personal note, if I go back to 8-hour
- 24 shifts, I either have to put my daughter in daycare or I

- 1 never see my husband. There are people who are going to
- 2 school full-time to finish bachelor's and master's
- 3 degrees that will not be able to finish them if they have
- 4 to go back to going to work 8 hours a day, five days a
- 5 week.
- 6 On another note that may or may not have been
- 7 brought up, Sacramento has smog days, smog alert days.
- 8 Working 12-hour shifts, we are on the road only three
- 9 days a week. We are also on the road before the mass
- 10 crush that hits Highway 50 and Interstate 80. We're off
- 11 the road before the mass commute to and from work.
- The other thing that was brought up is we work
- 13 in a life-and-death situation every day. Christmas Eve
- 14 day, we had four Code Blues -- that means cardiac or
- 15 respiratory arrests -- before noon. You need those extra
- 16 days off a week to help regroup yourself and focus back
- 17 on what your life is.
- 18 It's easier for our night shift people to work
- 19 three nights a week full-time than to have to do five
- 20 nights a week full-time.
- 21 In our department, to compensate for the loss of
- 22 4 hours a week in the pay period, they have given us a
- 23 differential so that we lost no pay. Some hospitals opt
- 24 to keep their full-time listing. We actually are listed

- 1 as part-time, but we do get paid to compensate for the
- 2 loss of the pay. We do have shift differentials between
- 3 the night shift and the day shift to encourage, retain,
- 4 and recruit seasoned, experienced respiratory therapists.
- 5 It has been brought to my attention that no less than
- 6 four people are threatening to quit if we have to go back
- 7 to 8-hour shifts. If that happens, a department that is
- 8 already under-staffed will be greatly affected.
- 9 I have a petition here with 100 signatures from
- 10 respiratory care practitioners at Mercy Healthcare,
- 11 Sacramento. There's been another 50 that have been faxed
- 12 in from other Mercy Healthcare facilities to the
- 13 Commission. We ask you, we implore you, please let us
- 14 make the choice to work 12-hour shifts. Please continue
- 15 for us to be exempt, respiratory care practitioners and
- 16 other healthcare workers.
- 17 Thank you.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- We've got Randy Clark, Steve Harvey, Mary
- 20 Gonzales. And if you don't have any additional new
- 21 information, please be very brief.
- MR. CLARK: Yes, sir. It'll be very brief.
- 23 My name is Randy Clark. I'm the president of
- 24 the California Association for Respiratory Care. I'm

- 1 also a department manager at a large hospital in Modesto,
- 2 and I represent 82 licensees at that facility.
- 3 As I've been hearing, you've already heard lots
- 4 of testimony through the day, and I believe I've heard
- 5 from you that the healthcare industry will be carved out
- 6 for another discussion at another time. So, my question
- 7 would be, what is your timetable?
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I don't think we've said
- 9 that. It was asked if we had the authority to do that.
- 10 By July 1, we're looking at the nursing exemption.
- MR. CLARK: Okay. Okay. The question would be,
- 12 at what time would all of us -- which, I quess, there's
- 13 17,400 respiratory care practitioners in this state and
- 14 280,000 licensed registered nurses in this state -- when
- 15 would we know if that exemption's going beyond July 1 or
- 16 not?
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Well, when we have a
- 18 hearing and then -- and vote on it. That's when we will
- 19 know.
- 20 MR. CLARK: Okay. I guess that was my question,
- 21 sir. Mr. Chairman, when would that hearing be?
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: We have not got to that
- 23 point. Part of our problem, we have several other
- 24 industries we have to review too.

- 1 MR. CLARK: Okay.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And if we open up separate
- 3 wage boards on other industries, we have a lot of things.
- 4 And we have to sit down with our staff and look at the
- 5 available schedule. So, we do not know right now.
- 6 MR. CLARK: Okay. That concludes my remarks.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 9 MR. HARVEY: Hi. My name is Steve Harvey. I'm
- 10 a respiratory care practitioner at John Muir Medical
- 11 Center. And I just want to go on record as saying I
- 12 represent 50 respiratory care practitioners at my
- 13 facility that all wish to work 12-hour shifts and want to
- 14 stay on 12-hour shifts.
- Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 17 How about Connie Delgado Alvarez, Charles
- 18 Skoien, Jr., Michael Arnold, Ellen Bair, and Kimberly
- 19 Martin Pickard?
- MS. ALVAREZ: Well, I guess I should go ahead
- 21 and begin. Hi. I'm Connie Delgado Alvarez, with the
- 22 California Healthcare Association. And I want to be very
- 23 brief for you today, since we've gone on for a little bit
- 24 of time.

- 1 I first want to support the statements that were
- 2 made by the advanced practice nurses. And this is a
- 3 critical area for our hospitals, as they do provide a
- 4 tremendous support to the whole function of the hospital.
- 5 And in that regard, we have submitted some draft language
- 6 for your review. You should have received a copy, I
- 7 believe, yesterday. And they just -- the main thrust of
- 8 those -- of those draft -- of that draft language deals
- 9 with the flexible work arrangements, the advanced
- 10 practice nurse issue, and the regularly scheduled
- 11 alternative workweek.
- So, I understand we'll be continuing on with
- 13 this discussion, so I look forward to working with you in
- 14 the next two weeks, before I leave.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- Mr. Neff?
- MR. ARNOLD: Michael Arnold.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Oh.
- MR. ARNOLD: No problem. Michael Arnold,
- 20 representing the California Dialysis Council. I've
- 21 passed out suggested changes to the portion of the
- 22 interim wage order dealing with alternative workweeks.
- I'm here representing a group of dialysis
- 24 facilities in the State of California. Dialysis

- 1 facilities, obviously, treat dialysis patients. Dialysis
- 2 patients dialyze three times a week for three or four
- 3 hours per day. Dialysis facilities have implemented a
- 4 12-hour workday so that their patients can be
- 5 appropriately seen. On Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, a
- 6 dialysis clinic will open at 6:00 a.m. and, generally
- 7 speaking, will close 12 hours later, at 6:00 p.m. On
- 8 Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday, they'll open at 9:00
- 9 a.m. and then close at 9:00 p.m. And in that way, the
- 10 staff is happy, the patients are happy, and the dialysis
- 11 facility can deliver the care most appropriately to the
- 12 patients.
- 13 If -- I quess the entire industry right now
- 14 needs some quidance from you folks. And everybody has
- 15 said that. If you really want people to be able to
- 16 implement a 12-hour day now, you need to say that. If
- 17 you don't, that's fine, but if you do, you need to say
- 18 that, or else folks aren't going to do it, because what
- 19 they worry about is that if they implement a 12-hour day
- 20 now and they are fair with their employees -- in other
- 21 words, the employees do not have a reduced take-home pay
- 22 as a result of the implementation of the 12-hour day --
- 23 that if that 12-hour day then goes away on July 1, will
- 24 the regular rate of hourly pay that they were giving

- 1 their employees on a 12-hour then become that which is
- 2 used for purposes of calculating overtime after July 1?
- 3 So, you need to solve -- you need some people some
- 4 guidance here. And until you do, we're going to be in a
- 5 giant upheaval.
- 6 Most of the folks in the dialysis industry have
- 7 gone back to 8 hours of straight time with 4 hours -- 4
- 8 hours of overtime. That's bad for the employees. The
- 9 employees don't like it because it makes it difficult for
- 10 them to calculate their benefits, and also, because if
- 11 they move on to some other job, they're worried that they
- 12 will be making that move based upon a lower salary than
- 13 they were getting when they were paid pursuant to a
- 14 blended rate for the full 12-hour day. It's bad for the
- 15 employers because they have to make all these goofy
- 16 calculations that they didn't have to make when they were
- 17 just paying straight time for the full 12 hours.
- So, I have three suggestions in terms of your
- 19 wage order. If you really mean that -- if you really
- 20 want people to implement a 12-hour -- or to be able to
- 21 implement a 12-hour day after July 1 of 2000, you ought
- 22 to say that so that -- so that this Section 5 would say
- 23 "prior to 1998," and then -- or -- and insert the words
- 24 "or after July 1, 2000," so people realize that that's

- 1 what you're talking about.
- 2 Secondly, I think that you should make it clear
- 3 in your Item 5 that you're talking about both those who
- 4 are continuing a 12-hour day that was voted upon prior to
- 5 1998, and those who implement a new 12-hour day after
- 6 July 1, 2000, if that's what you agree that you want to
- 7 do.
- 8 And then, lastly, you need to give some comfort
- 9 to employers who implement this 12-hour day for this
- 10 period of time, so that if, in fact, on July 1, something
- 11 happens by virtue of court order or inaction of this
- 12 Commission, that they are not put at a disadvantage at
- 13 that point in time and that they're permitted to go back
- 14 to an 8-hour day plus overtime, based upon the salary
- 15 that would otherwise have been in effect.
- So, those are our recommendations.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 18 Any questions?
- (No response)
- 20 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 21 MR. ARNOLD: Thanks very much.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I have one more, Kathryn
- 23 Rees -- I guess the last healthcare. She just came in
- 24 and signed the card; she was late.

- 1 MS. REES: Well, I was listening for a long time
- 2 on the box, though.
- 4 MS. REES: Kathy Rees, representing the
- 5 California Assisted Living Facilities Association. For
- 6 those of -- some of you who know me, this is not a realm
- 7 in which I normally work. But this particular client is
- 8 in that continuum of providing direct care to residents.
- 9 They're not nursing homes, they are not
- 10 hospitals; they are the continuum in between. They are
- 11 for primarily the frail elderly or the dementia Alzheimer
- 12 patient. They are for those individuals who need
- 13 assistance in their day-to-day lives, their activities of
- 14 daily living, and some oversight, sometimes in reminding
- 15 them how to take their medications, how to get themselves
- 16 dressed, how to get themselves bathed. The one thing
- 17 that they have totally in common with -- with other kinds
- 18 of facilities such as nursing homes and such as hospitals
- 19 is that they do have this 24-hour, round-the-clock kind
- 20 of care that is required.
- I will give you an example myself. I'm an adult
- 22 child with a set of two parents living with me with
- 23 advanced cancers, and I have to put them somewhere soon.
- 24 And they want to go somewhere soon. They do not belong

- 1 in a nursing home.
- 2 And one of the things that has made the assisted
- 3 living industry so critically attractive to people like
- 4 me and to people like my parents is that they have the
- 5 comfort of being able to go into a safe and secure
- 6 environment that's very home-like and know that they have
- 7 qualified people that are there to attend to their needs.
- 8 And one of the reasons they go there is because they
- 9 don't want to be in a nursing home, but at the same time,
- 10 they want to know that there's someone there 24 hours to
- 11 make sure that their needs are met.
- 12 I'm not quite sure how this industry is fitting
- 13 into either this draft wage order or, quite frankly,
- 14 whether it fits into Wage Order 4. We're very confused.
- 15 And so, I would only echo some of the remarks that Mike
- 16 Arnold has made and that many of the speakers before me.
- 17 We would hope that the imposition of the 8-hour day on
- 18 these folks, who have not necessarily been doing 8-hour
- 19 days -- they've been doing a variety of hours, 12 being
- 20 very attractive for all the reasons that everybody has
- 21 cited today -- that's something in common that this
- 22 industry has with others. And it has more to do with the
- 23 continuity of care that's provided, as well as many of
- 24 the -- the employees' satisfaction of working in this

- 1 environment without disruption. And frankly, many of the
- 2 employees enjoy the arrangement of being able to work a
- 3 finite number of days a week and have a finite number of
- 4 days a week that they do not work.
- 5 So, again, I would ask, in your deliberations,
- 6 that we work together and try to evaluate what to do with
- 7 this particular setting.
- 8 Again, I'm a little bit new at some of this, and
- 9 some of the people I represent are very confused about
- 10 where they sit, what they ought to do, and how we ought
- 11 to handle it. We have fought very hard for many years --
- 12 some years ago, I represented the Alzheimer's Association
- 13 -- and to have found an approach for Alzheimer's and
- 14 dementia patients to go, in addition, that is suitable to
- 15 their needs, not the nursing home, has been a very long,
- 16 hard, uphill battle.
- 17 And the watchword of the assisted living
- 18 movement and the assisted living facility is flexibility
- 19 to be able to provide care. And that comes in terms of
- 20 flexibility in staffing.
- 21 So, I would conclude with we'll look for your
- 22 guidance and your assistance, because I have a hunch
- 23 we're going to need it.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.

- 1 Okay. James Neff and Robert Janes -- Jones --
- 2 excuse me, and Tom Cadell.
- 3 MR. JONES: Am I the right Robert Jones? I just
- 4 wondered.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah, we're off healthcare
- 6 right now.
- 7 MR. JONES: Yeah. I'm not healthcare.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: We're on to motion
- 9 pictures and computers.
- MR. JONES: Okay, great.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: And James Neff is first.
- MR. NEFF: Mr. Chairman and members, my is Jim
- 13 Neff. I represent the Motion Picture Association of
- 14 America, California Group, which is home to the major
- 15 motion picture studios and television productions.
- We have been in discussions with the staff and
- 17 with others regarding the impact of this interim wage
- 18 order. And we are now sufficiently convinced that
- 19 Section 7 of your wage order and Section 8 of the bill
- 20 do, in fact, provide language that essentially will not
- 21 change any of the existing collective bargaining
- 22 agreements within the entertainment industry.
- We have 27 collective bargaining agreements with
- 24 -- that covers roughly 40,000 people behind the camera,

- 1 and we're just hopeful that the language that's in your
- 2 wage order in Section 7 will, in fact, remain in that
- 3 order.
- 4 And that's kind of my comments. Thank you very
- 5 much.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you very much.
- 7 MR. JONES: Good afternoon, Chairman Center and
- 8 commissioners. My name is Robert Jones. I'm with the
- 9 firm of Jones Durant, and we're here today representing
- 10 the Northern and Southern California Chapters of the
- 11 National Association of Computer Consulting Businesses.
- 12 We -- I provided materials to all of you with
- 13 our specific requests, and we have a very specific
- 14 request at this time.
- The interim wage order, we believe, is going to
- 16 have a very significant impact on the high-tech industry.
- 17 And it's going to have that impact because of the salary
- 18 basis test, which is now going to be written into the
- 19 wage order. I know that there's some disagreement, among
- 20 a number of us in the legal profession anyway, as to the
- 21 continuing -- the continuing viability of the prior wage
- 22 orders with respect to the administrative and -- excuse
- 23 me
- 24 -- the administrative, executive, and professional

- 1 exemption. But specifically in the law, under Section
- 2 21, it provides that until this Commission acts to adopt
- 3 a wage order, that we will continue to operate under the
- 4 prior wage orders, and that's what we -- 4-89 -- and
- 5 that's what we've been continuing to do, which provides
- 6 for the remuneration test.
- 7 To be more specific, the enactment of this
- 8 proposed order will immediately remove the current exempt
- 9 status for all highly paid computer professional
- 10 consultants in California. This -- I have checked with
- 11 the industry, and this is somewhere around 100,000 people
- 12 who work on an hourly basis, all of whom are paid in
- 13 excess of \$27.63 an hour.
- 14 If the Commission acts today to put the interim
- 15 wage order into effect with this provision that adopts
- 16 the Labor Code section on the administratively exempt
- 17 salary test, we will have to notify -- and we're prepared
- 18 to do that as soon as possible, which brings me to
- 19 another question as to what the implementation date is,
- 20 which is one of the things I understood would be
- 21 considered here today -- but we're going to have to
- 22 immediately notify the computer professionals in the
- 23 State of California who are working on an hourly basis
- 24 that they cannot work any hours in excess of 8 in a day

- 1 or 40 in a week without written authorization from the
- 2 employers who find these locations for them to work in
- 3 the high-tech industry.
- If, in fact, we do that, they will not be able
- 5 to work any hours in addition to that because the
- 6 contracts under which they work are fixed contracts for
- 7 fixed rates per hour. That means that there will be no
- 8 money to pay them the time and a half under those
- 9 contracts, unless all those contracts are amended.
- Now, this isn't a new problem that we first --
- 11 that we -- this problem, the salary basis test, is
- 12 something that we ran into ten years ago under federal
- 13 law with the Fair Labor Standards Act. And Congress
- 14 amended the law to create a specific exemption for
- 15 computer professionals. And what I've provided to you in
- 16 the information we gave to you -- and I have revised it a
- 17 little bit -- is an exemption for computer professionals
- 18 which we believe should be written into this order.
- And I'll get to whether you can do that or not
- 20 in a moment, because I'm sure there's some disagreement
- 21 on that.
- But what we did was we took the exact same
- 23 language that specifically exempts computer professionals
- 24 making over \$27.63 an hour and creates that specific

- 1 exemption, which is exactly the same as the federal
- 2 exemption for computer professionals.
- 3 The change that I made in the language was that
- 4 I -- the only change in the language that I want to
- 5 provide to you -- is we took out the primary duties test
- 6 and put "whose duties are for more than one half of their
- 7 time, " just to avoid any problem that would have to do
- 8 with trying to -- the federal law, of course, uses the
- 9 primary -- primary duties test.
- 10 But the rest of it is that same as Exhibit 4 of
- 11 the information I provided to you -- I think it's Exhibit
- 12 4.
- 13 If, in fact, this is enacted before we can get
- 14 an exemption adopted for these people, this is going to
- 15 have a very significant impact on the high-tech industry
- 16 in California. There are ways that the high-tech
- 17 industry in California could have this work done outside
- 18 California, because it's high-tech work. It can be done
- 19 by companies who are located outside California who'd do
- 20 it by way of modem and that sort of thing. There are
- 21 also going to be some -- some other reasons for the
- 22 companies to have that work done by their facilities
- 23 outside the state. That's the large companies.
- 24 The small companies, the entrepreneurial

- 1 companies, in California that rely very heavily on these
- 2 hourly employees are going to have a very real problem in
- 3 getting their products done, because the people who work
- 4 on these projects have to have some continuity in being
- 5 able to work whatever hours they need to work to get it
- 6 done. And that's the reason they're paid such high
- 7 salaries.
- 8 And by the way, the \$27.63 is not even near what
- 9 the average salary is or the average hourly rate is for
- 10 these employees. It's more around \$70 or \$80 an hour.
- 11 With some that I've been dealing with recently, they were
- 12 at \$120 an hour.
- Now, I understand -- and so, what we're asking
- 14 you to do is to adopt the exemption. And I'm asking you
- 15 to do that under 515(b)(2) -- or (b)(1) -- I'm sorry.
- 16 And I know that there's an opinion from the Attorney
- 17 General's office
- 18 -- or at least I've heard there's an opinion -- I haven't
- 19 been able to read the Attorney General's logic on this --
- 20 that you can't adopt this exception without a wage board.
- 21 I don't think that that's correct. And the reason I
- 22 don't think that's correct is because we're asking you --
- 23 we're not asking you to adopt an exemption under the
- 24 provisions that -- I think it's 1178. 1178 is the

- 1 provision that provides for a wage board. We're asking
- 2 you to adopt an exemption under 515(b)(2) -- or, excuse
- 3 me -- 515(b)(1), which parallels that language, but has a
- 4 sunset provision and makes absolutely no reference to any
- 5 wage board.
- In addition to that, when you adopt these
- 7 orders, 517, under which you're adopting these orders,
- 8 specifically states that they can be done without
- 9 convening wage boards, period, and then goes into it
- 10 shall include some other actions.
- 11 So, that's the position that we have. We
- 12 respectfully disagree on whether or not it can be done.
- 13 If the Legislature had wanted to require wage boards to
- 14 adopt 515(b)(1), they didn't need to write it in there.
- 15 They could have relied on 1178 and gone with that
- 16 process.
- So, we would request that you adopt this.
- 18 If, however, it continues to be the position of
- 19 the board that you cannot act to adopt this exemption to
- 20 make it the same as the federal exemption to avoid this
- 21 problem, then we would ask that you act as expeditiously
- 22 as possible to create -- or to appoint a wage board so
- 23 that we can get this done quickly, because it's going to
- 24 have a very significant impact in the interim.

- 1 The final issue is, the one thing I haven't
- 2 heard discussed here today is the effective date, even
- 3 though that's part of the agenda. And if you are going
- 4 to adopt an interim wage order, we're going to need some
- 5 time to make sure that all the employees and people in
- 6 the industry are notified that -- of this loss of
- 7 exemption. And we would hope that you would make the
- 8 effective date -- at least give us until the end of next
- 9 month to make the adjustments that we need to make in
- 10 order to prevent people who are making \$90 an hour
- 11 finding themselves in the situation where they've
- 12 inadvertently worked overtime, and the people who are
- 13 employing them are going to be taking significant losses
- 14 because of that.
- 15 And I'm here to answer any questions you may
- 16 have.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Go ahead, Barry.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I appreciate your
- 19 testimony. To bring this down to sort of childhood
- 20 parables, I'm afraid today we've got a lot of Chicken
- 21 Little and not enough of the Little Engine that Could.
- And it seems to me that, one, these people could
- 23 be paid on a salaried basis. I mean, I know that in my
- 24 office when you visited me, that was sort of mission

- 1 impossible, and you indicated to me that other than the
- 2 fact that they must meet a salary test, they would
- 3 otherwise be exempt under California law. So, it seems
- 4 to me that their -- your contractual relations could be -
- 5 they could become salaried employees --
- 6 MR. JONES: Well --
- 7 COMMISSIONER BROAD: -- without this sort of
- 8 end-of-the-world scenario occurring.
- 9 MR. JONES: Well, they actually -- I didn't mean
- 10 to --
- 11 COMMISSIONER BROAD: No, go ahead.
- MR. JONES: I guess I did mean to interrupt you.
- 13 I'm sorry.
- 14 COMMISSIONER BROAD: No, that's fine.
- MR. JONES: Actually, if we -- we can make them
- 16 salaried employees, but we can't make them salaried
- 17 employees under federal -- under the federal precedents.
- 18 And the federal law is -- I've interpreted -- you know,
- 19 as I've read the law, if they're salaried employees,
- 20 they're not going to work more than 8 hours in a day,
- 21 period. Why would they work additional hours when they
- 22 can be paid on an hourly basis to work these hours
- 23 anyplace else?
- 24 This is -- here's a situation. I have a company

- 1 who needs a project done, and they say, "We'll pay \$100
- 2 an hour to have this project done, " and we have a person
- 3 who will work this for \$80 an hour. And that's our
- 4 margin and covers our -- and covers the cost, the \$20.
- 5 If we tell this -- if this person works 16 hours a day
- 6 for a week -- and I'm not saying that's impossible --
- 7 they'll receive 16 hours at the hourly rate. If they're
- 8 salaried, why would they agree to work anything in
- 9 addition to 8 unless they're paid a premium for that?
- 10 And they're not going to be able -- we are not going to
- 11 be able to pay them an hourly bonus premium for that,
- 12 under federal precedents.
- 13 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, except that I -- I
- 14 guess I'm confused, though. I mean, I imagine that
- 15 employers can build in any number of incentives for
- 16 people to finish work at an earlier point, you know,
- 17 performance bonuses or any other thing that -- that
- 18 controls costs, or to say that this work shall be
- 19 performed by a certain date. I just -- in talking --
- 20 well, let me put it this way -- I sort of came away from
- 21 our discussion feeling like these are the same guys who
- 22 brought us the Y2K crisis. And it's perhaps a crisis of
- 23 just the same magnitude, you know, that's sort of -- it's
- 24 a lot of -- a lot of, you know, stress over something

- 1 that can be relatively easily resolved.
- 2 And I thought about it a lot after our
- 3 discussion, and I really think that your industry should
- 4 come into compliance, because I believe that the
- 5 Commission is foreclosed by the clear language of the
- 6 statute by creating exemptions for people by eliminating
- 7 the salary test. I don't believe that we can do that,
- 8 statutorily. That is my opinion. I don't know what my
- 9 other commissioners, you know, feel here, but that is my
- 10 opinion, and that your remedy would have been to seek an
- 11 amendment -- or still is, perhaps -- to seek an amendment
- 12 to AB 60, but that this Commission, whether it does it
- 13 with wage boards or not, cannot change the salary basis
- 14 test that is now in the statute.
- MR. JONES: All right. Well, I'm not asking you
- 16 to change the salary basis test at all, Commissioner
- 17 Broad. I'm asking you to create another exemption. That
- 18 can certainly be done with the -- with the -- under
- 19 515(b)(1), and it's something that's -- in fact, is
- 20 specifically contemplated by that. And that doesn't --
- 21 if that new exemption does not specifically contain a
- 22 salary basis test, then it is an exemption without a
- 23 salary basis test, because the salary basis test in the
- 24 law only applies to that classification that's set forth

- 1 in 515(a) at this point in time. There's no place else
- 2 in the law where there's a salary basis test other than
- 3 in 515(a), that I'm aware of.
- 4 And so, what -- and that's exactly what the
- 5 federal Congress did in enacting the exemption to the
- 6 Fair Labor Standards Act. It created a new exemption,
- 7 which the only part of the exemption is it exempts from
- 8 the salary basis test because it's created a new
- 9 classification which does not specifically rely or
- 10 specify a salary basis test.
- 11 California will be the only state in the union
- 12 where employees who work in the -- high-tech employees
- 13 who work in the computer industry making more than \$27.63
- 14 an hour have to be paid overtime, period. And that is
- 15 not what the intent of the legislation was, I don't
- 16 think, is to require \$80-an-hour employees to be paid --
- 17 required to either be on salary or paid time and a half.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Could I ask, does anyone
- 19 have an opinion over whether Section 515(b)(1) would
- 20 enable us to grant this exemption? I know that it's been
- 21 the general view today that we're not going to get into
- 22 these exemptions. But I'm asking specifically, legally,
- 23 if we had the desire to do it, could we?
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I'll refer that to our

- 1 attorney.
- MS. STRICKLIN: Under 515(b), you could -- you
- 3 have the authority to make exemptions. The question is
- 4 what type of exemption you would be making and whether or
- 5 not you want to -- I mean, as a body, you want to.
- 6 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: No, I understand that part
- 7 of it. But say if we were to recognize the congressional
- 8 or the federal statute's exemption of these computer
- 9 professionals and if we wanted to simply put that same
- 10 exemption into being here in California, could we do that
- 11 without having a wage board or any other proceeding?
- MS. STRICKLIN: I don't know that you could.
- 13 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Do you know that we
- 14 couldn't?
- MS. STRICKLIN: I don't know that you couldn't
- 16 either. I'd have to look into that.
- 17 It seems to me that there's nothing -- that the
- 18 salary requirement is there in 515(a).
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I think --
- MS. STRICKLIN: And the other exemptions were
- 21 something beyond salary, in 515(b).
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Well, I think it would be
- 23 the position of the chair that we could not, unless we
- 24 determined that we could. And really, we've heard from

- 1 this individual. There might be a computer consultant
- 2 out there that enjoys overtime under the change in law.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I believe -- and, of
- 6 course, we can discuss this at length -- but I believe
- 7 that -- I was somewhat of a participant in the process of
- 8 this bill.
- 9 MS. STRICKLIN: Yeah.
- 10 COMMISSIONER BROAD: In fact, I had some hand in
- 11 drafting this section. And it was intended to make the
- 12 salary -- to codify a salary test and the "primarily
- 13 engaged" test in California law for all exemptions.
- MS. STRICKLIN: Right.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BROAD: And Section (b)(1) was
- 16 intended to allow the Commission to create further
- 17 exemptions within those parameters, but only until a
- 18 certain date, at which point the Commission was no longer
- 19 legally permitted to create classes of exemptions, and
- 20 that Section (b)(1) did not relieve the Commission of its
- 21 authority or the requirement that it operate under wage
- 22 boards. In fact, only where the Legislature specifically
- 23 grants the authority to operate without wage boards may
- 24 the Commission operate without wage boards, as it's the

- 1 wage board process that makes the Commission's process,
- 2 insofar as it's exempt from the Administrative Procedure
- 3 Act, constitutional in the sense that it grants the
- 4 public procedural due process. And that's, I think, a --
- 5 based on earlier litigation involving the Commission.
- 6 So, I mean, that's my understanding of it. And,
- 7 you know, I could be wrong, but I believe that that is
- 8 accurate.
- 9 MR. JONES: Mr. Chairman, I think that the
- 10 statute -- we're ready to -- you know, if this enacted,
- 11 we're certainly ready to defend it. And I think that the
- 12 law is specific, no matter what the intent was. 515(a)
- 13 specifically deals with the duties that are currently
- 14 termed executive, professional, those -- administrative,
- 15 those duties. I mean, it's specific.
- And the other thing is that, while there is some
- 17 prior law on wage boards and what they're there for, that
- 18 law would -- and Commissioner Broad is right -- that law
- 19 specifically says that the -- AB 60 says, "We're making
- 20 specific exemptions." And so, if, in fact, the law is
- 21 still good law, then the Legislature didn't have the
- 22 authority to make those exemptions.
- But if you look at 517 -- and that's the only
- 24 authority I found for this board to enact even this

- 1 interim order, because what you are, you're -- I don't
- 2 know what the authority is for this board to adopt an
- 3 interim order, because the legislative act itself says --
- 4 the only way I see that you can adopt any order is under
- 5 517. And 517(a) specifically says, "The Industrial
- 6 Welfare Commission shall, at a public hearing to be
- 7 conducted by July 1, 2000, adopt wages, hours, and
- 8 working condition orders consistent with this chapter
- 9 without convening wage boards, which orders shall be
- 10 final and conclusive for all purposes, "period. It then
- 11 goes on to say that those hearings shall include certain
- 12 things, but it doesn't say that it'll be limited to that.
- 13 And I think that the -- that the courts would
- 14 find that the -- that, in fact, this board would have
- 15 acted appropriately in adopting this exemption to prevent
- 16 this problem under 515(b)(1) and that they can do that
- 17 under 517 because it's specifically allowed. And that's
- 18 what we're asking for.
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 20 Other questions?
- 21 (No response)
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: If the interim order is
- 23 passed today, it would go into effect February 15th.
- 24 MR. JONES: It would be February 15th if it went

- 1 into effect today?
- COMMISSIONER CENTER: If we -- if it's passed
- 3 today, yes.
- 4 MR. JONES: And could the board consider
- 5 extending that to the 30th? I mean, the notice was that
- 6 we would set that -- that the board would -- we would
- 7 testify about that today. So, this is -- I'm not sure
- 8 where the 15th came from.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's the earliest it
- 10 would go into effect.
- 11 MR. JONES: That's the earliest it would go into
- 12 effect. So, we would urge this board, if, in fact, they
- 13 are going to not act on our proposal, that we limit the
- 14 damage this will cause in the industry by allowing us the
- 15 time to correct, to at least the end of next month, 30
- 16 days, and that they convene a wage board on this issue as
- 17 quickly as possible so that we can get this addressed.
- 18 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MR. JONES: Thank you very much. And I'll
- 20 provide revised language.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I have Steve Zieff, Ron
- 22 McKune, James Abrams, Kelly Watts, and John Zaimes.
- We have John Zaimes?
- 24 MR. ZAIMES: Yes. That's me. Would you like me

- 1 to begin? I was the last one called, so --
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Oh, yeah. Whatever.
- 3 MR. ZAIMES: Very well.
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Then who wants to go
- 5 first? I did them in reverse order here.
- 6 Steve Zieff?
- 7 It should be Ron McKune.
- 8 There you go. Go ahead, sir.
- 9 MR. McKUNE: Good afternoon, Chair Center and
- 10 members of the Commission. My name is Ron McKune, and
- 11 I'm a consultant with The Employers Group. I am here and
- 12 speaking at the request of Bill Dahlman, president of The
- 13 Employers Group.
- 14 The Employers Group is an association whose
- 15 mission is to support the employee and labor relations
- 16 functions of the employer community in California. We
- 17 have a membership of over 4,500 -- 4,500 companies. They
- 18 employ over 200 -- they employ over two million people in
- 19 the State of California.
- 20 You've heard from the California Chamber of
- 21 Commerce and the California Employers Coalition. The
- 22 Employers Group concurs with their position.
- 23 The Industrial Welfare Commission is called to
- 24 review exempt status. The Employers Group asks that the

- 1 Commission look at all duties, including duties that
- 2 emanate from the duties primarily used to measure
- 3 exemption to meet the exempt tests.
- 4 And lastly, The Employers Group accepts the
- 5 conclusion reached by DLSE in the memo of December 23rd,
- 6 1999, that AB 60 does not prohibit on-duty meal periods
- 7 where the nature of the work requires it. We ask that
- 8 language formerly used in that regard again be printed.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 11 Who did I call next? Was it Jim or Kelly?
- 12 Kelly.
- MS. WATTS: Thank you, Chairman Center and
- 14 members. My name is Kelly Watts, and I'm with the
- 15 American Electronics Association. I'm going to be very
- 16 brief.
- We have three specific issues that we'd like to
- 18 address as far as clarification is concerned in these
- 19 draft wage orders.
- Number one, in Section 4, which is daily
- 21 overtime, general provisions, in Section (A) and (B),
- 22 we'd like to suggest adding the phrase "in a single
- 23 workweek" to the end of each sentence. Our concern is
- 24 that if we have an employee who works the back end of one

- 1 week, the front end of another week, that we would be --
- 2 the employer would be responsible for overtime and then
- 3 perhaps double time.
- And number two, in Section 9, regarding meal
- 5 periods, Part (B), we'd like to see a provision adding
- 6 that would state, "In addition, the first and second meal
- 7 periods may be scheduled consecutively." This would
- 8 allow for one full hour of a meal period rather than two
- 9 separate half-hour meal periods, if the employee decides
- 10 to do so.
- 11 Thirdly, in Section 5, dealing with alternative
- 12 workweeks, the wage order is referring to the
- 13 grandfathering-in provisions for existing 10-hour shifts
- 14 and states than, "An employee must submit a written
- 15 request to maintain their 10-hour shift, and that the
- 16 request and approval shall be made within 90 days of the
- 17 effective date of this order." We would like to clarify
- 18 that the effective date mentioned is the date that this
- 19 order is approved, possibly here today.
- 20 And those are our concerns at this point, and we
- 21 appreciate the opportunity to clarify these issues for
- 22 our members.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MS. WATTS: Thanks.

- 1 COMMISSIONER CENTER: James.
- 2 MR. ABRAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is
- 3 Jim Abrams. I'm with the California Hotel and Motel
- 4 Association. I'm here to speak with specific reference
- 5 to the lodging industry in California. First, by way of
- 6 general comment, we support the remarks and suggestions
- 7 and observations that were made by the California
- 8 Employer Coalition.
- 9 With specific reference to the lodging industry,
- 10 there are two main issues that we think, while they
- 11 arguably apply to all employers, are specifically germane
- 12 to the lodging industry.
- The first has to do with the exemption for
- 14 administrative, managerial, and professional employees as
- 15 it pertains to part-time employees. The comment has been
- 16 made that -- in the position paper, if one would call it
- 17 that, the legal opinion from the chief counsel to the
- 18 Labor Commissioner -- that any part-time employee who
- 19 otherwise would meet the duties test to be considered
- 20 exempt cannot be considered exempt no matter how few
- 21 hours a week he or she might work, unless the salary is
- 22 at least two times the minimum wage or the \$1,933.33 a
- 23 month. And you've talked about that a great deal.
- 24 And with all due respect, I think there is a

- 1 premise here that Assembly Bill 60 somehow mandates that
- 2 conclusion. And I respectfully would submit to you that
- 3 it does not. And I think it's important that we very
- 4 quickly go back and revisit the whole idea of why a
- 5 salary was put into the wage orders, going back many,
- 6 many years before, which is that, one, to be exempt a
- 7 person has to have duties that are exempt, you have to
- 8 have the kind of duties that a manager, a professional,
- 9 or someone in an administrative capacity, executive
- 10 capacity, would have. And secondly, you have to be paid
- 11 enough so that the designation of your duties, the
- 12 characterization of your duties, isn't a farce.
- 13 And if you go back through the hearings that
- 14 have been held in past years regarding the various
- 15 changes in the wage orders, the key has always been that
- 16 you can't call someone a manager unless you pay him or
- 17 her a certain amount of money that is consistent with the
- 18 seriousness with which you are ascribing -- you're
- 19 ascribing to the duties.
- 20 And having said that, I -- we've now taken it
- 21 out of the wage board process and we've put it in
- 22 statute, and the Legislature has said it has to be at
- 23 least two times the minimum wage.
- 24 That never really addresses the issue, though,

- 1 of what you do with part-time employees. And I -- in the
- 2 document we sent to Mr. Baron a couple of days ago, if
- 3 you take the example that Mr. Locker put forward in his
- 4 legal opinion, he says, for example, if we have an
- 5 attorney who is paid \$2,000 a month and the attorney
- 6 says, "I only want to work part-time; I want to work half
- 7 a week, I want to work 20 hours a week, " and the employer
- 8 says, "That's fine. This is a \$2,000-per-month job. You
- 9 want to work half a month, I'll pay you half of that." I
- 10 mean, one would agree that the quid pro quo, \$2,000 for a
- 11 full month's work, if we're going to cut the equation in
- 12 half on one side, it needs to be cut -- the equation has
- 13 to be cut on the other side.
- 14 The opinion of Mr. Locker is that this attorney,
- 15 who is exempt -- his duties are clearly exempt by virtue
- 16 of being a professional -- is now no longer exempt
- 17 because he's being paid on a part-time basis. And the
- 18 rationale for that -- and I presume that that is at least
- 19 part of the rationale that the Commission is relying upon
- 20 and voicing -- is that you cannot ever be deemed to be a
- 21 professional employee in terms of your duties if you
- 22 don't have ultimate control over your hours. And that's
- 23 basically what Mr. Locker says, that the quintessential
- 24 test of whether you are truly exercising exempt duties is

- 1 that you have control over your time. And if, in fact,
- 2 your employer says, "You're only going to work 20 hours a
- 3 week, 10 hours a week," or whatever, then it doesn't
- 4 matter that you're a doctor or a lawyer or that you're
- 5 paid a million dollars an hour. You can't -- you just
- 6 cannot be exempt. And with all due respect, I think that
- 7 is way wide of the mark.
- 8 Secondly, if you take a look at this, you could
- 9 say, for example -- and this comes up constantly in the
- 10 lodging industry -- you have a food and beverage manager,
- 11 for example -- and I will submit to you that there are
- 12 many food and beverage managers whose duties are clearly
- 13 exempt
- 14 -- and if you will, just for the sake of argument, allow
- 15 me that -- and these people will be paid perhaps \$60,000
- 16 a year. A lot of them want to work part-time. The
- 17 employer wants part-time because it's a 365-day-a-year,
- 18 seven-day-a-week operation. And so, a lot of these
- 19 people say, "I'd like to work, you know, 20 hours a
- 20 week." And the employer says, "That's fine. This is --
- 21 if you were working full-time, I'd pay you \$60,000, but
- 22 because you're going to be one of three people now among
- 23 whom I'm going to split this job up, you know, 20 hours
- 24 each perhaps, or whatever it happens to come out -- I'll

- 1 pay you \$20,000." And the cost is actually more than
- 2 that, because now you have three people who probably
- 3 qualify for benefits.
- 4 And if you take the position that because this
- 5 employee, who, if he were working full-time, would get
- 6 \$60,000, is now only working, let's say, a third of the
- 7 time and getting \$20,000, is no longer exempt, Mr. Broad
- 8 suggested that this would make a mockery of the
- 9 exemption. I really do -- and I mean this with respect -
- 10 I think to hold that part-time employees can never be
- 11 exempt, period, by definition, if they -- unless they get
- 12 -- unless they get at least \$2,000 -- the \$1,933.33 a
- 13 month, each of them, maybe two, three, or four people all
- 14 doing one person's job, getting, in essence, three or
- 15 four times what that job is worth as a whole, I submit
- 16 that that really makes a mockery out of what the whole
- 17 professional exemption was all about.
- 18 That -- so, we do feel that there needs to be
- 19 clarification and an enunciation of the fact that, in
- 20 fact, part-time employment, if you otherwise qualify for
- 21 the exemption because of your duties, is something that
- 22 is permitted under the -- in your interim wage order.
- 23 Secondly, Mr. Locker opines in his December 23
- 24 document -- and I say this with a lot of respect -- it's

- 1 an excellent document to get everybody started down this
- 2 path
- 3 -- that you cannot have any kind of in-kind compensation
- 4 to people and treat that as any sort of compensation in
- 5 judging whether or not you've met the \$1,993, or the two
- 6 times the minimum wage. In the lodging industry, a lot
- 7 of managers who, again, I would submit to you, meet the
- 8 duties test, they are clearly primarily engaged in exempt
- 9 duties, will receive free housing. And this, you know,
- 10 will range anywhere from \$500 to \$1,000 a month, in terms
- 11 of housing that they don't have to pay. And we have
- 12 always submitted that the value of either all or at least
- 13 some of the benefits that the employer pays these exempt
- 14 people should be entitled to some sort of a credit or
- 15 factoring into the calculation of whether or not the
- 16 employer is, in fact, meeting the two times the minimum
- 17 wage test. I am not submitting to you that it
- 18 necessarily needs to be on a dollar-for-dollar basis, but
- 19 it is ridiculous, for example, if I have a nonexempt
- 20 employee and I provide him with free housing, I can take
- 21 a meal and lodging credit, up to several hundred dollars
- 22 a month if you combine them all, against my minimum wage
- 23 obligations, but I can't take the same value of that meal
- 24 or that lodging or whatever else and credit it against my

- 1 \$24,000, roughly, per year compensation.
- 2 And I -- again, I think -- I think, with all due
- 3 respect to Mr. Locker, that that is something that goes
- 4 way beyond what AB 60 says and requires. And I think
- 5 it's going to start causing a lot of people in the
- 6 lodging industry to say to their managerial employees,
- 7 "That's fine, we'll pay you the \$24,000 or whatever it is
- 8 we're paying you, but now you are going to have to start
- 9 paying for your lodging and for your meals and for other
- 10 things like that."
- And then, lastly, I do want to suggest that as
- 12 it relates to alternative workweek schedules, there is a
- 13 provision in your draft order that defines what a -- what
- 14 the term "affected employees" and "work unit" means. And
- 15 it says that in some cases, it can all -- go all the way
- 16 down to one or very few people. But that appears only in
- 17 the section of your wage order that relates to the
- 18 healthcare industry. And other people have pointed out
- 19 that it needs to be applicable to employers and employees
- 20 in all industries.
- 21 Those are my comments. I would like to answer
- 22 any questions that you might have.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Commissioner Broad?
- 24 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yeah. Taking them sort of

- 1 backwards, that test of what constitutes an appropriate
- 2 unit for other than healthcare, it is in the wage orders
- 3 that are reinstated, so, seeing that you're reading --
- 4 you would take our interim wage order and put it against
- 5 the other provisions of the existing wage orders. Then
- 6 that is what goes into effect, which is the same answer
- 7 for the question about meals and lodging. There has
- 8 always been a provision that allows employers to credit
- 9 the value of the meals of lodging. And I would direct
- 10 you to every one of the wage orders, and -- which
- 11 actually lists a room shared, an apartment, where there's
- 12 a couple, and so forth, the value of that that may be
- 13 credited against the employer's minimum wage obligation.
- 14 So, in fact, that issue is dealt with, is not in any way
- 15 affected by what we are doing today.
- MR. ABRAMS: But may I ask, then, for
- 17 clarification? Then is it the position of this
- 18 Commission that the statement in Mr. Locker's December 23
- 19 opinion -- let me finish my question, please, Mr. Broad -
- 20 that in deciding -- in determining whether an employer
- 21 has paid the \$1,993.33 per month, there can be no credit
- 22 -- that is his word -- there can be no credit for any in-
- 23 kind -- let me finish, please -- and in-kind
- 24 compensation, such as, for example, lodging or meals?

- 1 So, if your position is -- the Commission's position is
- 2 that taking Wage Order 5-89 as amended in 1993, plus this
- 3 interim order, if it's adopted as it is, if you're saying
- 4 that that overrides and obviates the statement in the
- 5 opinion that's been given to --
- 6 COMMISSIONER BROAD: No, I'm not saying that at
- 7 all.
- 8 MR. ABRAMS: Well, then -- then --
- 9 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Not at all.
- MR. ABRAMS: -- it's either one or the other.
- 11 COMMISSIONER BROAD: No, it isn't, because his
- 12 statement -- your relief, as it were, is a deduction off
- 13 the cost of the employee's paycheck, not a change in
- 14 whether the person has exempt or nonexempt status.
- Now, as to the first -- your first point,
- 16 really, again -- and I -- maybe I'm missing something or
- 17 maybe, with all due respect, you're missing something --
- 18 if the employee is a part-time manager, professional
- 19 that's exempt, they are exempt, correct? And therefore,
- 20 they can be permitted -- required by the employer to work
- 21 any number of hours without overtime.
- MR. ABRAMS: Yes, but -- but your statement
- 23 presumes that he or she is exempt not only because of the
- 24 duties, but because he or she is paid at least -- let's

- 1 say it's \$2,000 a month, all right? It's \$1,993.33;
- 2 let's say \$2,000. That, under your scenario -- and I
- 3 listened to you in discussing this with other people --
- 4 is that the only way a food and beverage manager at a
- 5 hotel who works 10 hours a week, because that's what
- 6 works for everybody, can be considered exempt so that he
- 7 or she might work more or less hours some days than
- 8 others, and sometimes go over 8 hours in the day without
- 9 an overtime problem, is that he or she gets paid \$2,000 a
- 10 month and, in fact, has exempt duties.
- 11 And what I'm saying to you --
- 12 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Correct. But why do you
- 13 want an exemption for someone that never works more than
- 14 10 hours a week? You never incur any overtime cost.
- MR. ABRAMS: But the 10 hours, Mr. Broad -- the
- 16 10 hours is -- it may be the general rule, but the
- 17 employee may, on a given day, work 10 hours, which, if
- 18 he's not exempt or she's not exempt, is going to be
- 19 overtime for two hours. Or on another -- if a person's
- 20 working 20 hours a week, sometimes he or she will work 22
- 21 hours a week.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Or 32, or 42, or 52.
- MR. ABRAMS: Well, but if you're worried about
- 24 the abuse factor -- and that's what I kept hearing you

- 1 and Mr. Rankin talking about, is the abuse -- don't throw
- 2 the baby out with the bath water. Build in some -- the
- 3 vast majority of employers in this state are not about to
- 4 abuse their employees. Many -- there are some who do,
- 5 and they ought to be guarded against, I agree with you.
- 6 But don't say to yourself, because a methodology of
- 7 providing flexibility and equity in pay may arise to the
- 8 level of providing some avenue for abuse means that we
- 9 can't go forward. Build the walls against the abuse, but
- 10 then recognize that if what you're doing is getting paid
- 11 the equivalent of \$2,000 a month, and if your duties are
- 12 truly exempt, but you're only working half time, you
- 13 shouldn't get paid on a full-time basis.
- 14 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, we have no proposal
- 15 of any sort before us on this issue. And so, I don't --
- 16 I think it's, at this point, quite premature to talk
- 17 about this.
- 18 MR. ABRAMS: Can I go back to your statement --
- 19 and, Mr. Center, and I appreciate your being indulgent --
- 20 this is critical.
- 21 Do I understand you, Mr. Broad, that if -- that
- 22 if I have a person who is an exempt employee, general
- 23 manager of the hotel, gets whatever he or she gets paid,
- 24 lives full-time on the property -- and let's assume for

- 1 the sake of argument that the value of the unit is \$500 a
- 2 month -- what you're telling me is that under Wage Order
- 3 5-98 (sic), as amended in 1993, I can take a credit,
- 4 which is -- it's a meal and lodging credit -- against the
- 5 \$24,000-per-year compensation I have to pay him and still
- 6 treat him as exempt?
- 7 COMMISSIONER BROAD: I believe that you should
- 8 discuss that with Mr. Locker.
- 9 MR. ABRAMS: No, sir. But with all due respect,
- 10 I need to -- this Commission has the ability and absolute
- 11 responsibility to tell people what AB 60 means. And Mr.
- 12 Locker is a lawyer like the rest of some of us, and is
- 13 out there desperately trying to interpret this for his
- 14 constituency, which are the employees who enforce this
- 15 law. But he has said, in my opinion and the Labor
- 16 Commissioner -- deputy Labor Commissioners in enforcing
- 17 it, "You must go by this rule, that if I give you a free
- 18 apartment, you can't take any credit for it." Now, all
- 19 I'm saying is I think, with all due respect to Mr.
- 20 Locker, that's wrong. This is the entity that needs to
- 21 make it clear.
- 22 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Well, the other problem
- 23 that sort of complicates that whole credit thing is that
- 24 -- is the fact that the current minimum wage was created

- 1 by initiative. And therefore, I'm not even sure that the
- 2 credit still remains lawful at the present time.
- 3 MR. ABRAMS: Then -- if that is the case, then
- 4 you need to make it clear that the wage and hour credit
- 5 in Wage Order 5-98 (sic) -- 89 -- excuse me -- is no
- 6 longer in place. All of that is going to start to drive
- 7 some very significant economic changes throughout --
- 8 throughout the workplace.
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Mr. Abrams, we're not
- 10 prepared to do that today, but we'll defer to legal
- 11 counsel.
- MR. ABRAMS: All we can do is put the points
- 13 forward. Thank you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER CENTER: I won't go anywhere -- go
- 15 ahead -- I don't want to expand the debate.
- MR. ZAIMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members
- 17 of the Commission. My name is John Zaimes. I represent
- 18 a group of energy generators with facilities up and down
- 19 the State of California, who are typically engaged in 24-
- 20 hour operations. And my comments today are very narrowly
- 21 focused. They are focused on -- principally on the
- 22 alternative workweek schedule section of AB 60, and they
- 23 are focused in particular on an interpretation of that
- 24 section that has been advanced clearly by Mr. Locker in

- 1 his memorandum, but I believe also by the board, that
- 2 there is a limitation on the length of a shift, under an
- 3 alternative workweek schedule, to 10 hours per day.
- 4 And I'm going to walk you, just briefly, for a
- 5 moment, through a statutory interpretation that will
- 6 include a look not only at the statute, but at the
- 7 legislative history of the statute, that I hope will
- 8 convince you that that is an erroneous interpretation of
- 9 the statute.
- 10 And I want to qualify that by telling you I did
- 11 attempt to appear at the hearings in Los Angeles a month
- 12 or so ago. I did present this, in the form of a written
- 13 submission to the Commission. I was unable to stay for
- 14 oral testimony. I have been in communication with Mr.
- 15 Locker on his position on this. And, in fact, he -- it
- 16 was he in a recent discussion who suggested that I
- 17 present my comments to the Commission, because when we
- 18 started to talk about the legislative history, I think
- 19 that that caused him a little bit of pause about the
- 20 interpretation he had developed.
- 21 First of all, let me make clear that as I --
- 22 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Just for time, is your
- 23 issue you have an alternative workweek and that you want
- 24 to work overtime and pay them overtime?

- 1 MR. ZAIMES: The issue is, we have an
- 2 alternative workweek in which we want to have a set of
- 3 12-hour shifts under the alternative workweek, on a
- 4 regularly scheduled basis, and we want to pay overtime
- 5 for the eleventh and twelfth hours under the -- under
- 6 that.
- 7 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Okay. For time's
- 8 sake, we have -- we have been revising this draft, and we
- 9 have a basis of fact that's been prepared that we will be
- 10 voting on an issuing. And I think your issue is
- 11 addressed.
- 12 MR. ZAIMES: Okay. I don't know if I should --
- 13 if I should waive my comments, then. You're suggesting
- 14 it may be addressed favorably to me? Or should I --
- 15 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Yes. Yes. Yes.
- (Laughter)
- MR. ZAIMES: All right. Lawyers are highly
- 18 distrustful by nature, so I wanted -- I wanted to be
- 19 sure.
- Very well, then. Based on that, I'll refrain.
- 21 And as I said, if the Commission wants -- in the
- 22 absence of my oral presentation, wants to look at my
- 23 prior written presentation, that was submitted at the
- 24 time of the December 15th hearing.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- I think that's it.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 MR. ZAIMES: Thank you.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Connie Clendenan, Peter
- 7 Kellison, Alan Shanedling, and Rolf Claussen.
- 8 MS. ISERI: Shall I -- shall I start?
- 9 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes.
- 10 MS. ISERI: Chairman Center, commissioners, I'm
- 11 Joyce Iseri, with the California Alliance of Child and
- 12 Family Services. Connie Clendenan was our witness, but
- 13 she, unfortunately, had to leave, so I will be even
- 14 briefer than we would have been.
- The issue I wish to speak about today concerns
- 16 on-duty meal periods. It was alluded to very briefly by
- 17 the previous witness for the Employers Council. We do
- 18 have other issues we intend to raise before the
- 19 Commission, but at a future date.
- 20 For today, though, the -- I just wanted to
- 21 explain the California Alliance of Child and Family
- 22 Services is a statewide organization of providers of care
- 23 for foster children, very generally. They do provide
- 24 services to kids also in their homes, but also, the issue

- 1 for today is out-of-home residential treatment services
- 2 provided in what are commonly called group homes for
- 3 children.
- 4 There has been a question raised about whether
- 5 on-duty meal periods are still allowed under the
- 6 provisions of AB 60 and the interim wage order that you
- 7 are going to be voting on today. Specifically, in the
- 8 letter that we have sent to the Commission, we quote the
- 9 relevant sections of AB 60 and the interim wage order,
- 10 but also the provisions of Wage Order 5-89, as amended in
- 11 1993, which has further elaboration and mentions the
- 12 issue of on-duty meal periods and makes that allowance
- 13 and sets out the conditions for when you can have those.
- I can get into more detail about why on-duty
- 15 meal periods are important in group homes, and I would do
- 16 that -- I know you're short for time. But suffice it to
- 17 say that on-duty meal periods serve two very important
- 18 purposes in group homes.
- One, they are part of the treatment program for
- 20 the children in care. These are emotionally disturbed
- 21 kids who need a very intensive, structured program, and
- 22 it's 24-hour residential. Meal periods are not just for
- 23 the convenience of the employees so that they can eat a
- 24 meal and have a break; they're also part of the

- 1 programmatic treatment given to these foster children.
- 2 These children need to learn how to function within a
- 3 family, how to act appropriately, you know, how to sit
- 4 down at a table and eat, and not get into fights or get
- 5 into assaultive behavior. The other important function
- 6 served by on-duty meal periods is for overnight staffing.
- 7 Again, this is 24-hour care. Typically, in a small, six-
- 8 bed group home where they're trying to simulate a family,
- 9 you have one staff person overnight. It's not feasible
- 10 to get relief staff to come for 30 minutes or 60 minutes
- 11 in the middle of the night so that the regular staff
- 12 person can have an off-duty meal. I don't think we could
- 13 even recruit anyone to come, say, at three o'clock in the
- 14 morning.
- So, those, very briefly, are the reasons why on-
- 16 duty meal periods are important. My letter does go into
- 17 a lot more explanation about the kinds of children who
- 18 are being cared for in group homes, what the emotional
- 19 and behavioral needs are, and why meals are an important
- 20 part of the whole treatment provided by group homes.
- 21 What we're asking the Commission to do today is
- 22 to clarify that, yes, on-duty meal periods are still
- 23 allowed as an option by AB 60 and this interim wage
- 24 order, and in the future, when you issue a final wage

- 1 order, to retain that option. Those are -- that's what
- 2 we're requesting.
- 3 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 4 MS. ISERI: Thank you.
- 5 MR. CLAUSSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name
- 6 is Rolf Claussen. I represent the Greater California
- 7 Livery Association as well as I'm an owner of Barrington
- 8 Livery, a limousine service in southern California.
- 9 I'm here on behalf of all the members of the
- 10 association in regards to AB 60. Limousine operators
- 11 currently provide public ground transportation, and we --
- 12 the reason why we use the term "livery" is that we don't
- 13 provide just limousine services, but, as well, sedans,
- 14 vans, and bus services for clients throughout the State
- 15 of California.
- In the normal conduct of business, livery
- 17 operators compete with various other providers of
- 18 transportation services, including taxicabs, van
- 19 shuttles, and franchise limousine companies. One major
- 20 factor of a consumer's decision in using our service is
- 21 based on price. Now, while we're -- we are regulated by
- 22 the California PUC Charter Party Division and they do not
- 23 allow us to offer or lease vehicles to a chauffeur unless
- 24 the chauffeur owns their own -- or has their own

- 1 particular license. Such arrangement is allowed for the
- 2 taxicab industry, the van shuttle industry, and these --
- 3 what we would call subcontract limousine operators. The
- 4 vast -- these operators, the taxicab operators and so
- 5 forth, do not have to require with any kind of minimum
- 6 wage or overtime laws because they are considered
- 7 subcontract.
- 8 In recent years, we have had an increase of
- 9 operators, nationwide limousine operators, coming into
- 10 our state that are opening up subcontract driver
- 11 arrangements with their chauffeurs, which basically means
- 12 that they are selling vehicles to the chauffeurs,
- 13 requiring the chauffeurs to get licenses, insure
- 14 themselves, and so forth, and bear the entire cost of
- 15 operating. By doing this, they, again, avoid the
- 16 requirements of meeting labor laws in regards to
- 17 overtime, minimum wages, and so forth.
- 18 The operators in our association do have
- 19 employees. We do comply with the current regulations
- 20 that
- 21 -- with the PUC as well as the labor laws within the
- 22 state. Now, since the daily overtime does not affect
- 23 these other operators, it does put our companies at a
- 24 competitive disadvantage, namely because we compete for

- 1 the same block of business.
- In the -- the reason I'm talking to you here
- 3 today -- and I wrote this down -- I'm going to set this
- 4 aside here because I can really do this better this way -
- 5 chauffeurs in our industry are paid on a per-job basis,
- 6 whereas the subcontract, as you understand, is they can
- 7 get a percentage of the deal and the company takes the
- 8 other percentage. In our industry or with our employees,
- 9 we pay the chauffeurs a percentage of the job that they
- 10 do. Now, the percentage of the job is generally
- 11 calculated on an hourly basis. We guarantee them a
- 12 certain amount of hours to do a job, and then the rest of
- 13 the income that they receive is from gratuities that are
- 14 handed to them from the clients that participate or use
- 15 the vehicles.
- 16 Now, because we don't control the volume of work
- 17 or when the work happens, on a day-to-day basis the
- 18 volume or the work fluctuates. One day a driver may have
- 19 one or two jobs, the next day he may have five or six
- 20 jobs, which translates to one day he will get 2 hours'
- 21 worth of pay or 4 hours' worth of pay, and the following
- 22 day he can get upwards to 16 hours' worth of pay.
- Now, with AB 60, what is happening here is we,
- 24 as operators, have to reconsider how we assign work to

- 1 chauffeurs. When they lose the days of work, they cannot
- 2 make them up on the following days, if we follow by this
- 3 -- this new bill here, which, in turn, will take
- 4 chauffeurs that are full-time employees that do get
- 5 fringe benefits and so forth, and they will become part-
- 6 time employees. It's not something that we as an
- 7 industry want to see happen. It's not something the
- 8 chauffeurs want to see happen. But it's something that
- 9 will inevitably happen because we cannot afford to pay
- 10 overtime for employees that work only 30 hours a week or
- 11 40 hours a week.
- Now, a chauffeur that does work 40 hours a week
- 13 actually earns a considerable amount of money and
- 14 actually earn more than the median average of employees
- 15 nationwide.
- So, I am up here asking for your consideration
- 17 in allowing an exemption on the daily overtime. We are
- 18 in complete support of the 40-hour overtime, and we do
- 19 pay our chauffeurs over 40 hours overtime. But because
- 20 of the daily constraints and the shifting of the work,
- 21 not having enough work one day and too much the other
- 22 day, that puts a burden on our businesses and does -- and
- 23 will, if we do comply with the 8-hour day, put a burden
- 24 on the chauffeurs and definitely lower their wages. So,

- 1 I'm sure that they would be more -- would like to keep
- 2 things the way they are, so to speak, and earn, you know,
- 3 a good, comfortable living.
- 4 So, thank you for your time.
- 5 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any questions?
- 6 (No response)
- 7 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- 8 MR. SHANEDLING: Mr. Chair, members of the
- 9 committee, my name is Alan Shanedling. I'm president of
- 10 Fleetwood Limousine in Los Angeles.
- 11 First off, I have a question, in that many of --
- 12 people in our industry, including my own company, operate
- 13 24-hour operations. From eleven o'clock at night until
- 14 seven o'clock in the morning, we have somebody in the
- 15 office by themselves. And I question how we handle the
- 16 meal situation, since, to be honest with you, at three
- 17 o'clock in the morning, we'd probably have to wake them
- 18 up to get them a meal. So, I don't know how that comes
- 19 into play or how we deal with that.
- 20 Second of all, I've been asked by numerous other
- 21 operators as to how to deal with this overtime situation
- 22 with AB 60. And I tell them they have three choices:
- 23 they can pay it in accordance with AB 60, they can hire
- 24 additional chauffeurs to cut down on their overtime, or

- 1 they can adjust the pay that the chauffeur makes. When I
- 2 say "adjust," as Rolf said, we pay the chauffeurs by the
- 3 job. Over 80 percent of their work is transfers, either
- 4 airport transfers or point-to-point transfers, for which
- 5 they are given a minimum number of hours, two or three
- 6 hours. Usually, in 90 percent of the cases, it does not
- 7 take that minimum amount of time to complete the job.
- 8 That "non-driving time," quote-unquote, is not applicable
- 9 to overtime. Those chauffeurs today are getting that
- 10 time as overtime on the 40-hour basis. If we have to
- 11 start breaking down the 8-hour day and seeing whether or
- 12 not they qualify for overtime over 8 hours in a day or 12
- 13 hours in a day for double time, we're also going to start
- 14 looking at whether or not they qualify -- whether there's
- 15 an overlap in jobs, such as, if we give them two hours
- 16 going to the airport and two hours -- or two and a half
- 17 hours coming out of the airport in Los Angeles, what if
- 18 they have a pickup in Beverly Hills going to the airport?
- 19 It takes an hour. They've got a pickup at the airport
- 20 within 20 minutes thereafter going to Santa Monica. They
- 21 do the whole thing in two and a half hours, and I've paid
- 22 them for four and a half hours. Two hours isn't
- 23 applicable to overtime. Right now, they're getting that.
- We can look at the 24-hour clock and bring a

- 1 sixth day into play with the chauffeurs, because many of
- 2 our jobs do go past midnight. It's not unusual for a
- 3 job, let's say, on an as directed, out for an evening,
- 4 starting at seven or eight o'clock at night, to go till
- 5 one, two o'clock in the morning. We can pick up a sixth
- 6 day that way.
- 7 Travel time we can start looking at. Drivers
- 8 take the cars -- generally take the cars home. If they
- 9 have a pickup close by their home, even though we pay
- 10 them the travel time right now, they're not -- it's not
- 11 applicable to overtime because they didn't actually earn
- 12 it.
- 13 What this is doing, this bill is doing, is
- 14 turning a relationship that is fairly cordial right now,
- 15 between the employer and employee, into a conflict. And
- 16 it's turning it into a battleground.
- 17 Two examples. In 1989-1990, I was audited
- 18 because of the fact that the industry lost its exemption
- 19 at that point in time, mistakenly, when truck drivers
- 20 became -- fell under the overtime rules. I had an
- 21 auditor come in, and we calculated together. And seeing
- 22 what I had to go through, an auditor told me, he says, "I
- 23 can't tell you officially," he said, "Pay them over 40
- 24 hours in a week; the hell with the 8 hours per day. Your

- 1 chauffeurs are better off being paid under the federal
- 2 standard."
- Just now, the first part of January, I've had to
- 4 calculate, based on AB 60. I had a driver, my senior
- 5 driver, under the 40-hour week, he would have been
- 6 entitled to 7 hours of overtime. Under a calculation of
- 7 AB 60, without any adjustments, he would have been
- 8 entitled to 9 hours. After I looked at the duplication,
- 9 he received overtime for 4% hours. I've got a very
- 10 unhappy senior chauffeur right now. But I cannot afford
- 11 to pay double time. I cannot afford to pay time and a
- 12 half greater than what I'm paying over the 40 hours per
- 13 week right now.
- And I ask for your help, and our industry asks
- 15 for your help with an exemption for the limousine
- 16 industry.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any questions?
- 18 (No response)
- 19 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Thank you.
- MR. SHANEDLING: Thank you.
- 21 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Anybody else want to
- 22 testify that did not sign a card?
- (No response)
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay. This concludes the

- 1 testimony part of the hearing. Now we need to make some
- 2 action on our proposed interim draft order.
- 3 Mr. Broad?
- 4 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
- 5 move to adopt the draft interim wage order, with the
- 6 following modifications:
- 7 On Page 2, Section 3(B), in the middle of that
- 8 paragraph, where it says, "provided, however, that
- 9 pharmacists and registered nurses shall not be considered
- 10 exempt professionals," I would move that it be amended to
- 11 say, "provided, however, that pharmacists employed to
- 12 engage in the practice of pharmacy and registered nurses
- 13 employed to engage in the practice of nursing shall not
- 14 be considered exempt professional employees."
- On Page 3, Paragraph -- well, you guys -- you
- 16 want me to --
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yeah, slow down.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yeah, okay.
- (Pause)
- 20 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Right. And let me make it
- 21 clear, I'm adding to the amended -- that includes
- 22 amendments already in it, that was in our packets today.
- 23 This is somewhat different from what -- this is somewhat
- 24 different from what the public -- the one that says

- 1 "Draft Interim Wage Order" that already has some changes
- 2 noted in it.
- 3 Yes, that one.
- 4 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: All right.
- 5 COMMISSIONER BROAD: So, I'm amending amendments
- 6 that are already suggested by staff.
- 7 Okay.
- 8 On Page 3, Paragraph 4(A), where it says, "and
- 9 for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh (7th)
- 10 consecutive day of work, " add "in a workweek."
- 11 Where's Ms. Broyles? She'll be floored.
- 12 Anyway -- and Paragraph (B) of that section --
- 13 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: The record will
- 14 reflect that.
- 15 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yeah. The record will
- 16 reflect that she can't be floored because she's not here,
- 17 at the moment of victory.
- Anyway -- and on 4(B), where, in the last line
- 19 of that sentence, where it says "the seventh (7th)
- 20 consecutive day of work," "in a workweek."
- 21 And then, on Page 5, Paragraph (J), in the
- 22 middle of that sentence, it says -- let's see -- one,
- 23 two, three, four, five -- five lines down, where it says,
- 24 "employees in a work unit agreed to this flexible work

- 1 arrangement, in a secret, "strike "flexible work," and
- 2 add "alternative workweek arrangement."
- 4 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Yes. It would conform it
- 5 to the way we've described these things generally.
- 6 That would be the total of my suggested
- 7 amendments to the amendments.
- 8 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Is there a second to the
- 9 motion?
- 10 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Second it.
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor, say "aye."
- (Chorus of "ayes")
- 13 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any opposed?
- 14 (No response)
- 15 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Motion carries.
- Any other proposed motions?
- 17 COMMISSIONER BROAD: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
- 18 move that the Commission, based on the criteria set forth
- 19 in Labor Code Section 1178, convene a wage board to
- 20 establish a wage order for on-site construction, mining,
- 21 drilling, and logging. And then -- I don't know if this
- 22 is part of the motion, but I'd like to at least direct
- 23 the staff that this be done as expeditiously as possible
- 24 and that we take applications for membership of the wage

- 1 board as soon as possible and begin immediately drafting
- 2 the charge to the wage board. And there's two things
- 3 that I -- in specific, that I would like to add to this
- 4 that should be included in the charge: one, to consider
- 5 the issue of alternative workweek elections as they
- 6 relate to peak employment in seasonal industries; and,
- 7 two, that the charge to the wage board make it clear that
- 8 the definition of the industries is to be those portions
- 9 of the industry that are not already covered by another
- 10 wage order. In other words, for example, oil
- 11 manufacturing or refining is in the manufacturing wage
- 12 order, and I don't think it is our intent to start moving
- 13 people out, but only to deal with the on-site portion of
- 14 the industry.
- MS. STRICKLIN: Would you be concerned about
- 16 whether it be one wage order that ultimately results from
- 17 this or four?
- 18 COMMISSIONER BROAD: My assumption is that it
- 19 would be one wage order that would result, because
- 20 wouldn't we have to have four wage boards if we were to
- 21 consider four separate --
- MS. STRICKLIN: Yes.
- 23 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Then we need to clarify
- 24 that motion. It would be four individual wage boards?

1	COMMISSIONER BOARD: No.
2	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Start with one and see
3	where it
4	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Start with one. If the
5	Commission concludes that one of those occupations or
6	industries should be in another place, it can
7	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Okay.
8	COMMISSIONER BROAD: take appropriate action.
9	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Is there a second to that
10	motion?
11	COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I would second.
12	COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor?
13	(Chorus of "ayes")
14	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any opposed?
15	(No response)
16	COMMISSIONER CENTER: The motion carries.
17	Any further motions? I think we need to move
18	COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Could I just ask a
19	question?
20	COMMISSIONER CENTER: on the interim draft
21	interim wage order.
22	Yes, Mr. Bosco.
23	COMMISSIONER BOSCO: I know that we have passed
24	the draft amended wage order, but did we address the

- 1 question of when it would go into effect? I was somewhat
- 2 sympathetic to some of the testimony, and it seems to me
- 3 that -- okay --
- 4 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Maybe I can go back. What
- 5 we did was draft an -- we adopted the amendments to the
- 6 order. We have not adopted the order yet.
- 7 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Oh, okay. Then is it
- 8 appropriate to ask what, if any, disadvantage would there
- 9 be to, say, holding off till the first of March, giving
- 10 people a month? Would that prejudice anyone?
- 11 COMMISSIONER CENTER: That's available for a
- 12 motion, if you would want to pursue that. And I don't --
- 13 would that help implementation? It's up to the --
- 14 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: I move that we -- that
- 15 these interim wage -- that the interim wage order go into
- 16 effect on March 1st, 2000.
- 17 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Is there any question to
- 18 that motion, or second?
- 19 COMMISSIONER BOSCO: Any comment?
- 20 COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: I'll give you a
- 21 second.
- 22 COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor?
- (Chorus of "ayes")
- 24 COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any opposed?

GOLDEN STATE REPORTING P.O. Box 5848 Monterey, CA 93944-0848 (831) 663-8851

1	(No response)
2	COMMISSIONER CENTER: The motion carries.
3	Now I think we need a motion to draft the
4	interim wage order.
5	COMMISSIONER BROAD: I would move to adopt the
6	wage order, the draft interim wage order as amended.
7	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Is there a second?
8	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: Second.
9	COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor?
10	(Chorus of "ayes")
11	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any opposed?
12	(No response)
13	COMMISSIONER CENTER: The motion carries.
14	I think this concludes our business. Do we have
15	a motion oh we have a statement to the basis and
16	the summary. Do I have a motion to approve the draft
17	statement of basis and summary?
18	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Can I just have one quick
19	question, Mr. Chair?
20	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER BROAD: If there are minor
22	technical corrections like spelling errors or grammatical
23	errors or something of that, is the staff permitted,
24	after we approve it now, to make those changes if they're

1	not substantive?
2	MR. BARON: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Okay. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Is there a motion?
5	COMMISSIONER COLEMAN: So moved.
6	COMMISSIONER BROAD: Second.
7	COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor?
8	(Chorus of "ayes")
9	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Any opposed?
10	(No response)
11	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Motion carries.
12	Do we have a motion to adjourn?
13	COMMISSIONER BROAD: I move to adjourn.
14	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Second?
15	COMMISSIONER DOMBROWSKI: Second.
16	COMMISSIONER CENTER: All in favor?
17	(Chorus of "ayes")
18	COMMISSIONER CENTER: Motion carries.
19	Thank you.
20	(Thereupon, at 3:42 p.m., the public
21	meeting was adjourned.)
22	000
23	
0.4	

24

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER/TRANSCRIBER
5	000
6	I, Cynthia M. Judy, a duly designated reporter
7	and transcriber, do hereby declare and certify under
8	penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
9	California that I transcribed the five tapes recorded at
10	the Public Meeting of the Industrial Welfare Commission,
11	held on January 28, 2000, in Sacramento, California, and
12	that the foregoing pages constitute a true, accurate, and
13	complete transcription of the aforementioned tapes, to
14	the best of my abilities.
15	
16	Dated: February 13, 2000
17	
18	CYNTHIA M. JUDY
19	Reporter/Transcriber
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	